

A relative Yoneda Lemma (manuscript)

M. Künzer

March 14, 2002

Abstract

We construct set-valued right Kan extensions via a relative Yoneda Lemma.

A remark of the referee

As the referee pointed out, (2.1) ‘can essentially be found in much greater generality’ in

[Ke 82] G. M. KELLY, *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, LMS Lecture Notes 64, Cambridge University Press, 1982.

He continued to explain that to this end, one reformulates the formula [Ke 82, 4.6 (ii), p. 113], given in terms of weighted limits, by means of [Ke 82, 3.10, p. 99] and [Ke 82, 2.2, p. 58].

Therefore, we withdraw this note as a preprint. Since (2.1, 3.1) might be of some use for the working mathematician, we leave it accessible as a manuscript. When using (2.1), the reader is asked to cite [Ke 82], when using (3.1), he is asked to cite [K 58].

0 Introduction

0.1 A relative Yoneda Lemma

The category of set-valued presheaves on a category C shall be denoted by C^\wedge . The Yoneda embedding, sending x to ${}_C(-, x)$, shall be denoted by $C \xrightarrow{y_C} C^\wedge$. The presheaf category construction being contravariantly functorial, we obtain the

Proposition (2.1, the relative Yoneda Lemma). *Given a functor $C \xrightarrow{f} D$, we have*

$$f^\wedge \dashv y_D^\wedge \circ f^{\wedge\wedge} \circ y_{C^\wedge}.$$

There is a set theoretical caveat. In particular, this formula is correct only after some additional comments, see (2.1).

The right hand side is also known as the set-valued right Kan extension functor along $C^\circ \xrightarrow{f^\circ} D^\circ$. There are several formulas for right Kan extensions in the literature, for instance using ends [ML 71, X.4], all of them necessarily yielding the same result up to natural equivalence, by uniqueness of the adjoint. In particular, (2.1) is merely still another such a formula.

Letting $f = 1_C$, we recover the (absolute) Yoneda Lemma, thus giving a solution to the exercise [ML 71, X.7, ex. 2]. Concerning its origin, MAC LANE recalled the following incident, taking place in around 1954/55 [ML 98].

MAC LANE, then visiting Paris, was anxious to learn from YONEDA, and commenced an interview with YONEDA in a café at the Gare du Nord. The interview was continued on YONEDA's train until its departure. In its course, MAC LANE learned about the lemma and subsequently baptized it.

0.2 A relative co-Yoneda Lemma

Suppose given a functor $C \xrightarrow{k} Z$. KAN constructed in [K 58, Th. 14.1] the left adjoint to the functor from Z to C^\wedge that sends $z \in Z$ to $z(k(-), z) \in C^\wedge$. Now given a functor $C \xrightarrow{f} D$, we can specialize to $Z = D^\wedge$ and to a functor k that sends $c \in C$ to $d(-, fc) \in D^\wedge$, thus obtaining a left adjoint to $C^\wedge \xleftarrow{f^\wedge} D^\wedge$. (KAN's notation is as follows. Identify $\mathcal{Z} = Z$, $\mathcal{V} = C$, $\mathfrak{M}^\mathcal{V} = C^\wedge$. The functor $H^\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Z})$ maps from $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{Z}$ to $\mathfrak{M}^\mathcal{V}$, i.e. from (the category of covariant functors from \mathcal{V} to \mathcal{Z}) $\times \mathcal{Z}$ to the category of presheaves on \mathcal{V} . Given $\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{k} \mathcal{Z}$ and an object $z \in \mathcal{Z}$, the pair (k, z) is mapped to $z(-, z) \circ k$.) We shall rephrase this special case of KAN's formula as follows, in order to be able to compare the left and the right adjoint of f^\wedge .

Let $C^\vee := (C^\circ)^\wedge$. The co-Yoneda embedding, sending x to ${}_c(x, -)$, shall be denoted by $C \xrightarrow{y'_C} C^\vee$. There is a tensor product $C^\wedge \times C^\vee \longrightarrow (\text{Set})$, sending $v \times v'$ to $v \otimes_C v'$. The according univalent functor that sends v to $v \otimes_C -$ shall be denoted by $C^\wedge \xrightarrow{z_C} C^{\vee\vee}$.

Proposition ([K 58], cf. 3.1, the relative co-Yoneda Lemma). *Given a functor $C \xrightarrow{f} D$, we have*

$$y'_D{}^\vee \circ f^{\vee\vee} \circ z_C \dashv f^\wedge.$$

Again, there is a set-theoretical caveat.

0.3 Acknowledgements

I would like to thank F. BORCEUX for pointing out set theoretical difficulties. I would like to thank R. RENTSCHLER for kind hospitality. The author received support from the EU TMR-network ‘Algebraic Lie Representations’, grant no. ERB FMRX-CT97-0100.

0.4 Notation

- (i) We write composition of morphisms on the right, $\xrightarrow{a} \xrightarrow{b} = \xrightarrow{ab}$. However, we write composition of functors on the left, $\xrightarrow{f} \xrightarrow{g} = \xrightarrow{g \circ f}$.
- (ii) Given a functor $C \xrightarrow{f} D$, the opposite functor between the opposite categories is denoted by $C^o \xrightarrow{f^o} D^o$.
- (iii) Given functors $C \xrightarrow[f']{f} D \xrightarrow[g']{g} E$ and natural transformations $f \xrightarrow{\alpha} f'$ and $g \xrightarrow{\beta} g'$, we denote by $g \circ f \xrightarrow{\beta \circ f} g' \circ f$ the natural transformation defined by $(\beta \circ f)c = \beta(fc)$ for $c \in C$ and by $g \circ f \xrightarrow{g \circ \alpha} g \circ f'$ the natural transformation defined by $(g \circ \alpha)c = g(\alpha c)$ for $c \in C$. More generally,

$$(g \circ f \xrightarrow{\beta \circ \alpha} g' \circ f') := (g \circ f \xrightarrow{\beta \circ f} g' \circ f \xrightarrow{g' \circ \alpha} g' \circ f') = (g \circ f \xrightarrow{g \circ \alpha} g \circ f' \xrightarrow{\beta \circ f'} g' \circ f').$$

1 Universes

Since we will iterate the construction ‘forming the presheaf category over a category’ once, we shall work in the setting of universes, which enables us to do such ‘large’ constructions when keeping track of the universe needed. Therefore, we start with a preliminary section to recall this well-known technique from [SGA 4 I, App.] and to fix some notation.

Definition 1.1 (N. BOURBAKI, [SGA 4 I, App., 1, Déf. 1])

A universe is a set \mathfrak{U} that satisfies the conditions (U1-4).

(U1) $x \in y \in \mathfrak{U}$ implies $x \in \mathfrak{U}$.

(U2) $x, y \in \mathfrak{U}$ implies $\{x, y\} \in \mathfrak{U}$.

(U3) $x \in \mathfrak{U}$ implies $\mathfrak{P}(x) \in \mathfrak{U}$.

(U4) Given $I \in \mathfrak{U}$ and a map $I \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$, $i \mapsto x_i$, the union $\bigcup_{i \in I} x_i$ is in \mathfrak{U} .

Here $\mathfrak{P}(x)$ denotes the power set of x .

Remark 1.2

- (i) $x \in \mathfrak{U}$ implies $\{x\} = \{x, x\} \in \mathfrak{U}$.

(ii) $x \subseteq y \in \mathfrak{U}$ implies $x \in \mathfrak{U}$. In particular, if y surjects onto some set z , then z is in bijection to an element of \mathfrak{U} .

(iii) Let $(x, y) := \{x, \{x, y\}\}$. The element x is the unique element of

$$\{a \in (x, y) \mid \text{for all } b \in (x, y) \setminus \{a\} \text{ we have } a \in b\},$$

since $\{x, y\} \in x$ would contradict VON NEUMANN's axiom, asserting that any nonempty set S contains an element that has an empty intersection with S . Now $\{x, y\}$ is the unique element of $(x, y) \setminus \{x\}$, and y is the unique element of $\{x, y\} \setminus \{x\}$.

(iv) $X \in \mathfrak{U}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{U}$ implies $X \times Y := \bigcup_{x \in X} \bigcup_{y \in Y} \{(x, y)\} \in \mathfrak{U}$.

(v) Given $I \in \mathfrak{U}$ and a map $I \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$, $i \mapsto x_i$, the disjoint union $\coprod_{i \in I} x_i := \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i \times \{i\}$ is in \mathfrak{U} .

(vi) Given $I \in \mathfrak{U}$ and a map $I \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$, $i \mapsto x_i$, the product $\prod_{i \in I} x_i \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(\prod_{i \in I} x_i)$ is in \mathfrak{U} .

Assume given universes \mathfrak{U} , \mathfrak{V} and \mathfrak{W} such that

$$\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{U} \in \mathfrak{V} \in \mathfrak{W}.$$

This may be achieved by means of BOURBAKI's axiom (A.6) in [SGA 4 I, App., 4], which says that any set is element of some universe. Note that $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{V} \subseteq \mathfrak{W}$.

For set theoretical purposes the following definition is convenient.

Definition 1.3 (cf. [M 65, I.2]) *A small category C is a tuple $(M, K \subseteq M \times M \times M)$, M being a set, subject to the conditions (i-iii). We write $M =: \text{Mor } C$, the set of morphisms of C . K is the composition law of C .*

(i) *For every $(a, b) \in M \times M$ there exists at most one $c \in M$ such that $(a, b, c) \in K$. In this case, we write $c = ab$ and say that '(the composite) ab exists'.*

Let

$$\text{Ob } C := \{ a \in M \mid \text{for all } b \text{ for which } ba \text{ exists, we have } ba = b, \text{ and} \\ \text{for all } c \text{ for which } ac \text{ exists, we have } ac = c. \} \subseteq \text{Mor } C$$

be the set of objects of C . If $a \in \text{Ob } C$, we also write $a =: 1_a$.

(ii) *For every $a \in M$ there exists a unique source element $s(a) \in \text{Ob } C$ such that $s(a)a$ exists, and a unique target element $t(a) \in \text{Ob } C$ such that $at(a)$ exists.*

(iii) *For $a, b \in M$ such that the composite ab exists, the composites $t(a)b$ and $as(b)$ exist.*

(iv) *Given $a, b, c \in M$ such that ab and bc exist. Then $(ab)c$ and $a(bc)$ exist and equal each other.*

The set of morphisms with start x and target y shall be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(x, y)$.

Suppose given $a, b \in M$. Note that if ab exists, we have $s(ab) = s(a)$ and $t(ab) = t(b)$. Note that $s(s(a)) = s(a)$, $t(t(a)) = t(a)$. Note that ab exists iff $t(a) = s(b)$.

Definition 1.4 A set X is said to be \mathfrak{U} -small if there exists a bijection from X to an element of \mathfrak{U} . The category of \mathfrak{U} -small sets is denoted by $(\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}})$. Note that by a skeleton argument, $(\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}})$ is equivalent to the category of sets contained as elements in \mathfrak{U} , denoted by $(\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}}^0)$.

A small category C is said to be \mathfrak{U} -small if $\text{Mor } C$ is \mathfrak{U} -small. A category C is said to be essentially \mathfrak{U} -small if it is equivalent to a \mathfrak{U} -small category, or, equivalently, if it has a \mathfrak{U} -small skeleton.

Remark 1.5 The category $(\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}}^0)$ is \mathfrak{V} -small since $\coprod_{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{U} \times \mathfrak{U}} (\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}}^0)(x, y)$ is an element of \mathfrak{V} . Hence the category $(\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}})$ is essentially \mathfrak{V} -small.

Given categories C and D , we denote the category of functors mapping from C to D by $\llbracket C, D \rrbracket$.

Lemma 1.6 If C and D are \mathfrak{U} -small, then $\llbracket C, D \rrbracket$ is \mathfrak{U} -small. If C and D are essentially \mathfrak{U} -small, then $\llbracket C, D \rrbracket$ is essentially \mathfrak{U} -small.

Using induced equivalences, it suffices to prove the first assertion. But then

$$\text{Ob } \llbracket C, D \rrbracket \subseteq \mathfrak{P}(\text{Mor } C \times \text{Mor } D)$$

is \mathfrak{U} -small. Moreover, given $f, g \in \llbracket C, D \rrbracket$, the set

$$\llbracket C, D \rrbracket(f, g) \subseteq \coprod_{x \in \text{Ob } C} D(fx, gx)$$

is \mathfrak{U} -small. Hence $\text{Mor } \llbracket C, D \rrbracket$ is \mathfrak{U} -small.

Lemma 1.7 Given an essentially \mathfrak{U} -small category C , the category

$$C^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}} := \llbracket C^o, (\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}}) \rrbracket$$

of $(\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}})$ -valued presheaves over C is essentially \mathfrak{V} -small. Sometimes we write $C^{\wedge} = C^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}}$ if the universe is unambiguous. Likewise, the category

$$C^{\vee \mathfrak{U}} := \llbracket C, (\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}}) \rrbracket$$

of $(\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}})$ -valued copresheaves over C is essentially \mathfrak{V} -small. Sometimes we write $C^{\vee} = C^{\vee \mathfrak{U}}$.

This follows from (1.5, 1.6).

Definition 1.8 Given an essentially \mathfrak{U} -small category C , we have the Yoneda embedding

$$\begin{aligned} C &\xrightarrow{y_C} C^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}} \\ x &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, x) \end{aligned}$$

and the co-Yoneda embedding

$$\begin{aligned} C &\xrightarrow{y'_C} C^{\vee_{\mathfrak{U}}} \\ x &\longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(x, -). \end{aligned}$$

For a functor $C \xrightarrow{f} D$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} C^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}} &\xleftarrow{f^{\wedge}} D^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}} \\ (u \circ f^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\beta \circ f^{\circ}} u' \circ f^{\circ}) &\longleftarrow (u \xrightarrow{\beta} u'). \end{aligned}$$

Given functors $C \xrightleftharpoons[g]{f} D$ and a natural transformation $f \xrightarrow{\alpha} g$, we denote by $f^{\wedge} \xleftarrow{\alpha^{\wedge}} g^{\wedge}$ the natural transformation that is given at $u \in D^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}}$ by the morphism $u \circ f^{\circ} \xleftarrow{u \circ \alpha^{\circ}} u \circ g^{\circ}$ in $C^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}}$, that evaluated at $c \in C^{\circ}$ in turn yields $u f c \xleftarrow{u \alpha c} u g c$.

Analogously for $C^{\vee_{\mathfrak{U}}} \xleftarrow{f^{\vee}} D^{\vee_{\mathfrak{U}}}$ and $f^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\vee}} g^{\vee}$.

2 The right Kan extension

Proposition 2.1 (the relative Yoneda Lemma) Given essentially \mathfrak{U} -small categories C and D , and a functor $C \xrightarrow{f} D$. Then the right adjoint $C^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} D^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}}$ of $C^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}} \xleftarrow{f^{\wedge}} D^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}} \wedge_{\mathfrak{V}}} & \xrightarrow{f^{\wedge \wedge}} & D^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}} \wedge_{\mathfrak{V}}} \\ \uparrow y_{C^{\wedge}} & & \downarrow y_D^{\wedge} \\ C^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & D^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}} \end{array}$$

Keeping the name of the functor after restricting the image to $D^{\wedge_{\mathfrak{U}}}$, we write shorthand

$$\boxed{f^{\wedge} \dashv y_D^{\wedge} \circ f^{\wedge \wedge} \circ y_{C^{\wedge}}.}$$

The unit of this adjunction

$$\boxed{1_{D^{\wedge}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \varphi \circ f^{\wedge}}$$

at $u \in D^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}}$, i.e.

$$u \xrightarrow{\varepsilon u} C^\wedge(f^{\wedge, o} \circ y_D^o(-), u \circ f^o),$$

applied to $d \in D$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ud &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon ud} C^\wedge(D(f^o(-), d), u \circ f^o) \\ x &\longrightarrow (x)\varepsilon ud, \end{aligned}$$

where the natural transformation $(x)\varepsilon ud$ sends at $c \in C$

$$\begin{aligned} D(fc, d) &\xrightarrow{(x)\varepsilon ud} ufc \\ a &\longrightarrow (a) \left[((x)\varepsilon ud)c \right] = (x)(ua^o). \end{aligned}$$

The counit of this adjunction

$$\boxed{f^\wedge \circ \varphi \xrightarrow{\eta} 1_{C^\wedge}}$$

at $v \in C^\wedge$, i.e.

$$C^\wedge(f^{\wedge, o} \circ y_D^o \circ f^o(-), v) \xrightarrow{\eta v} v,$$

applied to $c \in C$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C^\wedge(D(f^o(-), fc), v) &\xrightarrow{\eta vc} vc \\ \xi &\longrightarrow (\xi)\eta vc = (1_{fc})\xi c \end{aligned}$$

Since the set

$$C^\wedge(D(f(-), d), v) \subseteq \prod_{c \in \text{Ob } C} (\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}})(D(fc, d), vc)$$

is \mathfrak{U} -small, φ exists as the factorization of $y_D^\wedge \circ f^{\wedge \wedge} \circ y_{C^\wedge}$ over the inclusion $D^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}} \subseteq D^{\wedge \mathfrak{A}}$.

Various compatibilities need to be verified to ensure the well-definedness of ε and η ⁽¹⁾.

¹ Given $u \in D^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}}$, we need to see that $u \xrightarrow{\varepsilon u} \varphi \circ f^\wedge(u)$ is a natural transformation. Suppose given $d' \xrightarrow{a} d$ in D . We have to show that for any $x \in ud$

$$(x)(\varepsilon ud) \left((\varphi \circ f^\wedge)ua^o \right) = (x)(ua^o) (\varepsilon ud')$$

is an equality of natural transformations from $D(f^o(-), d')$ to $u \circ f^o$. At $c \in C$, the element $fc \xrightarrow{a'} d'$ is mapped by the left hand side to $(x)(u(a'a^o))$, and by the right hand side to $(x)(ua^o)(ua'^o)$.

We need to see that $1_{D^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \varphi \circ f^\wedge$ is a natural transformation. Suppose given $u \xrightarrow{s} u'$ in $D^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}}$. We have to show that for any $d \in D$ and any $x \in ud$

$$(x)(sd) (\varepsilon u'd) = (x)(\varepsilon ud) \left((\varphi \circ f^\wedge(s))d \right)$$

is an equality of natural transformations from $D(f^o(-), d)$ to $u' \circ f$. At $c \in C$, the element $fc \xrightarrow{a} d$ is mapped by the left hand side to $(x)(sd)(u'a^o)$, and by the right hand side to $(x)(ua^o)(s(fc))$.

We have to show that $(f^\wedge \circ \varepsilon)(\eta \circ f^\wedge) = 1_{f^\wedge}$. Suppose given $u \in D^\wedge$, $c \in C$ and $x \in (f^\wedge u)c = u(fc)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x)\left((f^\wedge \circ \varepsilon)uc\right)\left((\eta \circ f^\wedge)uc\right) &= (x)\left(\varepsilon u(fc)\right)\left(\eta(u \circ f^o)c\right) \\ &= (1_{fc})\left[\left((x)(\varepsilon u(fc))\right)c\right] \\ &= (x)(u1_{fc}^o) \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that $(\varepsilon \circ \varphi)(\varphi \circ \eta) = 1_\varphi$. Suppose given $v \in C^{\wedge u}$ and $d \in D$. Note that $\varphi v = {}_{C^\wedge}(f^{\wedge, o} \circ y_D^o(-), v)$ and therefore $\varphi vd = {}_{C^\wedge}(D(f^o(-), d), v)$. The application $\left((\varepsilon \circ \varphi)vd\right)\left((\varphi \circ \eta)vd\right)$ writes

$${}_{C^\wedge}(D(f^o(-), d), v) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon(\varphi v)d} {}_{C^\wedge}(D(f^o(-), d), {}_{C^\wedge}(f^{\wedge, o} \circ y_D^o \circ f^o(-), v)) \xrightarrow{(-)\eta v} {}_{C^\wedge}(D(f^o(-), d), v)$$

An element $\xi \in \varphi vd$, i.e. ${}_{D}(f^o(-), d) \xrightarrow{\xi} v$, is thus mapped to the composite

$${}_{D}(f^o(-), d) \xrightarrow{(\xi)\varepsilon(\varphi v)d} {}_{C^\wedge}(f^{\wedge, o} \circ y_D^o \circ f^o(-), v) \xrightarrow{\eta v} v.$$

Now suppose given $c \in C$ and $fc \xrightarrow{a} d$. We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{D}(fc, d) & \xrightarrow{((\xi)\varepsilon(\varphi v)d)c} & {}_{C^\wedge}(D(f^o(-), fc), v) & \xrightarrow{\eta vc} & vc \\ a & \longrightarrow & (\xi)((\varphi v)a^o) & & \\ & & = (\xi) {}_{C^\wedge}(D(f^o(-), a), v) & & \\ & & = {}_{D}(f^o(-), a)\xi & \longrightarrow & (1_{fc}) {}_{D}(fc, a)(\xi c) \\ & & & & = (a)(\xi c), \end{array}$$

whence $\xi\left((\varepsilon \circ \varphi)vd\right)\left((\varphi \circ \eta)vd\right) = \xi$.

Remark 2.2 (the absolute Yoneda Lemma) *In case $f = 1_C$, we obtain $1 \dashv y_C^\wedge \circ y_{C^\wedge}$, which by uniqueness of the right adjoint yields the comparison isomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}_{C^\wedge}(C(-, c), v) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta vc} & vc \\ \xi & \longrightarrow & (1_c)\xi c, \end{array}$$

Given $v \in C^{\wedge u}$, we need to see that $f^\wedge \circ \varphi(v) \xrightarrow{\eta v} v$ is a natural transformation. Suppose given $c' \xrightarrow{b} c$ in C . We have to show that for any $\xi \in (f^\wedge \circ \varphi(v))c = {}_{C^\wedge}(D(f^o(-), fc), v)$ we have

$$(x)\left(\eta vc\right)\left(vb^o\right) = (x)\left((f^\wedge \circ \varphi(v))b^o\right)\left(\eta vc'\right).$$

The left hand side yields $(1_{fc})(\xi c)(vb^o)$, the right hand side yields $(fb)\xi c'$.

We need to see that $f^\wedge \circ \varphi \xrightarrow{\eta} 1_{C^{\wedge u}}$ is a natural transformation. Suppose given $v \xrightarrow{t} v'$ in $C^{\wedge u}$. We have to show that for any $c \in C$ and any $\xi \in (f^\wedge \circ \varphi(v))c = {}_{C^\wedge}(D(f^o(-), fc), v)$ we have

$$(\xi)\left(\eta vc\right)\left(tc\right) = (\xi)\left((f^\wedge \circ \varphi(t))c\right)\left(\eta v'c\right).$$

The left hand side yields $(1_{fc})(\xi c)(tc)$. The right hand side yields $(1_{fc})(\xi t)c$.

at $v \in C^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}}$ and $c \in C$, with inverse given by $\varepsilon v c$.

Corollary 2.3 *If f is full, then the counit η of the adjunction $f^\wedge \dashv y_D^\wedge \circ f^{\wedge\wedge} \circ y_{C^\wedge}$ is a monomorphism. If f is full and faithful, then η is an isomorphism.*

3 The left Kan extension

For sake of comparison to (2.1), we rephrase the pertinent case of KAN's formula in our setting.

Let C be a \mathfrak{U} -small category. Let $v \in C^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}}$, let $w \in C^{\vee \mathfrak{U}}$. We define the set $v \otimes_C w$ as the quotient of the disjoint union

$$v \times_C w := \coprod_{c \in C} v c \times w c$$

modulo the equivalence relation generated by the following relation \sim_C . The equivalence class of $(p, q) \in v c \times w c$, $c \in C$, shall be denoted by $p \otimes q$.

Given $(p, q) \in v c \times w c$, $(p', q') \in v c' \times w c'$, we say that $(p, q) \sim_C (p', q')$ if there exists a morphism $c \xrightarrow{a} c'$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (p') v a^o &= p \\ (q) w a &= q'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the quotient map $v \times_C w \xrightarrow{\nu} v \otimes_C w$ has the following universal property. Given a map $v \times_C w \xrightarrow{\nu'} X$ such that for any morphism $c \xrightarrow{a} c'$, any $p' \in v c'$ and any $q \in w c$ we have

$$((p') v a^o, q) \nu' = (p', (q) w a) \nu',$$

there exists a unique map $v \otimes_C w \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nu}'} X$ such that $\nu' = \nu \tilde{\nu}'$.

In particular, given morphisms $v \xrightarrow{m} v'$ and $w \xrightarrow{n} w'$, we obtain a map $m \otimes_C n$ that maps an element represented by $(p, q) \in v c \times w c$, $c \in C$, as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v \otimes_C w & \xrightarrow{m \otimes_C n} & v' \otimes_C w' \\ p \otimes q & \longrightarrow & (p) m c \otimes (q) n c \end{array}$$

Thus the tensor product defines a functor $C^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}} \times C^{\vee \mathfrak{U}} \xrightarrow{= \otimes_C -} (\text{Set}_{\mathfrak{U}})$. We denote the univalent tensor product functor by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}} & \xrightarrow{z_C} & C^{\vee \mathfrak{U} \vee \mathfrak{U}} \\ v & \longrightarrow & v \otimes_C -. \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.1 (the relative co-Yoneda Lemma, KAN [K 58, Th. 14.1]) *Given \mathfrak{U} -small categories C and D , and a functor $C \xrightarrow{f} D$. The left adjoint $C^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}} \xrightarrow{\psi} D^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}}$ of $C^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}} \xleftarrow{f^\wedge} D^{\wedge \mathfrak{U}}$ is given by*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C^{\vee\mathfrak{M}\vee\mathfrak{M}} & \xrightarrow{f^{\vee\vee}} & D^{\vee\mathfrak{M}\vee\mathfrak{M}} \\
z_C \uparrow & & \downarrow y'_D{}^\wedge \\
C^\wedge\mathfrak{M} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & (D^o)^{\vee\mathfrak{M}} = D^\wedge\mathfrak{M}
\end{array}$$

For short,

$$\boxed{y'_D{}^\vee \circ f^{\vee\vee} \circ z_C \dashv f^\wedge.}$$

The unit of this adjunction

$$\boxed{1_{C^\wedge} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} f^\wedge \circ \psi}$$

at $v \in C^\wedge$, i.e.

$$v \xrightarrow{\varepsilon v} v \otimes_C f^\vee \circ y'_D \circ f^o(-),$$

applied to $c \in C$, is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
vc & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon vc} & v \otimes_C D(fc, f(-)) \\
x & \longrightarrow & x \otimes 1_{fc}.
\end{array}$$

The counit of this adjunction

$$\boxed{\psi \circ f^\wedge \xrightarrow{\eta} 1_{D^\wedge}}$$

at $u \in D^\wedge$, i.e.

$$u \circ f^o \otimes_C f^\vee \circ y'_D(-) \xrightarrow{\eta u} u,$$

applied to $d \in D$, is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
u \circ f^o \otimes_C D(d, f(-)) & \xrightarrow{\eta ud} & ud \\
p \otimes q & \longrightarrow & (p)uq^o,
\end{array}$$

where $p \otimes q$ is represented by $(p, q) \in \text{ufc} \times D(d, fc)$ for some $c \in C$.

Various compatibilities have to be verified to ensure the well-definedness of ε and η ⁽²⁾.

² Given $v \in C^\wedge$, we need to see that $v \xrightarrow{\varepsilon v} f^\wedge \circ \psi(v)$ is a natural transformation. Suppose given $c' \xrightarrow{b} c$. We have to show that for any $x \in vc$

$$(x)(\varepsilon vc) \left((f^\vee \circ \psi(v))b^o \right) = (x)(vb^o) \left(\varepsilon vc' \right).$$

The left hand side yields $x \otimes fb$. The right hand side yields $x(vb^o) \otimes 1_{fc'}$.

We need to see that $1_{C^\wedge} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} f^\wedge \circ \psi$ is a natural transformation. Suppose given $v \xrightarrow{t} v'$ in C^\wedge . For any $c \in C$ and any $x \in vc$ we have

$$(x)(tc) \left(\varepsilon v'c \right) = (x)(\varepsilon vc) \left(tc \otimes D(fc, f(-)) \right) = (x)tc \otimes 1_{fc}.$$

Given $u \in D^\wedge$ and $d \in D$, we need to see that ηud is a well-defined map. Suppose given $c, c' \in C$,

We have to show that $(\varepsilon \circ f^\wedge)(f^\wedge \circ \eta) = 1_{f^\wedge}$. Suppose given $u \in D^\wedge$, $c \in C$ and $x \in (f^\wedge u)c$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x)\left((\varepsilon \circ f^\wedge)uc\right)\left((f^\wedge \circ \eta)uc\right) &= (x)\left(\varepsilon(u \circ f^\circ)c\right)\left(\eta u(fc)\right) \\ &= (x \otimes 1_{fc})\left(\eta u(fc)\right) \\ &= (x)u1_{fc}^\circ \\ &= x. \end{aligned}$$

We have to show that $(\psi \circ \varepsilon)(\eta \circ \psi) = 1_\psi$. Suppose given $v \in C^\wedge$, $d \in D$, $c \in C$, $s \in vc$, $t \in {}_D(d, fc)$, so that $s \otimes t \in \psi vd = v \otimes_C {}_D(d, f(-))$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (s \otimes t)\left((\psi \circ \varepsilon)vd\right)\left((\eta \circ \psi)vd\right) &= (s \otimes t)\left(\varepsilon v \otimes {}_D(d, f(-))\right)\left(\eta(v \otimes_C f^\vee \circ y'_D)d\right) \\ &= \left((s \otimes 1_{fc}) \otimes t\right)\left(\eta(v \otimes_C f^\vee \circ y'_D)d\right) \\ &= (s \otimes 1_{fc})\left(v \otimes_C {}_D(t, f(-))\right) \\ &= (s \otimes t). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2 (the absolute co-Yoneda Lemma) *In case $f = 1_C$, we obtain $y'_D{}^\vee \circ z_C \dashv 1_{C^\wedge}$, which by uniqueness of the left adjoint yields the comparison isomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v \otimes_C c(c, -) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\eta^{vc}} & vc \\ s \otimes t & \longrightarrow & (s)vt^\circ \end{array}$$

at $v \in C^{\wedge u}$ and $c \in C$, with inverse given by $\varepsilon v c$.

Corollary 3.3 *If f is full, then the unit ε of the adjunction $y'_D{}^\vee \circ f^{\vee\vee} \circ z_C \dashv f^\wedge$ is an epimorphism. If f is full and faithful, then ε is an isomorphism.*

$c \xrightarrow{b} c'$ in C and $p' \in ufc'$, $q \in {}_D(d, fc)$. Since

$$\left((p')uf^\circ b^\circ\right)uq^\circ = (p')u(q(fb))^\circ,$$

the universal property applies.

Given $u \in D^\wedge$, we need to see that $\psi \circ f^\wedge(u) \xrightarrow{\eta^u} u$ is a natural transformation. Suppose given $d' \xrightarrow{a} d$. For all $c \in C$, $p \in ufc$ and $q \in {}_D(d, fc)$ we obtain

$$(p \otimes q)\left(\eta ud\right)\left(ua^\circ\right) = (p \otimes q)\left((\psi \circ f^\wedge(u))a^\circ\right)\left(\eta ud'\right) = (p)u(aq)^\circ.$$

We need to see that $\psi \circ f^\wedge \xrightarrow{\eta} 1_{D^\wedge}$ is a natural transformation. Suppose given $u' \xrightarrow{s} u$ in D^\wedge . We have to show that for all $d \in D$, $c \in C$, $p \in ufc$ and $q \in {}_D(d, fc)$, we have

$$(p \otimes q)\left(\eta ud\right)\left(sd\right) = (p \otimes q)\left((\psi \circ f^\wedge(s))d\right)\left(\eta u'd\right).$$

The left hand side yields $(p)(uq^\circ)(sd)$. The right hand side yields $(p)(s(fc))(u'q^\circ)$.

4 References

BOURBAKI, N.

[SGA 4] *Univers*, appendice de l'exposé I de SGA 4, tome 1, SLN 269, 1972.

KAN, D. M.

[K 58] *Adjoint functors*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 87, pp. 294-329, 1958.

MAC LANE, S.

[ML 71] *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, GTM 5, 1971.

[ML 98] *The Yoneda Lemma*, Math. Japon. 47 (1), p. 156, 1998.

MITCHELL, B.

[M 65] *Theory of categories*, Academic Press, 1965.

Matthias Künzer
Universität Ulm
Abt. Reine Mathematik
D-89069 Ulm
Germany
kuenzer@mathematik.uni-ulm.de