

# On adjoint functors of the Heller operator

Matthias Künzer

December 16, 2011

## Abstract

Given an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  with enough projectives, we can form its stable category  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}/\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ . The Heller operator  $\Omega : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is characterised on an object  $X$  by a choice of a short exact sequence  $\Omega X \rightarrow P \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $P$  projective. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is Frobenius, then  $\Omega$  is an equivalence, hence has a left and a right adjoint. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is hereditary, then  $\Omega$  is zero, hence has a left and a right adjoint. In general,  $\Omega$  is neither an equivalence nor zero. In the examples we have calculated via MAGMA, it has a left adjoint, but in general not a right adjoint. If  $\mathcal{A}$  has projective covers, then  $\Omega$  preserves monomorphisms; this would also follow from  $\Omega$  having a left adjoint. I do not know an example where  $\Omega$  does not have a left adjoint.

## Contents

<b>0</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
0.1	The question . . . . .	2
0.2	Monomorphisms . . . . .	2
0.3	Construction of a left adjoint to the Heller operator $\Omega$ . . . . .	2
0.4	Two counterexamples . . . . .	2
0.5	Acknowledgements . . . . .	3
0.6	Notations and conventions . . . . .	3
<b>1</b>	<b>The Heller operator <math>\Omega</math></b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Notation . . . . .	3
1.2	Preservation of monomorphisms . . . . .	4
1.3	Relative projective covers . . . . .	5
<b>2</b>	<b>Examples for adjoints of the Heller operator <math>\Omega</math></b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Example of a left adjoint . . . . .	7
2.1.1	A list of indecomposables . . . . .	7
2.1.2	Construction of a left adjoint . . . . .	8
2.2	Another example of a left adjoint . . . . .	11
2.2.1	A list of indecomposables . . . . .	11
2.2.2	Construction of a left adjoint . . . . .	11
2.3	Further examples of left adjoints . . . . .	14
2.4	Counterexample: no right adjoint . . . . .	14

## 0 Introduction

### 0.1 The question

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an exact category. Let  $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$  be its full additive subcategory of relative projectives, i.e. for  $P \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}$  we have  $P \in \text{Ob Proj}(\mathcal{E})$  if and only if  $\mathcal{E}(P, -)$  maps pure short exact sequences of  $\mathcal{E}$  to short exact sequences of abelian groups. Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}$  has enough relative projectives, i.e. suppose that for any  $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}$ , there exists a pure epimorphism  $P \twoheadrightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $P \in \text{Ob Proj}(\mathcal{E})$ .

Write  $\underline{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{E}/\text{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$ . The Heller operator  $\Omega : \underline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}$  is characterised on a given  $X \in \text{Ob } \underline{\mathcal{E}}$  by a choice of a pure short exact sequence

$$\Omega X \twoheadrightarrow P \twoheadrightarrow X$$

in  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $P$  relatively projective. This then is extended to morphisms.

We ask whether  $\Omega$  has a left adjoint; cf. Question 1. I do not know a counterexample.

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is a Frobenius category, then  $\Omega$  is an equivalence, thus has both a left and a right adjoint.

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is hereditary, i.e. if  $\Omega \simeq 0$ , then  $\Omega$  has both a left and a right adjoint, viz. 0.

### 0.2 Monomorphisms

If a functor has a left adjoint, then it preserves monomorphisms. So first of all, we ask whether the Heller operator  $\Omega : \underline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}$  preserves monomorphisms.

It turns out that if  $\mathcal{E}$  is weakly idempotent complete and has relative projective covers in the sense of §1.3, then  $\Omega$  maps monomorphisms even to coretractions; cf. Proposition 4.

### 0.3 Construction of a left adjoint to the Heller operator $\Omega$

Let  $p \in [2, 997]$  be a prime. Let  $R := \mathbf{F}_p[X]$  and  $\pi := X$ .

Let  $A := (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f) \simeq \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 & R/\pi^3 \\ 0 & R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E} := \text{mod-}A$ .

Using MAGMA [1], we construct a left adjoint  $S : \underline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}$  to  $\Omega$ . We do so likewise for certain factor rings of  $A$ . Cf. Propositions 6, 9 and 11.

Let now  $k$  be a field,  $R := k[X]$  and  $\pi := X$ . Let  $n \geq 1$ . An  $(R/\pi^n)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)$ -module is given by a morphism  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  in  $\text{mod-}(R/\pi^n)$ . The full subcategory of  $\text{mod-}(R/\pi^n)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)$  consisting of injective morphisms  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  as modules has been intensely studied; it is of finite type if  $n \leq 5$ , tame if  $n = 6$ , wild if  $n \geq 7$ ; cf. [7, (0.1), (0.6)].

### 0.4 Two counterexamples

The functor  $\Omega : \underline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}$  does not have a right adjoint in general; cf. Remark 13.

Provided  $S \dashv \Omega$  exists, the composite  $\Omega \circ S : \underline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}$  is not idempotent in general; cf. Remark 12.

## 0.5 Acknowledgements

SEBASTIAN THOMAS asked whether there exists a category with set of weak equivalences  $(\mathcal{C}, W)$  that carries the structure of a Brown fibration category, but whose  $\Omega$  on  $\mathcal{C}[W^{-1}]$  <sup>(1)</sup> does not have a left adjoint. In our exact category context, this is Question 1.

I thank STEFFEN KÖNIG for help with monomorphisms, cf. §1.2. I thank SEBASTIAN THOMAS and MARKUS KIRSCHMER for help with MAGMA. I thank MARKUS SCHMIDMEIER for help with  $\text{mod-}(R/\pi^n)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)$ .

## 0.6 Notations and conventions

- Given  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we write  $[a, b] := \{z \in \mathbf{Z} : a \leq z \leq b\}$ .
- Composition of morphisms is written naturally,  $(\xrightarrow{a} \xrightarrow{b}) = \xrightarrow{ab} = \xrightarrow{a \cdot b}$ .  
Composition of functors is written traditionally,  $(\xrightarrow{F} \xrightarrow{G}) = \xrightarrow{GoF}$ .
- In a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , given  $X, Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ , we write  $_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  for the set of morphisms from  $X$  to  $Y$ .
- Given an isomorphism  $f$ , we write  $f^{-}$  for its inverse.
- In an additive category, a morphism of the form  $X \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} X \oplus Y$ , or isomorphic to such a morphism, is called split monomorphic; a morphism of the form  $X \oplus Y \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} X$ , or isomorphic to such a morphism, is called split epimorphic.
- In exact categories, pure monomorphisms are denoted by  $\dashrightarrow$ , pure epimorphisms by  $\dashrightarrow$  and pure squares, i.e. bicartesian squares with pure short exact diagonal sequence, by a box  $\square$  in the diagram.
- Given a ring  $A$ , an  $A$ -module is a finitely generated right  $A$ -module.
- Given a commutative ring  $A$  and  $a \in A$ , we often write  $A/a := A/(a) = A/aA$ .
- Given a noetherian ring  $A$ , we write  $\underline{\text{mod-}}A := \underline{\text{mod-}}A$  for the factor category of  $\text{mod-}A$  modulo the full additive subcategory of projectives.  
So in the language of §1.1 below, we consider the abelian category  $\text{mod-}A$  as an exact category with all short exact sequences declared to be pure and write  $\underline{\text{mod-}}A$  for its classical stable category.

# 1 The Heller operator $\Omega$

## 1.1 Notation

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an exact category in the sense of QUILLEN [5, p. 99] with enough relative projectives. We will use the notation of [4, §A.2] concerning pure short exact sequences, pure monomorphisms and pure epimorphisms.

Let  $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  denote the full subcategory of relative projectives. Let

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}} := \mathcal{E}/\text{Proj}(\mathcal{E})$$

denote the classical stable category of  $\mathcal{E}$ . The residue class functor shall be denoted by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\mathcal{E}} \\ (X \xrightarrow{f} Y) & \longmapsto & (X \xrightarrow{[f]} Y) . \end{array}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Cf. also [6, p. 210].

For each  $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}$ , we choose a pure short exact sequence

$$(*) \quad \Omega X \xrightarrow{i_X} PX \xrightarrow{p_X} X$$

with  $PX$  relatively projective. Let the *Heller operator* [3]

$$\Omega : \underline{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}$$

be defined on the objects by the choice just made. Suppose given a morphism  $X \xrightarrow{[f]} Y$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ . Choose a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega X & \xrightarrow{i_X} & PX & \xrightarrow{p_X} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow \hat{f} & & \downarrow f \\ \Omega Y & \xrightarrow{i_Y} & PY & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

of pure short exact sequences. Let

$$\Omega[f] := [f'] .$$

Different choices of pure short exact sequences (\*) yield mutually isomorphic Heller operators.

**Question 1** *Does  $\Omega$  have a left adjoint?*

I do not know a counterexample.

## 1.2 Preservation of monomorphisms

If  $\Omega : \underline{\mathcal{E}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}$  has a left adjoint, then it preserves monomorphisms. So if, for some  $\mathcal{E}$ , the functor  $\Omega$  did not preserve monomorphisms, then  $\Omega$  could not have a left adjoint. Under certain finiteness assumptions, however, we will show that  $\Omega$  maps monomorphisms to coretractions, so in particular to monomorphisms. This is to be compared to the case of  $\mathcal{E}$  being Frobenius, where in the triangulated category  $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$  all monomorphisms are split.

**Lemma 2** *Suppose that for  $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}$  and for  $s \in {}_{\mathcal{E}}(PX, PX)$  such that  $sp_X = p_X$ , the endomorphism  $s$  is an isomorphism.*

*Suppose given  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ .*

*If  $[f]$  is a monomorphism, then  $\Omega[f]$  is a coretraction.*

*In particular,  $\Omega$  preserves monomorphisms.*

*Proof.* Choose a morphism of pure short exact sequences as shown below. Insert a pullback  $(T, X, PY, Y)$  and the induced morphism  $PX \xrightarrow{v} T$ , having  $vg = \hat{f}$  and  $vq = p_X$ . Insert a

kernel  $j$  of  $q$  with  $fg = i_Y$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Omega X & \xrightarrow{i_X} & PX & \xrightarrow{p_X} & X \\
 \downarrow f' & & \downarrow \hat{f} & \searrow v & \downarrow f \\
 & & & T & \\
 & & & \nearrow q & \\
 \Omega Y & \xrightarrow{i_Y} & PY & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Y \\
 & & \downarrow g & & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

We have  $f'j = i_X v$ , since  $f'jq = 0 = i_X p_X = i_X v q$  and  $f'jg = f'i_Y = i_X \hat{f} = i_X v g$ .

We have  $[q][f] = [gp_Y] = 0$ . Since  $[f]$  is monomorphic, we infer that  $[q] = 0$ . Hence there exists  $T \xrightarrow{u} PX$  such that  $up_X = q$ . On the kernels, we obtain  $\Omega Y \xrightarrow{u'} \Omega X$  such that  $u'i_X = ju$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Omega X & \xrightarrow{i_X} & PX & \xrightarrow{p_X} & X \\
 \downarrow f' & & \downarrow \hat{f} & \searrow v & \downarrow f \\
 & & & T & \\
 & & & \nearrow q & \\
 \Omega Y & \xrightarrow{i_Y} & PY & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Y \\
 & & \downarrow g & & \\
 & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

We have  $vp_X = vq = p_X$ . Hence  $vu$  is an isomorphism by assumption.

We obtain  $f'u'i_X = f'ju = i_X vu$ . So  $(f'u', vu, \text{id}_X)$  is a morphism of pure short exact sequences. Hence  $f'u'$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $f'$  is a coretraction. We conclude that  $\Omega[f] = [f']$  is a coretraction.  $\square$

### 1.3 Relative projective covers

Suppose  $\mathcal{E}$  to be weakly idempotent complete; cf. [2, Def. 7.2].

A morphism  $S \xrightarrow{i} M$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  is called *small* if in each pure square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \longrightarrow & T \\
 \downarrow & \square & \downarrow t \\
 S & \xrightarrow{i} & M
 \end{array}$$

in  $\mathcal{E}$ , the morphism  $T \xrightarrow{t} M$  is purely epimorphic; cf. [8, Def. 2.8.30]. In other words,  $i$  is small iff  $\binom{i}{t}$  being purely epimorphic entails  $t$  being purely epimorphic for each morphism  $t$  with same target as  $i$ . E.g.  $i = 0$  is small, for  $\binom{0}{t} = \binom{0}{1} t$  is purely epimorphic only if  $t$  is; cf. [2, Prop. 2.16].

If  $S \xrightarrow{i} M$  is small and split monomorphic, then there exists

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & S' \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow i' \\ S & \xrightarrow{i} & M \end{array},$$

forcing  $i'$  to be an isomorphism and thus  $S$  to be isomorphic to 0.

Given  $\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{j} S \xrightarrow{i} M$  with  $S \xrightarrow{i} M$  small, then  $\tilde{S} \xrightarrow{ji} M$  is small. In fact, given  $t$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ t \end{pmatrix}$  is a pure epimorphism, the factorisation  $\begin{pmatrix} j \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ t \end{pmatrix}$  shows that  $\begin{pmatrix} i \\ t \end{pmatrix}$  is a pure epimorphism; cf. [2, Cor. 7.7]. Thus  $t$  is purely epimorphic by smallness of  $i$ .

A *relative projective cover* of  $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}$  is a pure epimorphism  $P \xrightarrow{p} X$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $P$  is relatively projective and such that  $\text{Kern } p \xrightarrow{\bullet} P$  is small; cf. [8, 2.8.31].

We say that  $\mathcal{E}$  has *relative projective covers* if for each  $X \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{E}$ , there exists a relative projective cover  $P \xrightarrow{p} X$ .

**Lemma 3** *Suppose given a relative projective cover  $P \xrightarrow{p} X$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Suppose given  $P \xrightarrow{s} P$  such that  $sp = p$ . Then  $s$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We complete to a pure short exact sequence  $K \xrightarrow{k} P \xrightarrow{p} X$ . We obtain a morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K & \xrightarrow{k} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\ g \downarrow & \square & s \downarrow & & \parallel \\ K & \xrightarrow{k} & P & \xrightarrow{p} & X \end{array}.$$

of pure short exact sequences. Since the left hand side quadrangle is a pure square, we conclude that  $s$  is purely epimorphic by smallness of  $K \xrightarrow{k} P$ . Hence  $s$  is split epimorphic by relative projectivity of  $P$ ; cf. [2, Rem. 7.4]. Let  $L \xrightarrow{\ell} P$  be a kernel of  $s$ . Since  $\ell$  factors over the small morphism  $k$ , it is small as well. Since  $\ell$  is split monomorphic, we have  $L \simeq 0$ . Thus  $s$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 4** *Suppose that the exact category  $\mathcal{E}$  is weakly idempotent complete and has relative projective covers.*

*Then  $\Omega : \underline{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}$  maps each monomorphism to a coretraction. In particular,  $\Omega$  preserves monomorphisms.*

*Proof.* We may use relative projective covers to construct  $\Omega$  in (\*). Then Lemma 3 allows us to apply Lemma 2.  $\square$

## 2 Examples for adjoints of the Heller operator $\Omega$

Let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain, with a maximal ideal generated by an element  $\pi \in R$ .

Let

$$A := (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f).$$

I.e.  $A$  is the path algebra of  $e \xrightarrow{a} f$  over the ground ring  $R/\pi^3$ . It has primitive idempotents  $e$  and  $f$ , and  $a \in eAf$ .

An object in  $\text{mod-}A$  is given by a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\text{mod-}(R/\pi^3)$ . A morphism in  $\text{mod-}A$  is given by a commutative quadrangle in  $\text{mod-}(R/\pi^3)$ .

## 2.1 Example of a left adjoint

### 2.1.1 A list of indecomposables

Define the following objects in  $\text{mod-}A$ .

$$\begin{array}{ll}
P_1 := (R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi^3) & P_2 := (0 \longrightarrow R/\pi^3) \\
X_1 := (R/\pi \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi) & X_{14} := (R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3) \\
X_2 := (R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi^2) & X_{15} := (R/\pi^3 \longrightarrow 0) \\
X_3 := (R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi) & X_{16} := (R/\pi^2 \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3) \\
X_4 := (R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi^2) & X_{17} := (R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pi & \pi^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^2 \oplus R/\pi^3) \\
X_5 := (R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^3) & X_{18} := (R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^2 \\ 1 & \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3) \\
X_6 := (R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2) & X_{19} := (R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3) \\
X_7 := (R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3) & X_{20} := (R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi) \\
X_8 := (R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^2) & X_{21} := (R/\pi \longrightarrow 0) \\
X_9 := (R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^3) & X_{22} := (0 \longrightarrow R/\pi) \\
X_{10} := (R/\pi^2 \longrightarrow 0) & X_{23} := (R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2) \\
X_{11} := (0 \longrightarrow R/\pi^2) & X_{24} := (R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3) \\
X_{12} := (R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pi^2 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^3) & X_{25} := (R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2) \\
X_{13} := (R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3) &
\end{array}$$

A matrix inspection yields the

#### Lemma 5

- (1) For each projective indecomposable  $A$ -module  $P$ , there exists a unique  $i \in [1, 2]$  such that  $P \simeq P_i$ .
- (2) For each nonprojective indecomposable  $A$ -module  $X$ , there exists a unique  $i \in [1, 25]$  such that  $X \simeq X_i$ .

### 2.1.2 Construction of a left adjoint

Our aim in this section is to computationally verify the

**Proposition 6** *Suppose given a prime  $p \in [2, 997]$ . Suppose that  $R = \mathbf{F}_p[X]$  and  $\pi = X$ . Then the Heller operator  $\Omega : \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A \rightarrow \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A$  has a left adjoint.*

For ease of MAGMA input, we have used that

$$A \simeq \mathbf{F}_p \left( u \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} e \xrightarrow{a} f \begin{array}{c} \circlearrowleft \\ \circlearrowright \end{array} v \right) / (u^3, v^3, ua - av)$$

as  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -algebras.

To reduce the calculation of this adjoint functor to the proof of the representability of certain functors, we use

**Lemma 7** ([9, 16.4.5, 4.5.1]) *Suppose given categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  and a functor  $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}$ . Suppose that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(Y, F(-))} & \text{Sets} \\ (X \xrightarrow{x} X') & \longmapsto & (\mathcal{D}(Y, FX) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}(Y, Fx)} \mathcal{D}(Y, FX')) \end{array}$$

*is representable for each  $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}$ .*

*Then  $F$  has a left adjoint.*

*More precisely, given a map  $\text{Ob } \mathcal{C} \xleftarrow{\gamma} \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}$  and an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}(Y, F(-)) \xrightarrow{\varphi_Y} \mathcal{D}(Y\gamma, -)$$

*for  $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}$ , there exists a left adjoint  $\mathcal{C} \xleftarrow{G} \mathcal{D}$  to  $F$ , i.e.  $G \dashv F$ , such that, writing*

$$\varepsilon_Y := (1_{Y\gamma})(\varphi_Y(Y\gamma))^{-1} : Y \rightarrow F(Y\gamma)$$

*for  $Y \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}$ , we have*

$$G(Y \xrightarrow{y} Y') = (Y\gamma \xrightarrow{(y \cdot \varepsilon_{Y'}) (\varphi_{Y'}(Y'\gamma))} Y'\gamma)$$

*for  $Y \xrightarrow{y} Y'$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

Thus in order to construct the left adjoint to  $\Omega$  on  $\underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A$ , it suffices to show that the functor  $\underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A(X_i, \Omega(-))$  is representable  $i \in [1, 25]$ . We shall do so by an actual construction of an isotransformation from a Hom-functor.

Suppose given  $i \in [1, 25]$ . Such an isotransformation is necessarily of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A(SX_i, Y) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A(X_i, \Omega Y) \\ [f] & \longmapsto & [\varepsilon_i] \cdot \Omega[f] \end{array}$$



for some  $SX_i \in \text{Ob } \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A$  and some  $A$ -linear map  $\epsilon_i : X_i \longrightarrow \Omega SX_i$ , where  $Y \in \text{Ob } \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A$ .

So it suffices to find an  $A$ -module  $SX_i$  and an  $A$ -linear map  $\epsilon_i : X_i \longrightarrow \Omega SX_i$  such that the induced map

$$(**) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A(SX_i, X_j) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A(X_i, \Omega X_j) \\ [f] & \longmapsto & [\epsilon_i] \cdot \Omega[f] \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism for  $j \in [1, 25]$ .

In particular, given an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $X_i$  in  $\underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A$ , an automorphism  $\beta$  of  $SX_i$  in  $\underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A$  and a valid such morphism  $[\epsilon_i]$ , then  $\alpha \cdot [\epsilon_i] \cdot \Omega\beta$  is another valid such morphism, sometimes of a simpler shape.

To show that a guess for  $SX_i$  is in fact the sought-for representing object, we make use of the fact that  $\underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A(X_i, \Omega SX_i)$  is finite, so that we have only a finite set of candidates for  $\epsilon_i$ . Then to check whether the candidate-induced maps  $(**)$  are isomorphisms, is also feasible via MAGMA, using in particular its commands `ProjectiveCover`, `AHom` and `PHom`; cf. [1].

We obtain

$$\begin{array}{llll} SX_1 = X_2 & \Omega SX_1 = X_1 & SX_{14} = X_5 & \Omega SX_{14} = X_{19} \\ SX_2 = X_1 & \Omega SX_2 = X_2 & SX_{15} = 0 & \Omega SX_{15} = 0 \\ SX_3 = X_2 & \Omega SX_3 = X_1 & SX_{16} = X_2 \oplus X_{19} & \Omega SX_{16} = X_1 \oplus X_5 \\ SX_4 = X_1 & \Omega SX_4 = X_2 & SX_{17} = X_1 \oplus X_5 & \Omega SX_{17} = X_2 \oplus X_{19} \\ SX_5 = X_{19} & \Omega SX_5 = X_5 & SX_{18} = X_6 & \Omega SX_{18} = X_7 \\ SX_6 = X_7 & \Omega SX_6 = X_6 & SX_{19} = X_5 & \Omega SX_{19} = X_{19} \\ SX_7 = X_6 & \Omega SX_7 = X_7 & SX_{20} = X_2 & \Omega SX_{20} = X_1 \\ SX_8 = X_1 & \Omega SX_8 = X_2 & SX_{21} = 0 & \Omega SX_{21} = 0 \\ SX_9 = X_{19} & \Omega SX_9 = X_5 & SX_{22} = X_{11} & \Omega SX_{22} = X_{22} \\ SX_{10} = 0 & \Omega SX_{10} = 0 & SX_{23} = X_7 & \Omega SX_{23} = X_6 \\ SX_{11} = X_{22} & \Omega SX_{11} = X_{11} & SX_{24} = X_5 & \Omega SX_{24} = X_{19} \\ SX_{12} = X_{19} & \Omega SX_{12} = X_5 & SX_{25} = X_7 & \Omega SX_{25} = X_6 \\ SX_{13} = X_6 & \Omega SX_{13} = X_7 & & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll} \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \epsilon_1 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{14} \\ \epsilon_{14} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{14} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ \epsilon_2 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi^2 \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{15} \\ \epsilon_{15} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{15} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ \epsilon_3 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{16} \\ \epsilon_{16} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{16} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \quad \quad \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} X_4 \\ \epsilon_4 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_4 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi^2 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{17} \\ \epsilon_{17} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{17} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pi & \pi^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^2 \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \pi & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi^2 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi^2 \oplus R/\pi \xrightarrow{\quad} R/\pi^2 \oplus R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_5 \\ \epsilon_5 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_5 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{18} \\ \epsilon_{18} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{18} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^2 \\ 1 & \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{(1 \ \pi)} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_6 \\ \epsilon_6 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_6 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{19} \\ \epsilon_{19} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{19} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_7 \\ \epsilon_7 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_7 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{(1 \ \pi)} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{(1 \ \pi)} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{20} \\ \epsilon_{20} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{20} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_8 \\ \epsilon_8 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_8 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{21} \\ \epsilon_{21} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{21} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_9 \\ \epsilon_9 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_9 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{22} \\ \epsilon_{22} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{22} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \longrightarrow R/\pi \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ 0 \longrightarrow R/\pi \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_{10} \\ \epsilon_{10} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{10} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{23} \\ \epsilon_{23} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{23} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_{11} \\ \epsilon_{11} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{11} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \longrightarrow R/\pi^2 \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ 0 \longrightarrow R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{24} \\ \epsilon_{24} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{24} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{12} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pi^2 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{25} \\ \epsilon_{25} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{25} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} . \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_{13} \\ \epsilon_{13} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{13} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{(1 \ \pi)} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{(1 \ \pi)} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 8** Keep the assumptions of Proposition 6.

We have  $(\Omega \circ S)^2 Y \simeq (\Omega \circ S)Y$  for  $Y \in \text{Ob } \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}A$ .

The unit of the adjunction  $S \dashv \Omega$  at an  $A$ -module  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  is represented by a factorisation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \bar{f} \downarrow & & \parallel \\ I_f & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & Y \end{array}$$

over an image  $I_f$  of the module-defining morphism  $f$ .

I do not know why.

## 2.2 Another example of a left adjoint

Recall that  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, with a maximal ideal generated by an element  $\pi \in R$ .

### 2.2.1 A list of indecomposables

Let

$$B := A/(\pi^2 a) = (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)/(\pi^2 a).$$

Indecomposable nonprojective  $B$ -modules become indecomposable nonprojective  $A$ -modules via restriction along the residue class map  $A \rightarrow B$ .

We list the 24 representatives of isoclasses of indecomposable nonprojective  $B$ -modules in the numbering used in §2.1.1 as follows.

$$X_1, X_2, X_3, X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8, X_9, X_{10}, X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{13}, X_{14}, X_{15}, X_{16}, \\ X_{17}, X_{18}, X_{19}, X_{20}, X_{21}, X_{22}, X_{23}, X_{24}, X_{25}$$

### 2.2.2 Construction of a left adjoint

Our aim in this section is to computationally verify the

**Proposition 9** *Suppose given a prime  $p \in [2, 997]$ . Suppose that  $R = \mathbf{F}_p[X]$  and  $\pi = X$ .*

*Then the Heller operator  $\Omega : \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}B \rightarrow \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}B$  has a left adjoint.*

We proceed analogously to §2.1.

We obtain

$$\begin{array}{llll}
SX_1 = X_2 & \Omega SX_1 = X_{21} & SX_{14} = X_2 \oplus X_9 & \Omega SX_{14} = X_{21} \oplus X_{11} \\
SX_2 = X_1 & \Omega SX_2 = X_3 & SX_{15} = X_2 & \Omega SX_{15} = X_{21} \\
SX_3 = X_1 & \Omega SX_3 = X_3 & SX_{16} = X_1 \oplus X_{17} & \Omega SX_{16} = X_3 \oplus X_{25} \\
SX_5 = X_{17} & \Omega SX_5 = X_{25} & SX_{17} = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_9 & \Omega SX_{17} = X_{21} \oplus X_3 \oplus X_{11} \\
SX_6 = X_2 \oplus X_{13} & \Omega SX_6 = X_{21} \oplus X_{22} & SX_{18} = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_9 & \Omega SX_{18} = X_{21} \oplus X_3 \oplus X_{11} \\
SX_7 = X_1 \oplus X_9 & \Omega SX_7 = X_3 \oplus X_{11} & SX_{19} = X_2 \oplus X_9 & \Omega SX_{19} = X_{21} \oplus X_{11} \\
SX_8 = X_1 \oplus X_2 & \Omega SX_8 = X_{21} \oplus X_3 & SX_{20} = X_1 & \Omega SX_{20} = X_3 \\
SX_9 = X_{17} & \Omega SX_9 = X_{25} & SX_{21} = X_2 & \Omega SX_{21} = X_{21} \\
SX_{10} = X_2 & \Omega SX_{10} = X_{21} & SX_{22} = X_{13} & \Omega SX_{22} = X_{22} \\
SX_{11} = X_9 & \Omega SX_{11} = X_{11} & SX_{23} = X_{17} & \Omega SX_{23} = X_{25} \\
SX_{12} = X_2 \oplus X_{17} & \Omega SX_{12} = X_{21} \oplus X_{25} & SX_{24} = X_2 \oplus X_9 & \Omega SX_{24} = X_{21} \oplus X_{11} \\
SX_{13} = X_1 \oplus X_9 & \Omega SX_{13} = X_3 \oplus X_{11} & SX_{25} = X_{17} & \Omega SX_{25} = X_{25}
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \epsilon_1 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ R/\pi \longrightarrow 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{14} \\ \epsilon_{14} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{14} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{0} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ \epsilon_2 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi^2 \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{15} \\ \epsilon_{15} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{15} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ R/\pi \longrightarrow 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_3 \\ \epsilon_3 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{16} \\ \epsilon_{16} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{16} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \quad \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi^2 \oplus R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_5 \\ \epsilon_5 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{17} \\ \epsilon_{17} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{17} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pi & \pi^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^2 \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \quad \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_6 \\ \epsilon_6 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{0} R/\pi \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{18} \\ \epsilon_{18} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{18} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi^2 \\ 1 & \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow \quad \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_7 \\ \epsilon_7 \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\pi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{19} \\ \epsilon_{19} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{19} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{0} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_{20} \\ \epsilon_{20} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{20} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} X_{20} \\ \epsilon_{20} \downarrow \\ \Omega SX_{20} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \\ 1 \downarrow \quad \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi \end{pmatrix}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} X_8 \\ \epsilon_8 \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_8 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^2 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi \end{pmatrix} & \quad \begin{pmatrix} X_{21} \\ \epsilon_{21} \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \longrightarrow 0 \\ 1 \downarrow & \downarrow \\ R/\pi \longrightarrow 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_9 \\ \epsilon_9 \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_9 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \quad \begin{pmatrix} X_{22} \\ \epsilon_{22} \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \longrightarrow R/\pi \\ \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \\ 0 \longrightarrow R/\pi \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_{10} \\ \epsilon_{10} \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_{10} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ 1 \downarrow & \downarrow \\ R/\pi \longrightarrow 0 \end{pmatrix} & \quad \begin{pmatrix} X_{23} \\ \epsilon_{23} \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \\ 1 \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_{11} \\ \epsilon_{11} \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_{11} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \longrightarrow R/\pi^2 \\ \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \\ 0 \longrightarrow R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \quad \begin{pmatrix} X_{24} \\ \epsilon_{24} \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_{24} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi^2} R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \xrightarrow{0} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_{12} \\ \epsilon_{12} \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_{12} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \pi^2 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^3 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} & \quad \begin{pmatrix} X_{25} \\ \epsilon_{25} \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_{25} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \\ 1 \downarrow & \downarrow 1 \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix} . \\
\begin{pmatrix} X_{13} \\ \epsilon_{13} \downarrow \\ \Omega S X_{13} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} R/\pi^3 \xrightarrow{(1 \ \pi)} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^3 \\ 1 \downarrow & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\pi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{(1 \ 0)} R/\pi \oplus R/\pi^2 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Cf. §2.1.

**Remark 10** Keep the assumptions of Proposition 9.

We have  $(\Omega \circ S)^2 Y \simeq (\Omega \circ S)Y$  for  $Y \in \text{Ob } \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}B$ .

Given an  $R/\pi^3$ -module  $X$ , we write  $\bar{X} := X/\pi^2 X$  and  $\text{Ann}_\pi \bar{X} := \{\bar{x} \in \bar{X} : \pi \bar{x} = 0\}$ .

The unit of the adjunction  $S \dashv \Omega$  at a  $B$ -module  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$  is represented by the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bar{X} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \bar{Y} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\bar{X}/\pi \text{Kern } \bar{f} & \longrightarrow & \bar{Y}/(\text{Ann}_\pi \bar{X})\bar{f} ,
\end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the respective residue class maps, and the middle and lower horizontal maps are the induced maps.

I do not know why.

### 2.3 Further examples of left adjoints

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &:= A/(\pi^2 f) &= (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)/(\pi^2 f) \\
C_2 &:= A/(\pi f) &= (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)/(\pi f) \\
C_3 &:= A/(\pi^2 e, \pi^2 f) &= (R/\pi^2)(e \xrightarrow{a} f) \\
C_4 &:= A/(\pi a) &= (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)/(\pi a) \\
C_5 &:= A/(\pi a, \pi^2 f) &= (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)/(\pi a, \pi^2 f) \\
C_6 &:= A/(\pi^2 e) &= (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)/(\pi^2 e) \\
C_7 &:= A/(\pi e) &= (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)/(\pi e) \\
C_8 &:= A/(\pi^2 e, \pi a) &= (R/\pi^3)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)/(\pi^2 e, \pi a)
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 11** *Suppose given a prime  $p \in [2, 997]$ . Suppose that  $R = \mathbf{F}_p[X]$  and  $\pi = X$ . Then the Heller operator  $\Omega : \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}C_j \rightarrow \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}C_j$  has a left adjoint for  $j \in [1, 8]$ .*

**Remark 12** Keep the assumptions of Proposition 11.

We have  $(\Omega \circ S)^2 Y \simeq (\Omega \circ S)Y$  for  $Y \in \text{Ob } \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}C_j$  for  $j \in [1, 8] \setminus \{5\}$ .

For  $j = 5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Omega \circ S)X_{10} &= X_{10} \oplus X_{21} \\
(\Omega \circ S)X_{21} &= X_{21}
\end{aligned}$$

in the notation of §2.1.1, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Omega \circ S)(R/\pi^2 \rightarrow 0) &= (R/\pi^2 \rightarrow 0) \oplus (R/\pi \rightarrow 0) \\
(\Omega \circ S)(R/\pi \rightarrow 0) &= (R/\pi \rightarrow 0).
\end{aligned}$$

### 2.4 Counterexample: no right adjoint

Recall from §2.3 that  $C_3 = (R/\pi^2)(e \xrightarrow{a} f)$ . As representatives of isoclasses of nonprojective  $C_3$ -modules we obtain, in the notation of §2.1.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_1 &:= X_1 = (R/\pi \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi) & Y_5 &:= X_{21} = (R/\pi \rightarrow 0) \\
Y_2 &:= X_3 = (R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{1} R/\pi) & Y_6 &:= X_{22} = (0 \rightarrow R/\pi) \\
Y_3 &:= X_6 = (R/\pi \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2) & Y_7 &:= X_{25} = (R/\pi^2 \xrightarrow{\pi} R/\pi^2) . \\
Y_4 &:= X_{10} = (R/\pi \rightarrow 0)
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 13** *Suppose that  $R = \mathbf{F}_3[X]$  and  $\pi = X$ .*

*The functor  $\Omega : \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}C_3 \rightarrow \underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}C_3$  does not have a right adjoint.*

*Proof.* MAGMA yields

$$H := \left( \dim_{\mathbf{F}_3}(\underline{\text{mod}}\text{-}C_3(Y_i, Y_j)) \right)_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1010100 \\ 1111101 \\ 0110110 \\ 0102101 \\ 0101101 \\ 1100010 \\ 0111111 \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^{7 \times 7}$$

and

$$H' := \left( \dim_{\mathbf{F}_3}(\underline{\text{mod-}C_3}(\Omega Y_i, Y_j)) \right)_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^{7 \times 7}.$$

Assume that  $\Omega$  has right adjoint  $T : \underline{\text{mod-}C_3} \rightarrow \underline{\text{mod-}C_3}$ .

Write  $TY_j \simeq \bigoplus_{k \in [1,7]} Y_k^{\oplus u_{k,j}}$  for  $j \in [1,7]$ , where  $U := (u_{k,j})_{k,j} \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^{7 \times 7}$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= \left( \dim_{\mathbf{F}_3}(\underline{\text{mod-}C_3}(\Omega Y_i, Y_j)) \right)_{i,j} \\ &= \left( \dim_{\mathbf{F}_3}(\underline{\text{mod-}C_3}(Y_i, TY_j)) \right)_{i,j} \\ &= \left( \dim_{\mathbf{F}_3}(\underline{\text{mod-}C_3}(Y_i, \bigoplus_{k \in [1,7]} Y_k^{\oplus u_{k,j}})) \right)_{i,j} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k \in [1,7]} \dim_{\mathbf{F}_3}(\underline{\text{mod-}C_3}(Y_i, Y_k)) \cdot u_{k,j} \right)_{i,j} \\ &= H \cdot U. \end{aligned}$$

So every column of  $H'$  is a linear combination of columns in  $H$  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . However, the third column of  $H'$  would afford a coefficient  $\in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$  at the first, third or fifth column of  $H$  because its first entry equals 1. But then its second entry would also be in  $\mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ , because these columns of  $H$  all have second entry equal to 1. But this second entry equals 0. We have arrived at a *contradiction*.  $\square$

## References

- [1] BOSMA, W.; CANNON, J.; PLAYOUST, C., *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (3-4), p. 235–265, 1997 (cf. magma.maths.usyd.edu.au).
- [2] BÜHLER, T., *Exact Categories*, Exp. Math. 28 (1), p. 1–69, 2010.
- [3] HELLER, A., *The loop-space functor in Homological Algebra*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 96, p. 382–394, 1960.
- [4] KÜNZER, M., *Heller triangulated categories*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications 9 (2), p. 233–320, 2007.
- [5] QUILLEN, D., *Higher algebraic K-theory: I*, SLN 341, p. 85–147, 1973.
- [6] RAUSSEN, M; SKAU, C., *Interview with Jean-Pierre Serre*, Notices AMS 51 (2), p. 210-214, 2004.
- [7] RINGEL, C. M., SCHMIDMEIER, M., *Invariant subspaces of nilpotent linear operators, I*, J. reine angew. Math. 614, p. 1–52, 2008.
- [8] ROWEN, L. H., *Ring Theory*, Student Edition, Acad. Press, 1991.
- [9] SCHUBERT, H., *Categories*, Springer, 1972.