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## Algebraic problem

Harish-Chandra modules for $(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})$

## Toy model

Category $\mathcal{O}$
(Also includes finite dim and Verma modules)

## Definition of Category $\mathcal{O}$
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## Definition

Category $\mathcal{O}$ is the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Mod} U(\mathfrak{g})$ whose objects satisfy the following properties:

- (O1) $M$ is finitely generated
- (O2) $M$ is $\mathfrak{h}$-semisimple, i.e. $M=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}} M_{\lambda}$
- (O3) $M$ is locally $\mathfrak{n}_{+}$-finite i.e. $\forall v \in M: \operatorname{dim} U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{+}\right) v<\infty$
$\mathcal{O}(2)+\mathcal{O}(3) \Rightarrow$ for every $v \in M$ there exists $k$ s.t. $\left(\mathfrak{n}_{+}\right)^{k} v=0$
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## Theorem

Category $\mathcal{O}$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) $\mathcal{O}$ is an Abelian category.
(2) $\mathcal{O}$ is Noetherian and Artinian.
(3) $\mathcal{O}$ is closed under submodules,quotients and finite direct sums.
(4) $\forall M \in \mathcal{O}$ all of the weight spaces $M_{\lambda}$ are finite dimensional.
(5) $\forall M \in \mathcal{O}$ the weights of $M$ are contained in the union of finitely many sets of the form $\lambda-\Gamma$ with $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ and $\Gamma$ the semigroup generated by the positive roots.
(6) $\forall M \in \mathcal{O}: M$ is finitely generated as a $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}\right)$module.
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## Definition

A $U(\mathfrak{g})$ module $M$ is a heighest weight module of weight $\lambda$ if there is a highest weight vector $v^{+} \in M_{\lambda}$ s.t. $M=U(\mathfrak{g}) v^{+}$

## Highest weight modules

Let $M$ be a heighest weight module of weight $\lambda$ generated by a maximal vector $v^{+}$. Fix an ordering of the positive roots
$\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ and choose corresponding root vectors $y_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_{i}}$.
Then:
(1) $M$ is spanned by the vectors $y_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots y_{m}^{i_{m}} v^{+}$with $i_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, having respective weights $\lambda-\sum i_{j} \alpha_{j}$.
(2) All weights $\mu$ of $M$ satisfy $\mu \leq \lambda$ (i.e. $\mu=\lambda$ - (sum of positive roots), or $\mu \in \lambda-\Gamma$ ).
(3) For all weights $\mu$ of $M$ we have $\operatorname{dim} M_{\mu}<\infty$, while $\operatorname{dim} M_{\lambda}=1$. So $M$ is a weight module, locally $\mathfrak{n}_{+}$finite and $M \in \mathcal{O}$.
(4) $M$ has a unique maximal submodule and unique simple quotient, in particualr $M$ is indecomposable.
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Let $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{g}$ the Borel subalgebra. Then $\mathfrak{b} / \mathfrak{n} \cong \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ be a 1 -dimensional $\mathfrak{b}$ module on which $\mathfrak{n}$ acts trivially and $\mathfrak{h}$ acts by $\lambda$.

Definition
$M(\lambda):=U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$

## Remark

$M(\lambda) \cong U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\lambda}$ as a left $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}\right)$-module (PBW Theorem). Hence $M(\lambda)$ is a heighest weight module: it is generated as a $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module by a maximal vector $v^{+}=1 \otimes 1$ of weight $\lambda$

## Remark

$M(\lambda)$ is a universal heighest weight module of weight $\lambda$ : For any heighest weight module $M$ of weight $\lambda$ we have a natural map from $M(\lambda)$ onto $M$
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## Theorem

Every simple module in $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to some $L(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ and is therefore uniquely determined up to isomorphism by its highest weight. Moreover, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(L(\mu), L(\lambda))=\delta_{\mu \lambda}$

Integral weight lattice: $\Lambda:=\left\{\lambda \in \Phi: \forall \alpha \in \Phi:<\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}>\in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$

## Theorem

$L(\lambda)$ is finite dimensional iff $\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}$.
Additionally, in this case $\operatorname{dim} L(\lambda)_{\mu}=\operatorname{dim} L(\lambda)_{w \mu}$ for any $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ and $w \in W$.
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## Proposition

Any $M \in \mathcal{O}$ decomposes as $M=\bigoplus_{\text {finite }} M^{\chi}$.
Explanation: $M$ is generated by a finite number of its (finite dimensional) weight spaces $M_{\mu}$. Each $M_{\mu}$ decomposes into a finite direct sum of subspaces $M^{\chi}$ by a standard argument from linear algebra. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\chi}$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ corresponding to $\chi$, i.e. whose objects are all $M$ s.t. $M=M^{\chi}$.

## Proposition

Category $\mathcal{O}$ is the direct sum of the subcategories $\mathcal{O}_{\chi}$. Therefore each indecomposable lies in a unique $\mathcal{O}_{\chi}$. In particular, each highest weight module of weight $\lambda$ lies in $\mathcal{O}_{\chi_{\lambda}}$
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It can be shown that in the above case $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are linked.
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## Theorem (Harish-Chandra)

(1) $\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ we have $\chi_{\lambda}=\chi_{\mu}$ iff $\exists w \in W: \mu=w \cdot \lambda$
(2) Every central character $\chi: Z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of the form $\chi_{\lambda}$

## Conclusion

The subcategories $\mathcal{O}_{\chi}$ each contain a finite number of simple modules $L(\lambda)$, i.e. those $L(\lambda)$ such that $\lambda \in W \cdot \lambda_{0}$, where $\chi=\chi_{\lambda_{0}}$.
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( $n$ is called the length of $M$ )
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## Remark

Each $M \in \mathcal{O}$ possesses a composition series
$0=M_{0} \subset \ldots \subset M_{n}=M$ s.t $M_{i} / M_{i-1} \cong L(\lambda)$ and $[M: L(\lambda)]$ is well defined.
( $n$ is called the length of $M$ )
Thus we want to study the structure of $L(\lambda) \in \mathcal{O}_{\chi}$. This together with the decomposition series will give us substantional information about the structure of $M \in \mathcal{O}$. This leads to the notion of formal characters in $\mathcal{O}$.
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If $M$ is finite dimensional then it corresponds to a group representation and knowing the formal character is equivalent to knowing the usual character on all elements of the group. Remark:
$0 \rightarrow M^{\prime} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{ch} M=\operatorname{ch} M^{\prime}+\operatorname{ch} M^{\prime \prime}$
So we can compute the character of any module if we know it's composition factors and their characters.
Computing $\mathrm{ch}_{L(\lambda)}$ directly is difficult. On the other hand $\mathrm{ch}_{M(\lambda)}$ is given by a simple formula (since it is a free $U\left(\mathfrak{n}_{-}\right)$module) and they turn out to be closely related.
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## Observation

$\mathrm{ch}_{M(\lambda)}=\sum_{\mu} \boldsymbol{a}(\lambda, \mu) \mathrm{ch}_{L(\mu)}$
Here $\mu \leq \lambda$ and linked to $\lambda, a(\lambda, \mu)=[M(\lambda): L(\mu)] \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $a(\lambda, \lambda)=1$.
Inverting this triangular linear system, we get:
$\operatorname{ch}_{L(\lambda)}=\sum_{\mu} b(\lambda, \mu) \operatorname{ch}_{M(\mu)} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{ch}_{L(\lambda)}=\sum_{w \cdot \lambda \leq \lambda} b(\lambda, w) \operatorname{ch}_{M(w \cdot \lambda)}$

## Remark

Using the above observation it is possible to use formal characters to prove the Weyl charcter formula.
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Consider the subcategory $\mathcal{O}_{0}:=\mathcal{O}_{\chi_{0}}$ (the principal block). The weight $-2 \rho$ is minimal in this linkage class. Note that $M(-2 \rho)=L(-2 \rho)$.
We parametrize the simple and Verma modules in $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ by the elements of $W$, e.g. write $L_{w}:=L(w \cdot(-2 \rho))$. It holds that [ $\left.M_{w}: L_{x}\right] \neq 0$ iff $x \leq w$ in the Bruhat ordering of the Weyl group.

## Kazhdan-Lustig Conjecture

Consider the subcategory $\mathcal{O}_{0}:=\mathcal{O}_{\chi_{0}}$ (the principal block). The weight $-2 \rho$ is minimal in this linkage class. Note that $M(-2 \rho)=L(-2 \rho)$.
We parametrize the simple and Verma modules in $\mathcal{O}_{0}$ by the elements of $W$, e.g. write $L_{w}:=L(w \cdot(-2 \rho))$. It holds that [ $\left.M_{w}: L_{x}\right] \neq 0$ iff $x \leq w$ in the Bruhat ordering of the Weyl group.

## Conjecture (Kazhdan-Lustig)

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{L_{w}}=\sum_{x \leq w}(-1)^{I(w)-l(x)} P_{x, w}(1) \operatorname{ch}_{M_{x}}
$$

Where $P_{x, w}$ is a Kazhdan-Lustig polynomial.

## Kazhdan-Lustig Conjecture

## Remark

For regular integral weights $\lambda$ (i.e. $\lambda \in \Lambda:|W \cdot \lambda|=|W|)$ the categories $\mathcal{O}_{\chi_{\lambda}}$ are equivalent, so this result allows to describe them as well.
There are similar results for the rest of $\mathcal{O}$ involving certain subgroups of W.
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Theorem (BGG Reciprocity)
$(P(\lambda): M(\mu))=[M(\mu): L(\lambda)]$
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