

Companion exercises for the lecture series
STABLE ∞ -CATEGORIES: LOCALISATIONS AND RECOLLEMENTS

Gustavo Jasso and Tashi Walde

'Two Week of Silting' Summer School
 Stuttgart, 30.07.2019–03.08.2019

Exercise 1 (Nerve and geometric realisation). Let \mathcal{C} be an ordinary category which admits all small colimits. Prove the following statements:

- (1) Let $F: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be an arbitrary functor. The functor

$$N_F: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}_\Delta, \quad c \longmapsto \mathcal{C}(F(-), c)$$

admits a left adjoint.

- (2) There is an adjunction

$$\tau: \mathbf{Set}_\Delta \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Cat}: N$$

such that $\tau(\Delta^n) = [n]$.

- (3) There is an adjunction

$$|-|: \mathbf{Set}_\Delta \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Top}: \text{Sing}$$

such that

$$|\Delta^n| = \{ \underline{t} \in [0, 1]^{n+1} \mid t_0 + t_1 + \cdots + t_n = 1 \}$$

endowed with the usual topology. Given a topological space X , discuss why is $\text{Sing}(X)$ an ∞ -category. \square

***Exercise 2** (Lurie's differential graded nerve). For a commutative ring \mathbb{k} , we denote the category (small) differential graded \mathbb{k} -categories and differential graded functors between them by $\mathbf{dgCat}_\mathbb{k}$ (see [Kel06] for definitions but note that we grade chain complexes *homologically*). For $n \geq 0$, let $Q^{(n)}$ be the quiver with vertex set $[n]$ and arrows

$$I: i \longrightarrow j$$

for each chain $I = \{i < k_1 < \cdots < k_\ell < j\}$ with $\ell \geq 0$. We endow $Q^{(n)}$ with a grading by setting

$$\deg(I) = \#(I \setminus \{i, j\}) = \ell.$$

Let $\mathbb{k}Q^{(n)}$ be the free (non-negatively) graded \mathbb{k} -category generated by $Q^{(n)}$. Each monotone function $\sigma: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ induces a functor of graded \mathbb{k} -categories $f_\sigma: \mathbb{k}Q^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathbb{k}Q^{(n)}$ given by

$$f_\sigma(I) = \begin{cases} \sigma(I) & \text{if } \sigma|_I \text{ is injective,} \\ \text{id}_k & \text{if } I = \{i < j\} \text{ and } \sigma(i) = \sigma(j) = k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We promote $\mathbb{k}Q^{(n)}$ to a differential graded \mathbb{k} -category $\mathbb{k}\Delta^n$ by defining the action of the differential

$$d: \mathbb{k}Q^{(n)}(i, j) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}Q^{(n)}(i, j)$$

on a chain $I = \{i < k_1 < \cdots < k_\ell < j\}$ by the formula

$$d(I) = \sum_{1 \leq m \leq \ell} (-1)^m (I \setminus \{k_m\} - \{k_m < \cdots < k_\ell < j\} \circ \{i < k_1 < \cdots < k_m\}).$$

According to Exercise 1 there is an adjunction

$$\mathbb{k}: \mathbf{Set}_\Delta \rightleftarrows \mathbf{dgCat}_\mathbb{k}: N(-)_{dg}$$

such that $\mathbb{k}\Delta^n$ is the differential graded \mathbb{k} -category defined above; moreover, for each small differential graded \mathbb{k} -category \mathcal{A} , the simplicial set $N(\mathcal{A})_{dg}$ is an ∞ -category [Lur17, Prop 1.3.1.10]. The functor $N(-)_{dg}$ is called the **differential graded nerve**.

Let \mathcal{A} be a small differential graded \mathbb{k} -category.

- (1) Describe the n -simplices of the ∞ -category $N(\mathcal{A})_{dg}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Discuss why is $N(\mathcal{A})_{dg}$ an ∞ -category.
- (2) Prove that

$$\mathrm{Ho}(N(\mathcal{A})_{dg}) = \mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{A})$ is the category with the same objects as \mathcal{A} and sets of morphisms

$$\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{A})(x, y) := \mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{A}(x, y)). \quad \square$$

Exercise 3. Let

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_L} \\ \xrightarrow{i} \\ \xleftarrow{i_R} \end{array} \mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{p_L} \\ \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{p_R} \end{array} \mathcal{B}$$

be a recollement of stable ∞ -categories. Prove that there is an equivalence of exact functors

$$(i_R \circ p_L) \simeq \Omega(i_L \circ p_R).$$

Hint: There is a biCartesian square of exact functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_L \circ p & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & i \circ i_L \end{array}$$

where $p_L \circ p \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow i \circ i_L$ are the counit and the unit of the adjunctions $p_L \dashv p$ and $i_L \dashv i$, respectively. \square

Exercise 4. Let $F: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an exact functor between stable ∞ -categories. Sketch the construction of recollements of stable ∞ -categories

$$\mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_L} \\ \xrightarrow{i} \\ \xleftarrow{i_R} \end{array} \mathcal{L}_*(F) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{p_L} \\ \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{p_R} \end{array} \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j_L} \\ \xrightarrow{j} \\ \xleftarrow{j_R} \end{array} \mathcal{L}^*(F) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{q_L} \\ \xrightarrow{q} \\ \xleftarrow{q_R} \end{array} \mathcal{B}.$$

Hint: Define recollement structures on $\mathrm{Fun}(s \rightarrow t, \mathcal{A})$ and use the defining pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_*(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow & \text{PB} & \downarrow F \\ \mathrm{Fun}(s \rightarrow t, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{p=t} & \mathrm{Fun}(t, \mathcal{A}) \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}^*(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow & \text{PB} & \downarrow F \\ \mathrm{Fun}(s \rightarrow t, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{q=s} & \mathrm{Fun}(s, \mathcal{A}) \end{array}$$

to construct morphisms of recollements

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_L} \\ \xrightarrow{i} \\ \xleftarrow{i_R} \end{array} \mathcal{L}_*(F) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{p_L} \\ \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{p_R} \end{array} \mathcal{B} & & \mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j_L} \\ \xrightarrow{j} \\ \xleftarrow{j_R} \end{array} \mathcal{L}^*(F) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{q_L} \\ \xrightarrow{q} \\ \xleftarrow{q_R} \end{array} \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \\ \mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i_L} \\ \xrightarrow{i} \\ \xleftarrow{i_R} \end{array} \mathrm{Fun}(s \rightarrow t, \mathcal{A}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{p_L} \\ \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{p_R} \end{array} \mathcal{A} & \text{and} & \mathcal{A} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j_L} \\ \xrightarrow{j} \\ \xleftarrow{j_R} \end{array} \mathrm{Fun}(s \rightarrow t, \mathcal{A}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{q_L} \\ \xrightarrow{q} \\ \xleftarrow{q_R} \end{array} \mathcal{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow F \end{array}$$

\square

Exercise 5. Let R and S be rings and M an $(S^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes R)$ -bimodule. Let $\mathcal{U}^*(- \otimes_S M)$ be the ordinary category whose objects are the pairs

$$(X, \varphi) = (X_S, \varphi: X \otimes_S M_R \rightarrow Y_R)$$

where X is a right S -module and φ is a morphism between right R -modules. A morphism $(X, \varphi) \rightarrow (X', \varphi')$ in $\mathcal{U}^*(- \otimes_S M)$ consists of morphisms

$$f: X \rightarrow X' \quad \text{and} \quad g: Y \rightarrow Y',$$

where f is a morphism between right S -modules and g is a morphism between right R -modules, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes_S M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ f \otimes_S \text{id}_M \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ X' \otimes_S M & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & Y' \end{array}$$

commutes. Prove the following statements:

- (1) There is a strict pullback diagram of categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}^*(-\otimes_S M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(s \rightarrow t, \text{Mod}(R)) \\ \downarrow & \text{PB} & \downarrow s \\ \text{Mod}(S) & \xrightarrow{-\otimes_S M} & \text{Mod}(R) \end{array}$$

Moreover, the category $\mathcal{U}^*(-\otimes_S M)$ is abelian.

- (2) There is a recollement of abelian categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \xleftarrow{i_L} & & \xleftarrow{p_L} & \\ \text{Mod}(R) & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{U}^*(-\otimes_S M) & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{Mod}(S) \\ & \xleftarrow{i_R} & & \xleftarrow{p_R} & \end{array}$$

such that $-\otimes_S M = i_R \circ p_L$ (give explicit formulas for all the six functors).

- (3) The category $\mathcal{U}^*(-\otimes_S M)$ is equivalent to $\text{Mod}\left(\begin{pmatrix} S & M \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix}\right)$ where

$$\begin{pmatrix} S & M \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} s & m \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \mid r \in R, s \in S, m \in M \right\}$$

viewed as a ring with respect to the usual matrix operations.

- (4) Make the appropriate modifications to define an abelian category $\mathcal{U}_*(\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(M, -))$ and formulate the analogues of (1), (2) and (3) above. What do you notice? \square

References

[Cis20] D.-C. Cisinski, *Higher categories and homotopical algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2020.

[Kel06] B. Keller, *On differential graded categories*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 151–190. MR 2275593

[Lur17] J. Lurie, *Higher algebra*, May 2017, Available online at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/>.

[MLM94] S. Mac Lane and I. Moerdijk, *Sheaves in geometry and logic*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994, A first introduction to topos theory, Corrected reprint of the 1992 edition. MR 1300636