

Preview: Why are we going to study short exact sequences of modules?

General principle: Try to reduce problems to smaller cases.

Example: R ring, X an R -module. X decomposable $\Leftrightarrow X \cong X_1 \oplus X_2$, where X_1, X_2 are R -modules, too, both $\neq 0$. If we know X_1 and X_2 well, then we may also know much about X .

(Under some assumptions, X has a unique decomposition $X = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$ into indecomposables. See the recap on the theorem of Krull-Remak-Schmidt.)

More generally, X may be the middle term of a short exact sequence of

$$R\text{-modules: } 0 \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \xrightarrow{\beta} X_2 \rightarrow 0$$

(α injective, β surjective, $\text{image}(\alpha) = \text{kernel}(\beta)$, see the recap on short exact sequences)

When $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ choose $\alpha: X_1 \rightarrow X$ the inclusion and $\beta: X \rightarrow X_2$ the projection

to get a split exact sequence.

When X has a submodule Y , one can form a short exact sequence (for short: ses)

$$0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \xrightarrow{\beta} X/Y \rightarrow 0 \text{ with } \alpha = \text{inclusion.}$$

(When X is simple it has no non-trivial submodule or quotient and thus cannot be the middle term of a non-trivial ses.)

Under some assumptions, ^{a general} X can be built up from simple modules by iterated ses, producing a composition series, which may be ^{essentially} unique. See the recap on composition series and the Jordan-Hölder theorem.)

Problem: How ~~we~~ can we build up larger modules from smaller ones by forming ses with the given modules as end terms?

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow ? \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \quad (A \text{ and } C \text{ } R\text{-modules})$$

Of course, there always is an ses with $? = A \oplus C$, i.e. a split ses. But are there other ses that do not split, and if so, how many - or how many really different ones?

Let us look at some examples:

- $R = \mathbb{Z}$. $A = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $C = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ are simple R -modules why?

There is an ses $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$ define α and β and check ses

However, this sequence splits and $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ why?

and there is no non-split ses with end terms A and C (or the other way round). why?

Keep $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and try $A = C = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$:

There is an ses $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow 0$ define α and β and check ses and this sequence does not split. why? (hint: orders of elements)

What is the difference? any conjectures?

- $R = K$, a field. Do you find any non-split ~~exact~~ ^{short exact} sequence?

$R = \text{Mat}(n \times n, K)$, the algebra of $n \times n$ -matrices over a field.

Do you find any non-split ses?

- $R = K[x]$, polynomial ring in one variable over a field

Recall or check: An R -module M is a K -vector space V together with a K -linear endomorphism $x: V \rightarrow V$.

Examples of R -modules: $M = (K^2, x: K^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} K^2)$, that is: M has a K -basis v_1, v_2 and $x: v_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$v_2 \mapsto v_1$$

$N = (K, x: K \xrightarrow{0} K)$, K -basis v_3 , $x: v_3 \mapsto 0$

There is an ses of R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} M/N \rightarrow 0$$

check

$$\alpha: v_3 \mapsto v_1 \quad \beta: v_1 \mapsto 0$$

$$v_2 \mapsto \text{basis element of } M/N \cong N$$

This sequence does not split (why) and the Jordan block $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ does not have an easier block diagonal form.

Keep $R = K[x]$ and $V = K^2$.

Define M_1 by $x: V \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}} V$

and M_2 by $x: V \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}} V$

split or non-split

Is M_1 or M_2 the middle term of a short exact sequence of R -modules?

If yes: write it down. If no: why not?

What makes the difference? any conjectures?

• $R = \begin{pmatrix} K & K \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$, upper triangular 2×2 -matrices over a field (subalgebra of $R \ni e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} K & K \\ K & K \end{pmatrix}$)

$Re_1 = \{re_1 : r \in R\}$ and Re_2 are R -submodules of R .

There is a series of R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow Re_1 \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} R \rightarrow R/Re_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } R/Re_1 = Re_2$$

This sequence splits. check

There also is a series of R -modules cokernel

$$0 \rightarrow Re_1 \rightarrow Re_2 \rightarrow \overbrace{Re_2/Re_1}^{\text{cokernel}} \rightarrow 0$$

which does not split. define α and β and check non-split

Here, $Re_1 \neq \text{cokernel}$. why not?

does this say anything about your conjectures?

These examples tell us (and are supposed to do so) that the situation is complicated. Given R -modules X and Y we don't know how many (or how many really different) series $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow E \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$ there are. In particular, we do not know how to decide if (X, Y given) always $E = X \oplus Y$, or something else can appear as middle term.

(The unknown middle term E often is called an extension of Y by X , since X is isomorphic (via α) to a submodule of E such that the quotient is Y :

$$X \xrightarrow{\alpha} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Y \\ \hline \alpha(X) \\ \hline \end{array} = E.$$

So, the problem has become bigger:

How to describe $\text{ser } 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow E \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$?

How to describe extensions E of Y by X ?

How many are really different? What means really different?

How to decide if all such ser split?

How to decide if always $E \approx X \oplus Y$?

- Approach:
- decide what "really different" means by putting an equivalence relation on the set of $\text{ser } 0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow E \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$
 - hope for surprises: this set has an algebraic structure!
 - decide when it is trivial and that this means all ser split
 - develop methods to compute this structure, when X and Y are given

Working out this approach will open ^a the door for us and lead us into a new area, homological algebra - which provides crucial tools not only for representation theory, but also for algebraic topology, algebraic geometry, microlocal analysis, -