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## 0 Introduction

An abelian Frobenius category is an abelian category with enough injective and projective objects, and where each injective object is projective and vice versa. For $p$ prime and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the category of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k}$-modules, denoted by $\mathbb{Z} / p^{k}$-mod, is an example of an abelian Frobenius category.

The stable category of an abelian Frobenius category $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as follows. As objects, we take the objects of $\mathcal{A}$. As morphisms, we take the residue classes $[f]$ of the morphisms $f$ in $\mathcal{A}$, modulo those that factorize over injective objects. This stable category is additive, but no longer abelian. As Happel has shown [2, ch. I, sec. 2.6], it is Verdier triangulated [5, ch. I, sec. 1-1].

In a Verdier triangulated category, one can extend any diagram of the form

$$
X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}
$$

to a (distinguished) triangle. We refer to $\left(X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}\right)$ as the base of this triangle. Two triangles on a given base are isomorphic [1, sec. 4.1.4].

This assertion can be extended as follows. Two (generalized) triangles on a base of the form

$$
X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow X_{n}
$$

are isomorphic [4, lem. 3.4(5)].
There is an obvious definition of (generalized) triangles on bases of the form

in the stable category of an abelian Frobenius category. When displayed, such a triangle is a four-dimensional diagram. The question arises whether two such triangles on the same base are necessarily isomorphic.

In this bachelor thesis, I construct two triangles on the base

in the stable category $\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\bmod$ of $\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\bmod$, that are not isomorphic. In particular the functor "restriction to the base" from these triangles to commutative quadrangles is not full.

## 0 Introduction

### 0.1 Notations

Throughout, let $p$ be a prime number.

- We compose morphisms in the following direction: $\xrightarrow{f} \xrightarrow{g}=\xrightarrow{f g}$. Sometimes we write $f \cdot g=f g$.
- By $\rightarrow$ we denote a monomorphism, by $\longrightarrow$ an epimorphism.
- We often refer to a diagram (i.e. quadrangle)

by the tuple of its objects $(A, B, C, D)$.
- By $|A|$ we denote the cardinality of a given set $A$.
- Given a category $\mathcal{A}$ and objects $X, Y \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, we denote the set of morphisms from $X$ to $Y$ by $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$.
- Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. For $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we denote:

$$
\left(a_{i, j}\right)_{i, j}: \bigoplus_{i \in[1, k]} \mathbb{Z} / p^{m_{i}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in[1, l]} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n_{j}}
$$

in $\mathbb{Z} / p^{t}$ - $\bmod$ and

$$
\left[a_{i, j}\right]_{i, j}: \bigoplus_{i \in[1, k]} \mathbb{Z} / p^{m_{i}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in[1, l]} \mathbb{Z} / p^{n_{j}}
$$

in $\mathbb{Z} / p^{t}-\underline{\bmod }($ see 1.10$)$, where $m_{i}, n_{j} \leq t$.
Remark. If $n_{j} \geq m_{i}$ we require that $p^{n_{j}-m_{i}} \mid a_{i, j}$ for welldefinedness of the maps.
For example,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
1-1 \\
3
\end{array} 1\right): \mathbb{Z} / 3 \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 3^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 3 \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 3^{2} \text { in } \mathbb{Z} / 3^{3}-\bmod
$$

has the residue class

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{3}-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]: \mathbb{Z} / 3 \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 3^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 3 \oplus \mathbb{Z} / 3^{2} \text { in } \mathbb{Z} / 3^{3} \text { - } \underline{\text { mod }}
$$

## 1 Theoretical preliminaries

### 1.1 Abelian categories

Definitions 1.1 (additive and abelian categories). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category.

1. We call $\mathcal{C}$ an additive category if there is a zero object 0 in $\operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, if for all objects $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ there exists a direct sum $X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$, and if for each object $X$ there is an endomorphism $-1_{X}$ on $X$ with $1_{X}+\left(-1_{X}\right)=0$.
Remark. For all objects $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$ the set ${ }_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is an abelian group, and the composition of morphisms is bilinear.
2. We call $\mathcal{C}$ an abelian category if it is additive, if for any morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ there exists a kernel and a cokernel, if any monomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$ is a kernel and if any epimorphism is a cokernel.

Definition 1.2 (additive functor). Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be additive categories. A functor $\mathrm{F}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called additive, if it satisfies the following:

1. F preserves zero objects, i.e. the object F 0 is a zero object in $\mathcal{B}$.
2. F preserves binary direct sums, that is, if $X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ is a direct sum of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ via $\iota_{i}: X_{i} \longrightarrow X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ and $\pi_{i}: X_{1} \oplus X_{2} \longrightarrow X_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$, then $\mathrm{F}\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)$ is a direct sum of $\mathrm{F} X_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F} X_{2}$ via $\mathrm{F} \iota_{i}: \mathrm{F} X_{i} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~F}\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{F} \pi_{i}: \mathrm{F}\left(X_{1} \oplus X_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{F} X_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$.

Remark. We apply additive functors summandwise in direct sums and componentwise in matrices:

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(\bigoplus_{i} X_{i} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{i, j}\right)_{i, j}} \bigoplus_{j} Y_{j}\right)=\left(\bigoplus_{i} \mathrm{~F} X_{i} \xrightarrow{\left(\mathrm{~F} f_{i, j}\right)_{i, j}} \bigoplus_{j} \mathrm{~F} Y_{j}\right)
$$

Definition 1.3 (pushout). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category. Suppose given the following diagram in $\mathcal{A}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{\prime}  \tag{1.1}\\
& { }_{g} \\
& X \xrightarrow{f} Y
\end{align*}
$$

A commutative diagramm

in $\mathcal{A}$ is called a pushout of (1.1) if for all $T \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and all morphisms $i: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow T$, $j: Y \longrightarrow T$ such that $g i=f j$, there exists a unique morphism $k: Y^{\prime} \longrightarrow T$ such that (1.3) commutes.


Remark. If $g$ in (1.2) is a monomorphism, then so is $h$.
Lemma 1.4 (a pushout criterion). Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Consider the following diagram in the abelian category $\mathcal{A}:=\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{t}\right)-\bmod$.


The diagram is a pushout if

- the morphism (if) : $X \longrightarrow X^{\prime} \oplus Y$ is a monomorphism,
- the morphism $\binom{f^{\prime}}{j}: X^{\prime} \oplus Y \longrightarrow Y^{\prime}$ is an epimorphism,
- the diagram commutes and
- $|X|\left|Y^{\prime}\right|=\left|X^{\prime}\right||Y|$.

Remark. If $i$ is a monomorphism, then so is $(i f)$.

### 1.2 Abelian Frobenius categories

Definition 1.5 (bijective object). Let $B$ be an object in an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. We call $B$ a bijective object if the map $\mathcal{A}(B, f):_{\mathcal{A}}(B, X) \longrightarrow{ }_{\mathcal{A}}(B, Y)$ is surjective for any epimorphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ and if the map $\mathcal{A}^{( }(f, B):_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, B) \longrightarrow{ }_{\mathcal{A}}(X, B)$ is surjective for any monomorphism $f: X \longrightarrow Y$.
Remark.

- This condition is equivalent to $B$ being both projective and injective in $\mathcal{A}$.
- The direct sum of bijective objects in $\mathcal{A}$ is bijective.

Definition 1.6 (abelian Frobenius category). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a abelian category. We call $\mathcal{A}$ an abelian Frobenius category if for all $X \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ there is an epimorphism $B \gg X$ and a monomorphism $X \rightarrow B^{\prime}$, where $B, B^{\prime}$ are bijective objects in $\mathcal{A}$.

Remark. The category $\mathbb{Z} / p^{t}$ - $\bmod$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is an abelian Frobenius category.

## 1 Theoretical preliminaries

Definitions 1.7 (stable category, residue class functor). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian Frobenius category.

1. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{ }_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text {bij }}(X, Y):=\{f: X \longrightarrow Y \mid \text { there is a bijective object } B \text { and morphisms } \\
&u: X \longrightarrow B, v: B \longrightarrow Y \text { in } \mathcal{A} \text { such that } f=u v\}
\end{aligned}
$$

be the set of all morphisms that factorize over bijective objects in $\mathcal{A}$.


We define the stable category $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ as follows. (For welldefinedness see lemma 1.8.1.) We let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Obj}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}) & :=\operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A}), \\
\underline{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) & :={ }_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) /_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{bij}}(X, Y) \text { for } X, Y \in \operatorname{Obj}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $f \in{ }_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ we write $[f]:=f+{ }_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text {bij }}(X, Y)$. Given $f \in_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y), g \in_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, Z)$, we define the composite of $[f]$ and $[g]$ in $\mathcal{A}$ by $[f][g]:=[f g]$. Given $X \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, we define the identity of $X$ in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ by $1_{X}:=\left[1_{X}\right]$.
2. We define the residue class functor $\mathrm{R}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$
\mathrm{R} X:=X, \quad \mathrm{R} f:=[f]
$$

for $X \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $f \in \operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{A})$. (For welldefinedness see lemma 1.8.2.)
Lemma 1.8. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian Frobenius category.

1. The stable category $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a welldefined additive category.
2. The residue class functor $\mathrm{R}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{\mathcal { A }}$ is a welldefined additive functor.

Proof.

1. We prove only that the composition in $\mathcal{A}$ is independent of the representatives of the composed residue classes. The axioms of a category then follow from the axioms in $\mathcal{A}$.
Consider residue classes $[f]=\left[f^{\prime}\right],[g]=\left[g^{\prime}\right]$ of morphisms $f, f^{\prime}: X \longrightarrow Y, g, g^{\prime}: Y \longrightarrow Z$ in $\mathcal{A}$. We have to show that $[f g]=\left[f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right]$. Since $[f]=\left[f^{\prime}\right]$, we have $f-f^{\prime} \in{ }_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text {bij }}(X, Y)$, that is, there exists a bijective object $B$ and morphisms $u: X \longrightarrow B, u^{\prime}: B \longrightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $u u^{\prime}=f-f^{\prime}$. Analogously, we have $g-g^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text {bij }}(Y, Z)$, that is, there exists a bijective object $C$ and morphisms $v: Y \longrightarrow C, v^{\prime}: C \longrightarrow Z$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $v v^{\prime}=g-g^{\prime}$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f g-f^{\prime} g^{\prime} & =f g-f^{\prime} g+f^{\prime} g-f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \\
& =\left(f-f^{\prime}\right) g+f^{\prime}\left(g-g^{\prime}\right) \\
& =u u^{\prime} g+f^{\prime} v v^{\prime} \\
& =\left(u f^{\prime} v\right)\binom{u^{\prime} g}{v^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $B \oplus C$ is a bijective object in $\mathcal{A}$ as a direct sum of such, we get $[f g]=\left[f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right]$.


Notation 1.9. The stable category of the abelian Frobenius category $\mathbb{Z} / p^{t}$ - $\bmod$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ will be denoted by

$$
\mathbb{Z} / p^{t}-\underline{\bmod }:=\underline{\mathbb{Z} / p^{t}-\bmod } .
$$

Lemma 1.10. For morphisms in $\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}$-mod we have:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Z} / p & \approx & \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\underline{\bmod }(\mathbb{Z} / p, \mathbb{Z} / p) \\
1+p \mathbb{Z} & \longmapsto & {[1]} \\
\mathbb{Z} / p & \approx & \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\underline{\bmod }\left(\mathbb{Z} / p, \mathbb{Z} / p^{2}\right) \\
1+p \mathbb{Z} & \longmapsto & {[p]} \\
& \longmapsto & \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\underline{\bmod }\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{2}, \mathbb{Z} / p\right) \\
\mathbb{Z} / p & \approx 1] \\
1+p \mathbb{Z} & \longmapsto & \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\underline{\bmod }\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{2}, \mathbb{Z} / p^{2}\right) \\
& \longmapsto 1]
\end{array}
$$

For example in the fourth case we have the factorization


Hence $[p]=[0]$, although $(p) \neq(0)$.

### 1.3 Co-Heller sequences and shift

Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian Frobenius category.
Definition 1.11 (co-Heller sequence). Let $X, I, T \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$. A co-Heller sequence of (an object) $X$ is a short exact sequence

$$
X \rightarrow I \longrightarrow T
$$

where $I$ is bijective in $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 1.12 (cf. [3, lemma 5.2]). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category. Let $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and consider co-Heller sequences $X_{1} \xrightarrow{i_{1}} I_{1} \xrightarrow{p_{1}} T_{1}$ for $X_{1}$ and $X_{2} \xrightarrow{i_{2}} I_{2} \xrightarrow{p_{2}} T_{2}$ for $X_{2}$.

1. For all morphisms $f: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ there are morphisms $g: I_{1} \longrightarrow I_{2}$ and $h: I_{1} \longrightarrow I_{2}$ such that the following diagram commutes.

2. Consider morphisms $f, g, h, f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $f i_{2}=i_{1} g, g p_{2}=p_{1} h, f^{\prime} i_{2}=i_{1} g^{\prime}$, $g^{\prime} p_{2}=p_{1} h^{\prime}$.


If $[f]=\left[f^{\prime}\right]$, then $[h]=\left[h^{\prime}\right]$.
Proof.

1. By the definition of co-Heller sequences $I_{2}$ is bijective, so in particular injective. Thus there exists $g: I_{1} \longrightarrow I_{2}$ such that $i_{1} g=f i_{2}$. For the existence of $h$ consider that $T_{1}$ is the cokernel of $i_{1}$. Since $i_{1} g p_{2}=f i_{2} p_{2}=f 0=0$ it follows that there exists $h: T_{1} \longrightarrow T_{2}$ such that $p_{1} h=g p_{2}$.

2. We suppose that $[f]=\left[f^{\prime}\right]$, that is, $f-f^{\prime} \in{\underset{\mathcal{A}}{\text { bij }}}_{\text {big }}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$. So there exists a bijective object $B$ and morphisms $u: X_{1} \longrightarrow B$ and $u^{\prime}: B \longrightarrow X_{2}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $f-f^{\prime}=u u^{\prime}$. Using the injectivity of $B$, it follows that there exists $\hat{u}: I_{1} \longrightarrow B$ with $u=i_{1} \hat{u}$.


From the diagram, we see that

$$
i_{1} \hat{u} u^{\prime} i_{2}=u u^{\prime} i_{2}=\left(f-f^{\prime}\right) i_{2}=i_{1}\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)
$$

and hence $i_{1}\left(\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)-\hat{u} u^{\prime} i_{2}\right)=0$. Since $T_{1}$ is a cokernel of $i_{1}$, there is a morphism $w: T_{1} \longrightarrow I_{2}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)-\hat{u} u^{\prime} i_{2}=p_{1} w$.


We get

$$
p_{1} w p_{2}=\left(\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)-\hat{u} u^{\prime} i_{2}\right) p_{2}=\left(g-g^{\prime}\right) p_{2}-\hat{u} u^{\prime} i_{2} p_{2}=p_{1}\left(h-h^{\prime}\right) .
$$

This implies that $w p_{2}=h-h^{\prime}$ as $p_{1}$ is an epimorphism. Thus we have $h-h^{\prime} \in{ }_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{bij}}\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$, that is, $\left[h_{1}\right]=\left[h_{2}\right]$.

## Definition 1.13.

1. Let $X \in \operatorname{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $s=(X \rightarrow I \rightarrow T)$ be a co-Heller sequence for $X$. We set $\mathrm{H}_{s}(X):=T$.
2. Let $\varphi: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$ be a morphism in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ and let $s_{i}=\left(X_{i} \longrightarrow I_{i} \longrightarrow T_{i}\right)$ be a co-Heller sequence for $X_{i}, i \in\{1,2\}$. We choose a morphism $f: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ fulfilling $\varphi=[f]$ and morphisms $g: I_{1} \longrightarrow I_{2}$ and $h: T_{1} \longrightarrow T_{2}$ such that

commutes in $\mathcal{A}$. We set $\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}, s_{2}}(\varphi):=[h]$.

## Lemma 1.14.

1. Consider morphisms $\varphi_{1}: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$ and $\varphi_{2}: X_{2} \longrightarrow X_{3}$ in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ and co-Heller sequences $s_{i}$ for $X_{i}, i \in\{1,2,3\}$. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}, s_{3}}\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}, s_{2}}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{H}_{s_{2}, s_{3}}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Let $X \in \operatorname{Obj}(\underline{\mathcal{A}})$ and $s$ be a co-Heller sequence for $X$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{s, s}\left(1_{X}\right)=1_{\mathrm{H}_{s}(X)} . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

1. We write $s_{j}=\left(X \xrightarrow{i_{j}} I_{j} \xrightarrow{p_{j}} T_{j}\right)$ for $j \in\{1,2,3\}$. We choose morphisms $f_{1}: X_{1} \longrightarrow X_{2}$, $f_{2}: X_{2} \longrightarrow X_{3}$ with $\varphi_{1}=\left[f_{1}\right], \varphi_{2}=\left[f_{2}\right]$. Moreover, we choose morphisms $g_{1}: I_{1} \longrightarrow I_{2}$, $g_{2}: I_{2} \longrightarrow I_{3}, h_{1}: T_{1} \longrightarrow T_{2}, h_{2}: T_{2} \longrightarrow T_{3}$ such that the following diagram commutes.


We conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}, s_{3}}\left(\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}\right) & =\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}, s_{3}}\left(\left[f_{1}\right]\left[f_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}, s_{3}}\left(\left[f_{1} f_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =h_{1} h_{2} \\
& =\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}, s_{2}}\left(\left[f_{1}\right]\right) \cdot \mathrm{H}_{s_{2}, s_{3}}\left(\left[f_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}, s_{2}}\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \cdot \mathrm{H}_{s_{2}, s_{3}}\left(\varphi_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. We write $s=(X \xrightarrow{i} I \xrightarrow{p} T)$. As the diagram

commutes, we have

$$
\mathrm{H}_{s, s}\left(1_{X}\right)=1_{T}=1_{\mathrm{H}_{s}(X)} .
$$

Definition 1.15 (shift functor). For every object $X$ in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$, choose a co-Heller sequence $s_{X}$. (This is possible since $\mathcal{A}$ has enough bijective objects by definition.)

We define the shift functor $\mathrm{T}: \underline{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{T} X:=\mathrm{H}_{s_{X}}(X) & \text { for } X \in \operatorname{Obj}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}) \text { and } \\
\mathrm{T} \varphi:=\mathrm{H}_{s_{X}, s_{Y}}(\varphi) & \text { for any morphism } \varphi \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y), X, Y \in \operatorname{Obj}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}) .
\end{array}
$$

## $2 \square$-triangles

### 2.1 Definition of $\square$-triangles

Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian Frobenius category.
Definition 2.1$\square$-triangle model). A-triangle model is a commutative diagram $X$ in $\mathcal{A}$ of the form

such that $X_{5 / 0}=0$ and $X_{i / i}$ is bijective in $\mathcal{A}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ and the following quadruples
are pushouts:
$\left(X_{1 / 0}, X_{2 / 0}, X_{1 / 1}, X_{2 / 1}\right),\left(X_{1 / 0}, X_{3 / 0}, X_{1 / 1}, X_{3 / 1}\right),\left(X_{3 / 0}, X_{4 / 0}, X_{3 / 1}, X_{4 / 1}\right),\left(X_{2 / 0}, X_{4 / 0}, X_{2 / 1}, X_{4 / 1}\right)$, $\left(X_{4 / 0}, X_{5 / 0}, X_{4 / 1}, X_{5 / 1}\right),\left(X_{2 / 1}, X_{4 / 1}, X_{2 / 2}, X_{4 / 2}\right),\left(X_{4 / 1}, X_{5 / 1}, X_{4 / 2}, X_{5 / 2}\right),\left(X_{5 / 1}, X_{5 / 2}, X_{5 / 3}, \check{X}_{5 / 4}\right)$, $\left(X_{3 / 1}, X_{4 / 1}, X_{3 / 3}, X_{4 / 3}\right),\left(X_{4 / 1}, X_{5 / 1}, X_{4 / 3}, X_{5 / 3}\right),\left(X_{4 / 3}, X_{5 / 3}, \check{X}_{4 / 4}, \check{X}_{5 / 4}\right),\left(X_{4 / 1}, X_{4 / 2}, X_{4 / 3}, \check{X}_{4 / 4}\right)$, $\left(X_{4 / 2}, X_{5 / 2}, \check{X}_{4 / 4}, \check{X}_{5 / 4}\right),\left(X_{4 / 2}, X_{5 / 2}, X_{4 / 4}, X_{5 / 4}\right),\left(X_{4 / 3}, X_{5 / 3}, X_{4 / 4}, X_{5 / 4}\right),\left(\check{X}_{4 / 4}, \check{X}_{5 / 4}, X_{4 / 4}, X_{5 / 4}\right)$.

We call $\check{X}_{4 / 4}$ and $\check{X}_{5 / 4}$ auxiliary objects. Any morphism that has an auxiliary object as source or target is called auxiliary morphism and will be denoted by $\check{x}$, by abuse of notation. Any other morphism will be denoted as $x$, also by abuse of notation.

Definition 2.2 ( $\square$-pretriangle, morphism and base).

1. A $\square$-pretriangle is a commutative diagram $X$ in $\mathcal{A}$ of the form

such that

2. Let $X, Y$ be $\square$-pretriangles in $\mathcal{A}$. A morphism of $\square$-pretriangles is a diagram morphism $\varphi: X \longrightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\varphi_{5 / i}=\mathrm{T} \varphi_{i / 0}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$.

A morphism of $\square$-pretriangles that is an isomorphism in each component is called an isomorphism of $\square$-pretriangles.
3. The base of a pretriangle $X$ is the quadrangle ( $X_{1 / 0}, X_{2 / 0}, X_{3 / 0}, X_{4 / 0}$ ).

We now modify a given $\square$-triangle model to define a standard $\square$-triangle.
Notation 2.3. Suppose given a $\square$-triangle model $X$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Let $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. Denote

$$
s_{i}^{X}:=\left(X_{i / 0} \longrightarrow X_{i / i} \longrightarrow X_{5 / i}\right) .
$$

Also denote

$$
h_{i}:=\mathrm{H}_{s_{i}^{X}, s_{X_{i / 0}}}\left(1_{X_{i / 0}}\right)
$$

for morphisms from $X_{5 / i}$ to $\mathrm{T} X_{i / 0}$ in $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.4 (standard $\square$-triangle). Consider a $\square$-triangle model $X$. The standard $\square$-triangle $\underline{X}$ obtained from $X$ is defined to be the following diagram in $\mathcal{A}$.


Lemma 2.5. Any standard $\square$-triangle is $a \square$-pretriangle.
Proof. Suppose given a $\square$-triangle model $X$. We need to show that the standard $\square$-triangle obtained from $X$ commutes. To this end, we have to show that the quadrangles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(X_{4 / 1}, X_{4 / 2}, \mathrm{~T} X_{1 / 0}, \mathrm{~T} X_{2 / 0}\right),\left(X_{4 / 1}, X_{4 / 3}, \mathrm{~T} X_{1 / 0}, \mathrm{~T} X_{3 / 0}\right), \\
& \left(X_{4 / 2}, X_{4 / 4}, \mathrm{~T} X_{2 / 0}, \mathrm{~T} X_{4 / 0}\right),\left(X_{4 / 3}, X_{4 / 4}, \mathrm{~T} X_{3 / 0}, \mathrm{~T} X_{4 / 0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

commute. We do this exemplarily for


Since ( $X_{4 / 1}, X_{4 / 2}, X_{5 / 1}, X_{5 / 2}$ ) already commutes as a subdiagram of $X$ in $\mathcal{A}$, its image under the residue class functor $\mathrm{R}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ certainly commutes in $\mathcal{A}$. Thus it remains to show that the diagram

commutes in $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed as

$$
\left(X_{5 / 1} \xrightarrow{[x]} X_{5 / 2}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}, s_{2}^{x}}\left(X_{1 / 0} \longrightarrow X_{2 / 0}\right),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X_{5 / 1} \xrightarrow{[x]} X_{5 / 2}\right) h_{2} & =\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}^{X}, s_{2}^{X}}\left(X_{1 / 0} \xrightarrow{[x]} X_{2 / 0}\right) \mathrm{H}_{s_{2}^{X}, s_{X_{2}}}\left(1_{X_{2 / 0}}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}^{X}, s_{X_{2}}}\left(X_{1 / 0} \xrightarrow{[x]} X_{2 / 0}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}^{X}, s_{X_{1}}}\left(1_{X_{1 / 0}}\right) \mathrm{H}_{s_{X_{1}}, s_{X_{2}}}\left(X_{1 / 0} \xrightarrow{[x]} X_{2 / 0}\right) \\
& =h_{1} \mathrm{~T}\left(X_{1 / 0} \xrightarrow{[x]} X_{2 / 0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by lemma 1.14.1.
Definition 2.6 ( $\square$-triangle). Any $\square$-pretriangle isomorphic to a standard $\square$ triangle (in the sense of 2.2) is called a $\square$-triangle.

In the following two sections we give two examples $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ of $\square$-triangles in $\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}$-mod.

### 2.2 The $\square$-triangle $Y$

We aim to construct atriangle $Y$ having as base the commutative quadrangle


First, we construct a-triangle model $X$ such that


To this end we construct $X$ levelwise.

1. Choose a monomorphism from $X_{1 / 0}$ to a bijective object $X_{1 / 1}$. Then construct pushouts

$$
\left(X_{i / 0}, X_{j / 0}, X_{i / 1}, X_{j / 1}\right)
$$

for $(i, j) \in\{(1,2),(1,3),(2,4),(3,4),(4,5)\}$ using lemma 1.4. In fact, we may use the induced morphism $X_{3 / 1} \longrightarrow X_{4 / 1}$ for $\left(X_{3 / 0}, X_{4 / 0}, X_{3 / 1}, X_{4 / 1}\right)$.
2. Choose a monomorphism from $X_{2 / 1}$ to a bijective object $X_{2 / 2}$. Then construct pushouts

$$
\left(X_{i / 1}, X_{j / 1}, X_{i / 2}, X_{j / 2}\right)
$$

for $(i, j) \in\{(2,4),(4,5)\}$.
3. Choose a monomorphism from $X_{3 / 1}$ to a bijective object $X_{3 / 3}$. Then construct pushouts

$$
\left(X_{i / 1}, X_{j / 1}, X_{i / 3}, X_{j / 3}\right)
$$

for $(i, j) \in\{(3,4),(4,5)\}$.
4. Construct further pushouts

$$
\left(X_{4 / 1}, X_{4 / 2}, X_{4 / 3}, \check{X}_{4 / 4}\right),\left(X_{5 / 1}, X_{5 / 2}, X_{5 / 3}, \check{X}_{5 / 4}\right),\left(X_{4 / 2}, X_{5 / 2}, \check{X}_{4 / 4}, \check{X}_{5 / 4}\right) .
$$

Then $\left(X_{4 / 3}, X_{5 / 3}, \check{X}_{4 / 4}, \check{X}_{5 / 4}\right)$ is also a pushout.
5. Choose a monomorphism from $\check{X}_{4 / 4}$ to a bijective object $X_{4 / 4}$. Construct a pushout $\left(\check{X}_{4 / 4}, \check{X}_{5 / 4}, X_{4 / 4}, X_{5 / 4}\right)$. Then $\left(X_{4 / 2}, X_{5 / 2}, X_{4 / 4}, X_{5 / 4}\right)$ and $\left(X_{4 / 3}, X_{5 / 3}, X_{4 / 4}, X_{5 / 4}\right)$ are also pushouts.

Second, we construct the standard $\square$-triangle $\underline{X}$.

1. Apply the residue class functor $\mathrm{R}: \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\bmod \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\underline{\bmod }$ to the whole diagram.
2. For $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, replace the object $X_{5 / i}$ by $\mathrm{T} X_{i / 0}$ and the morphism $X_{4 / i} \longrightarrow X_{5 / i}$ by its composite with the isomorphism $\mathrm{H}_{s_{i}^{X}, s_{X_{i / 0}}}\left(1_{X_{i / 0}}\right)$.
3. Omit the auxiliary morphisms and objects (cf. definition 2.1), composing where necessary.

The following diagram in $\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}$-mod displays all construction steps so far. It contains the $\square$-triangle model $X$, which commutes in $\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}$-mod. The whole diagram commutes only after application of the residue class functor $\mathrm{R}: \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\bmod \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\bmod$.


Bijective objects in $\mathcal{A}$ are mapped to zero objects in $\mathcal{A}$ under the residue class functor $\mathrm{R}: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$. We omit the summands of the form $\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}\right)^{\oplus k}$ from the $\underline{X}$, writing 0 for the empty sum. The resulting diagram $Y$, shown below, is isomorphic to $\underline{X}$ and therefore a $\square$-triangle.


### 2.3 The $\square$-triangle $Y^{\prime}$

We construct a second $\square$-triangle $Y^{\prime}$ analogous to $Y$ on the base

$$
\begin{gathered}
\underset{\AA^{[p]}}{\mathbb{Z} / p^{2}} \xrightarrow{[01]} \\
\mathbb{Z} / p \xrightarrow{[p]} \\
\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z} / p^{2} \\
\uparrow_{[01]}^{[01]} \\
\mathbb{Z} / p^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This time, we construct a $\square$-triangle model $X^{\prime}$ such that


Note that the morphism $x^{\prime}: X_{3 / 0}^{\prime} \longrightarrow X_{4 / 0}^{\prime}$ differs from $x: X_{3 / 0} \longrightarrow X_{4 / 0}$, but their images $\left[x^{\prime}\right]$ and $[x]$ under the residue class functor are equal.

First, we construct $X^{\prime}$ levelwise, analogously to $X$. Second, we pass to $\underline{X}^{\prime}$, analogously to $\underline{X}$.
The following diagram in $\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}$-mod displays the construction steps. It contains the angle model $X^{\prime}$. The whole diagram commutes after application of the residue class functor $\mathrm{R}: \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}-\bmod \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p^{3}$-mod. It commutes in $\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}$-mod only incidentally.


Analogously to $Y$, we obtain the desired $\square$-triangle $Y^{\prime}$, shown below, by an isomorphic replacement of $X^{\prime}$.


### 2.4 The $\square$-triangles $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ are not isomorphic

Theorem 2.7. There exists an abelian Frobenius category $\mathcal{A}$ and two $\square$-triangles in $\mathcal{A}$ that both have the same base, but that are not isomorphic to each other.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{Z} / p^{3}$-mod. Concerning morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$, see lemma 1.10.
Consider $Y$ from section 2.2 and $Y^{\prime}$ from section 2.3. We observe that $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$ have the same basis


We claim that they are not isomorphic in $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$. To prove this, it suffices to show that the subdiagrams $\left(Y_{2 / 1}, Y_{4 / 1}, Y_{3 / 1}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{2 / 1}^{\prime}, Y_{4 / 1}^{\prime}, Y_{3 / 1}^{\prime}\right)$ are not isomorphic.

Assume that they are isomorphic. That means there are $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

commutes and the vertical morphisms are isomorphisms.
Since the left quadrangle in diagram 2.2 commutes we have:

$$
[b p c]=[10]\left[\begin{array}{l}
b p c  \tag{2.3}\\
d e \\
d e
\end{array}\right]=[a][1 p]=[a p a] .
$$

It follows that $b \stackrel{\circledast}{=}_{p} a$ and $p c \equiv_{p^{2}} p a$, and therefore $c \stackrel{\otimes_{\circledast}^{\circledast}}{=} p$.
Since the right quadrangle in diagram 2.2 also commutes we have

$$
[b p c]=[10]\left[\begin{array}{c}
b p c  \tag{2.4}\\
d \\
d
\end{array}\right]=[f][10]=[f 0] .
$$

We get $b \equiv_{p} f$ and $p c \equiv_{p^{2}} 0$, and therefore $c \equiv_{p} 0$.
Together with $\circledast$ and $\circledast \circledast$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \equiv_{p} c \stackrel{\circledast \circledast}{=} p a \stackrel{\circledast}{=}_{p} b \equiv_{p} f . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $[a]=[0]$ is not an isomorphism and $[f]=[0]$ is not an isomorphism, which is a contradiction.

Corollary 2.8. There exist $a \square$-triangle $X$ with base $\dot{X}, a \square$-triangle $Y$ with base $\dot{Y}$ and $a$ diagram morphism $\dot{f}: \dot{X} \longrightarrow \dot{Y}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that there does not exist a morphism of triangles $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ that restricts to $\dot{f}$.

Proof. By theorem 2.7, there exist a $\square$-triangle $X$ with base $\dot{X}$, a $\square$-triangle $Y$ with base $\dot{Y}$ such that $\dot{X}=\dot{Y}$ and such that $X$ and $Y$ are not isomorphic as $\square$-triangles.

Let $\dot{f}:=1_{\dot{X}}=1_{\dot{Y}}: \dot{X} \longrightarrow \dot{Y}$. Now assume that there exists a morphism of $\square$-triangles $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ that restricts to $\dot{f}$. Then

is a morphism of ordinary (Verdier) triangles [2, section 2.5] in $\mathcal{A}$ for all $i, k \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ with $i \leq k$. Since $f_{i / 0}=1_{X_{i / 0}}=1_{Y_{i / 0}}$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$, it follows from [1, sec. 4.1.4] that $f_{k / i}$ is an isomorphism for all $i, k \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ with $i \leq k$. But then $f$ is an isomorphism of $\square$-triangles in contradiction to $X$ and $Y$ being not isomorphic.
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