

A sufficient criterion for homotopy cartesianess

Alberto Canonaco, Matthias Künzer

August 31, 2007

Abstract

Suppose given a commutative quadrangle in a Verdier triangulated category such that there exists an induced isomorphism on the horizontally taken cones. Suppose that the endomorphism ring of the initial or the terminal corner object of this quadrangle satisfies a finiteness condition. Then this quadrangle is homotopy cartesian.

Contents

0	Introduction	1
1	A ring theoretical lemma	2
2	The criterion for homotopy cartesianess	3
3	A counterexample	4

0 Introduction

In an abelian category, a commutative quadrangle is called *bicartesian* if its diagonal sequence is short exact, i.e. if it is a pullback and a pushout. A commutative quadrangle is bicartesian if and only if we get induced isomorphisms on the horizontal kernels and on the horizontal cokernels.

In a triangulated category in the sense of VERDIER [3, Def. 1-1], a commutative quadrangle is called *homotopy cartesian* (or a *Mayer-Vietoris square*, or a *distinguished weak square*), if its diagonal sequence fits into a distinguished triangle. A homotopy cartesian square has a (non-uniquely) induced isomorphism on the horizontally taken cones [2, Lem. 1.4.4]. We consider the converse question: a commutative quadrangle that has an isomorphism induced on the horizontally taken cones, is it homotopy cartesian? We show this to be true if the endomorphism ring of the object in the terminal or initial corner satisfies a finiteness condition.

This finiteness condition is for instance satisfied for the endomorphism rings occurring in $D^b(A\text{-mod})$, where A is a finite-dimensional algebra over some field; or in $\underline{A\text{-mod}}$, where A is a finite-dimensional Frobenius algebra over some field.

This finiteness condition, however, in general fails for the endomorphism rings occurring in $K^b(\mathbf{Z}\text{-proj})$. We show by an example that the conclusion on our commutative quadrangle to be homotopy cartesian fails there as well.

MSC 2000: 18E30.

1 A ring theoretical lemma

Let R be a ring. Denote by $J(R)$ its Jacobson radical.

If $R/J(R)$ is artinian, we fix the notation $R/J(R) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^n D_i^{k_i \times k_i}$ for its Wedderburn decomposition, where D_i is a skewfield for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

A ring R shall be called *head-finite* if its head $R/J(R)$ is artinian and if in the Wedderburn decomposition of $R/J(R)$, the skewfield D_i is finite dimensional over its centre for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For example, finite dimensional algebras over some field are head-finite. For another example, a local ring R for which $R/J(R)$ is commutative is head-finite.

Lemma 1.1 *Suppose given a head-finite ring R and an element $\varepsilon \in R$.*

- (1) *There exists $\alpha \in R$ such that $1 + \varepsilon + \alpha\varepsilon^2$ is a unit in R .*
- (2) *There exists $\beta \in R$ such that $1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2\beta$ is a unit in R .*

Proof. Assertion (2) follows by an application of (1) to R° , so it remains to prove (1).

Since an element $\rho \in R$ is a unit in R if and only if $\rho + J(R)$ is a unit in $R/J(R)$, we may assume $J(R) = 0$ and R to be a product of matrix rings over skew fields which are finite-dimensional over their centres. Furthermore, we may assume R to be a single matrix ring over a skewfield which is finite-dimensional over its centre K . In particular, we may assume R to be a finite dimensional K -algebra.

Let $m \geq 0$ and $s(X) \in K[X]$ be such that ε is a root of the polynomial $X^m + X^{m+1}s(X)$. Let $\alpha := s(\varepsilon)$. Then

$$(\varepsilon + \alpha\varepsilon^2)^{m+1} = (\varepsilon^m(1 + s(\varepsilon)\varepsilon))(\varepsilon(1 + s(\varepsilon)\varepsilon)^m) = 0,$$

and thus $1 + \varepsilon + \alpha\varepsilon^2$ is a unit in R . □

Remark 1.2

- (1) The conclusions of Lemma 1.1 do not hold for all rings, as the example $R = \mathbf{Z}$ and $\varepsilon = 3$ shows.
- (2) The conclusions of Lemma 1.1 hold for a local ring R , regardless whether $R/J(R)$ is finite-dimensional over its centre or not. In fact, if $\varepsilon \in J(R)$, then $1 + \varepsilon$ is a unit in R , and we may choose $\alpha = 0$. If $\varepsilon \in R \setminus J(R)$, then ε is a unit in R , and we may choose $\alpha = -\varepsilon^{-1}$.
- (3) In Lemma 1.1.(1), we do not claim that $\alpha\varepsilon = \varepsilon\alpha$. Whereas this property can be achieved if R is a finite dimensional algebra over some field, as we have seen in the proof of loc. cit., the first reduction step at the beginning of this proof possibly might not respect this property.

2 The criterion for homotopy cartesianess

Let \mathcal{C} be a triangulated category in the sense of VERDIER [3, Def. 1-1].

A commutative quadrangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ b \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\ B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{C} is said to be *homotopy cartesian* if there exists a distinguished triangle containing the sequence

$$B \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} b \\ g \end{pmatrix}} B' \oplus C \xrightarrow{(g' - c)} C' ,$$

cf. [2, Def. 1.4.1]. We remark that by [2, Lem. 1.4.4], such a homotopy cartesian square fits into a morphism of distinguished triangles of the form $(b, c, 1)$ (and, by symmetry, also in one of the form $(g, g', 1)$).

Proposition 2.1 *Suppose given a commutative diagram in \mathcal{C}*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & A[1] \\ \wr \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c & & \wr \downarrow a[1] \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \xrightarrow{h'} & A'[1] \end{array}$$

whose rows are distinguished triangles.

- (1) *Suppose $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}} C'$ to be head-finite. Then the quadrangle (g, g', b, c) is homotopy cartesian.*
- (2) *Suppose $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}} B$ to be head-finite. Then the quadrangle (g, g', b, c) is homotopy cartesian.*

Proof. By duality, it suffices to prove (1). By isomorphic replacement at A' , we may assume that $A = A'$ and $a = 1$.

By [2, Lem. 1.4.3], there exists $C \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} C'$ such that the quadrangle (g, g', b, \tilde{c}) is homotopy cartesian and such that $h' \circ \tilde{c} = h$. It suffices to show that the quadrangles (g, g', b, c) and (g, g', b, \tilde{c}) are isomorphic.

Since $(\tilde{c} - c) \circ g = 0$, there exists $A[1] \xrightarrow{\psi} C'$ such that $\psi \circ h = \tilde{c} - c$. Let

$$\varepsilon := \psi \circ h' \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}} C' .$$

By assumption on $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}} C'$, we may apply Lemma 1.1 to find an element $\alpha \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}} C'$ such that $1 + \varepsilon + \alpha \circ \varepsilon^2$ is a unit in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}} C'$, i.e. an automorphism of C' .

We claim that we have the following isomorphism of commutative quadrangles.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & & \\
 \parallel & \searrow b & \parallel & \searrow c & \\
 & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \\
 \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \\
 B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & & \\
 \searrow b & \parallel & \searrow \tilde{c} & \downarrow \wr & \\
 & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \\
 & & & \downarrow 1+\varepsilon+\alpha\circ\varepsilon^2 &
 \end{array}$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 + \varepsilon + \alpha \circ \varepsilon^2) \circ c &= c + \psi \circ h' \circ c + \alpha \circ \psi \circ h' \circ \psi \circ h' \circ c \\
 &= c + \psi \circ h + \alpha \circ \psi \circ h' \circ \psi \circ h \\
 &= \tilde{c} + \alpha \circ \psi \circ h' \circ (\tilde{c} - c) \\
 &= \tilde{c} + \alpha \circ \psi \circ (h - h) \\
 &= \tilde{c},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 + \varepsilon + \alpha \circ \varepsilon^2) \circ g' &= g' + \psi \circ h' \circ g' + \alpha \circ \psi \circ h' \circ \psi \circ h' \circ g' \\
 &= g'.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Question 2.2 (open) *Suppose given a diagram as in Proposition 2.1. Is the cone of b isomorphic to the cone of c ?*

Note that the isomorphism in question is not required to satisfy any commutativities. In §3, we give an example in which there is no isomorphism between these cones that is compatible with the diagram.

Note that the middle quadrangle of such a diagram is a weak square by the kernel-cokernel criterion applied in the Freyd category of \mathcal{C} ; cf. e.g. [1, §A.6.3, Def. A.9, Lem. A.11].

Question 2.3 (open) *Suppose given a commutative quadrangle that has an isomorphism induced on the horizontally and on the vertically taken cones. Is it homotopy cartesian?*

Of course, provided the endomorphism ring of its object in the initial or terminal corner is head-finite, such a quadrangle is homotopy cartesian by Proposition 2.1.

3 A counterexample

We shall give an example of a commutative quadrangle in a triangulated category that horizontally fits into a morphism of triangles containing an isomorphism as in Proposition 2.1, but that is not homotopy cartesian; somewhat worse still, vertically, it does not fit into a morphism of triangles containing an isomorphism. This will show that the head-finiteness conditions in Proposition 2.1 cannot be entirely dropped.

Let $\mathcal{C} := \mathbf{K}^b(\mathbf{Z}\text{-proj})$. As to sign conventions, the standard distinguished triangle on a morphism $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ in \mathcal{C} is given as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 X^i & \xrightarrow{f^i} & Y^i & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & Y^i \oplus X^{i+1} & \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1)} & X^{i+1} \\
 \downarrow \delta^i & & \downarrow \partial^i & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \partial^i & f^{i+1} \\ 0 & -\delta^{i+1} \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow -\delta^{i+1} \\
 X^{i+1} & \xrightarrow{f^{i+1}} & Y^{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & Y^{i+1} \oplus X^{i+2} & \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1)} & X^{i+2} \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

We shall allow ourselves to omit zero object entries when displaying complexes. By $\mathbf{Z}^{\oplus m}$ we denote the direct sum of m copies of \mathbf{Z} , where $m \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.1 *The following triangles are distinguished in \mathcal{C} for every $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbf{Z} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} b \\ a^2 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow a^2 \\
 \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{(0 \ 1)} & \mathbf{Z} \\
 \downarrow -a^2 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathbf{Z} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} -a \\ a^2 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} -a^3 \\ a^2 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow a^2 \\
 \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z} \\
 \downarrow -a^2 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{(a \ -1)} & \mathbf{Z} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} -a^3 \\ a^2 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{1+a} & \mathbf{Z} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow a^2 & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{a^2} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{1-a} & \mathbf{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Proof. It is enough to show that each triangle is of the form

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{u \circ g} Z' \xrightarrow{h \circ u^{-1}} X[1]$$

with $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$ a standard distinguished triangle and $Z \xrightarrow{u} Z'$ an isomorphism in \mathcal{C} . Indeed, (1) is already standard, and it is straightforward to check that in cases (2), (3) and (4) one can take respectively the following morphisms for u .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{(1 \ 0)} & \mathbf{Z} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -a & 1 \\ a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} -a^3 \\ a^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 3} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & -1 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -a^3 & a^2 \\ a^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{1-a} & \mathbf{Z} \\ a^2 \downarrow & & \downarrow a^2 \\ \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{1-a} & \mathbf{Z} \end{array}$$

□

Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $a \geq 3$. Consider the following morphism of distinguished triangles. The differentials of the complexes are displayed from lower left to upper right, and the triangles are displayed from left to right. Notice that the triangles are distinguished because they can be obtained by applying axiom (TR 2) to the triangles (2) and (1) (with $b = -a$) of Lemma 3.1.

(*)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{(-1 \ 0)} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ & \nearrow & \parallel & & \parallel & \nearrow & \parallel & \nearrow & \parallel \\ \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ & \parallel & \parallel & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ & \parallel & \parallel & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ & \nearrow & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{1+a} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ & \parallel & \parallel & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ & \parallel & \parallel & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ & \parallel & \parallel & & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel & \parallel \\ & \nearrow & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -a \\ a^2 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} a \\ -a^2 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \end{array}$$

As an aside, we remark that the second morphism from the left in (*) is split epimorphic.

We claim that the middle quadrangle of (*) is not homotopy cartesian.

We *assume* the contrary. The diagonal sequence of the middle quadrangle is given as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{(a \ -1-a)} & \mathbf{Z} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -a^3 \\ a^2 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix} & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

In contrast, the following sequence fits into the distinguished triangle (3) of Lemma 3.1.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{(a \ -1)} & \mathbf{Z} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -a^3 \\ a^2 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix} & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By uniqueness of the cone up to isomorphism in \mathcal{C} , there exists a commutative triangle in \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \mathbf{Z} \\ & & & \nearrow^{(a \ -1)} & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{s} & 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & \searrow^{(a \ -1-a)} & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 & & \end{array}$$

with $s \in \{-1, +1\}$. We conclude that $sa \equiv_{a^2} a$ and $-s - sa \equiv_{a^2} -1$. If $s = 1$, then the second congruence gives $a \equiv_{a^2} 0$, which is impossible since $a \geq 2$. If $s = -1$, then the first congruence gives $2a \equiv_{a^2} 0$, which is impossible since $a \geq 3$. We have arrived at a *contradiction*.

We claim that vertically, the middle quadrangle of (*) does not fit into a morphism of distinguished triangles that contains an isomorphism. We note that this claim implies the preceding claim (cf. [2, Lem. 1.4.4]), which we will thus have proven twice.

We *assume* the contrary. Inserting triangles (1) (with $b = -a^3$) and (4) of Lemma 3.1 vertically, we obtain the following commutative quadrangle above our given one, where $t \in \{-1, +1\}$. Differentials are displayed from lower left to upper right.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathbf{Z} \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{(-1 \ 0)} & \mathbf{Z} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -a^3 \\ a^2 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{a} & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{a^2} & \mathbf{Z} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & \xrightarrow{1-a} & 0 \end{array}$$

Commutativity of this quadrangle in \mathcal{C} means that $t(1-a) \equiv_{a^2} -1$. If $t = -1$, then $a \equiv_{a^2} 0$ ensues, which is impossible since $a \geq 2$. If $t = 1$, then $2 \equiv_{a^2} a$ and hence $2 \equiv_a 0$ ensues, which is impossible since $a \geq 3$. We have arrived at a *contradiction*.

References

- [1] KÜNZER, M., *Heller triangulated categories*, Homol. Homot. Appl. 9 (2), p. 233–320, 2007.
- [2] NEEMAN, A., *Triangulated Categories*, Ann. Math. Stud. 148, 2001.
- [3] VERDIER, J.L., *Catégories Derivées*, published in SGA 4 1/2, SLN 569, p. 262–311, 1977 (written 1963).

Alberto Canonaco
Università degli studi di Pavia
Dipartimento di matematica F. Casorati
Via Ferrata, 1
I-27100 Pavia
alberto.canonaco@unipv.it

Matthias Künzer
Lehrstuhl D für Mathematik
RWTH Aachen
Templergraben 64
D-52062 Aachen
kuenzer@math.rwth-aachen.de
www.math.rwth-aachen.de/~kuenzer