

To Section 5.3

(a) **Recapitulation of Notations:** We now consider problems with one or two parameters only hence

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathcal{G} := \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{E} \ni y = (\mu, x) &\mapsto F(y) = F(\mu, x) \in \mathcal{F} \\ \text{resp.} \\ F : \mathcal{G} := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{E} \ni y = (\mu, \omega, x) &\mapsto F(y) = F(\mu, \omega, x) \in \mathcal{F} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

at a point y_0 where $F(y_0) = 0$ and $y_0 = (\mu_0, x_0)$ resp. $y_0 = (\mu_0, \omega_0, x_0)$. We substitute a local solution $y(s)$ with $y(0) = y_0$ and derive w.r.t. the path parameter s then, at the point $s = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu^0 \mu'(0) + F_x^0 x'(0) &= 0 \\ F_\mu^0 \mu''(0) + F_x^0 x''(0) + F_{\mu\mu}^0 \mu'(0)^2 + 2F_{\mu x}^0 \mu'(0)x'(0) + F_{xx}^0 \langle x'(0), x'(0) \rangle &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

resp.

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu^0 \mu'(0) + F_\omega^0 \omega'(0) + F_x^0 x'(0) &= 0 \\ F_\mu^0 \mu''(0) + F_\omega^0 \omega''(0) + F_x^0 x''(0) + F_{\mu\mu}^0 \mu'(0)^2 + F_{\omega\omega}^0 \omega'(0)^2 + 2F_{\mu x}^0 \mu'(0)x'(0) \\ + 2F_{\mu\omega}^0 \mu'(0)\omega'(0) + 2F_{\omega x}^0 \omega'(0)x'(0) + F_{xx}^0 \langle x'(0), x'(0) \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For instance, if $u \in \text{Ker } F_x^0$ then $(0, u) \in \text{Ker}[F_\mu^0, F_x^0]$. Further elements in $\text{Ker } F_y^0$ exist in the single-parameter case only if $F_\mu^0 \in \text{Range } F_x^0$. Recall also the notations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } F_x^0 &= \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_\nu\}, & U &= [u_1, \dots, u_\nu], & U^d &= [u^i]_{i=1}^\nu, \\ \text{Ker}[F_x^0]_d &= \text{span}\{v^1, \dots, v^\nu\}, & V^d &= [v^i]_{i=1}^\nu, & V &= [v_1, \dots, v_\nu], \\ \text{Ker } F_y^0 &= \text{span}\{\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_\mu\}, & \tilde{U} &= [\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_\mu], & \tilde{U}^d &= [\tilde{u}^i]_{i=1}^\mu, \\ \text{Ker}[F_y^0]_d &= \text{span}\{\tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^\kappa\}, & \tilde{V}^d &= [\tilde{v}^i]_{i=1}^\kappa, & \tilde{V} &= [\tilde{v}_1, \dots, \tilde{v}_\kappa] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Then by the e.g. for $(1, 1^\circ)$

$$\dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 \neq \dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 \iff F_\mu^0 \in \text{Range } F_x^0 \iff V^d F_\mu^0 = 0.$$

$\dim \text{Ker}[F_y^0]_d = 1$, one or two parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Typ Ia:} & \dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 1, \quad \dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 = 2, \quad 0 = F_\mu^0 (\in \text{Range } F_x^0) \\ \text{Typ Ib:} & \dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 1, \quad \dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 = 2, \quad 0 \neq F_\mu^0 \in \text{Range } F_x^0 \\ \text{Typ Ic:} & \dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 2, \quad \dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 = 2, \quad 0 \neq F_\mu^0 \notin \text{Range } F_x^0 \\ \text{Typ IIa:} & \dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 2, \quad \dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 = 3, \quad V^d F_\mu^0 \neq 0, \quad V^d F_\omega^0 = 0 \\ \text{Typ IIb:} & \dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 2, \quad \dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 = 3, \quad V^d F_\mu^0 = 0, \quad V^d F_\omega^0 \neq 0 \\ \text{Typ IIc:} & \dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 3, \quad \dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 = 3, \quad V^d F_\mu^0 \neq 0, \quad V^d F_\omega^0 \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\dim \text{Ker}[F_y^0]_d = 2$, one parameter:

Typ IIIa: $\dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 2$, $\dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 = 3$, $0 = F_\mu^0 \in \text{Range } F_x^0$ Typ IIIb: $\dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 2$, $\dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 = 3$, $0 \neq F_\mu^0 \in \text{Range } F_x^0$ Typ IIIc: $\dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 3$, $\dim \text{Ker } F_y^0 = 3$, $0 \neq F_\mu^0 \notin \text{Range } F_x^0$
--

Necessary branching conditions shall now be derived for these types in the same way as in (a). To this end the subspace $\text{Ker } F_y^0 = \text{span}\{\tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^\kappa\}$ resp. the matrix $\tilde{V}^d = [\tilde{v}^1, \dots, \tilde{v}^\kappa]$ has to be found explicitly. The same matrix applies also in the the projector $Q = \tilde{V}\tilde{V}^d$ where $\text{Ker } Q = \text{Range } F_y^0$, being needed in the branching equation .

(b) Computation of Quadratic Forms for Type I

(b1, Type Ia/b) $v^1 F_\mu^0 = 0$, hence $v^1 F_y^0 = v^1 [F_\mu^0, F_x^0] = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{E}_d$. Let w be the unique solution of $F_\mu^0 + F_x^0 w = 0$ where $u^1 w = 0$ then

$$\tilde{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ u_1 & w \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}^d = v^1 =: \tilde{v}^d. \quad (5)$$

Every tangent $x'(0)$ in type Ib has the representation $x'(0) = \alpha u_1 + \beta w$ where $\beta = \mu'(0)$. Insertion of $(\beta, x'(0))$ in (2) yields after multiplication by the *vector* \tilde{v}^d of (5)

$$\tilde{v}^d F_{\mu\mu}^0 \beta^2 + 2\tilde{v}^d F_{\mu x}^0 \beta(\alpha u_1 + \beta w) + \tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle \alpha u_1 + \beta w, \alpha u_1 + \beta w \rangle = 0.$$

or $a^T Q_3(u_1, w, \tilde{v}^d) a = 0$, $a^T = [\alpha, \beta]$ where

$$Q_3(u_1, w, \tilde{v}^d) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, u_1 \rangle & \tilde{v}^d [F_{\mu x}^0 u_1 + F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, w \rangle] \\ \tilde{v}^d [F_{\mu x}^0 u_1 + F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, w \rangle] & \tilde{v}^d [F_{\mu\mu}^0 + 2F_{\mu x}^0 w + F_{xx}^0 \langle w, w \rangle] \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

This quadratic form has two different real solutions in case $\det(Q_3(u_1, w, \tilde{v}^d)) < 0$; in type Ia $w = 0$.

(b2, Type Ic) $V^d F_\mu^0 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ hence there exists a vector $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}_2$ such that $a[V^d F_\mu^0] = 0$, and then $aV^d F_y^0 = aV^d [F_\mu^0, F_x^0] = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{E}_d$; further linearly independent left-vectors v satisfying $vF_y^0 = 0$ are not available. By (2), 1° now $\mu'(0) = 0$ after multiplication by V^d :

$$\mu'(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}^d = aV^d =: \tilde{v}^d. \quad (7)$$

Every tangent has the representation $x'(0) = \alpha u_1 + \beta u_2$. By (2) after multiplication by the vector $\tilde{v}^d = aV^d$ of (7)

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle \alpha u_1 + \beta u_2, \alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 \rangle \\ & = \tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, u_1 \rangle \alpha^2 + 2\tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \alpha\beta + \tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_2, u_2 \rangle \beta^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

or $a^T Q_4(u_1, u_2, \tilde{v}^d) a = 0$, $a^T = [\alpha, \beta]$, where

$$Q_4(u_1, u_2, \tilde{v}^d) = [\tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_i, u_k \rangle]_{i,k=1}^2. \quad (8)$$

Corollary 1 (*Necessary Conditions*)

(1°) Let (μ_0, x_0) be a branching point of type Ia then $\det(Q_3(u_1, 0, \tilde{v}^d)) < 0$.

(2°) Let (μ_0, x_0) be a branching point of type Ib then

$\det(Q_3(u_1, w, \tilde{v}^d)) < 0$.

(3°) Let (μ_0, x_0) be a branching point of type Ic then

$\det(Q_4(u_1, u_2, \tilde{v}^d)) < 0$, and both tangents lie in the hyperplane $\mu = \mu_0$.

(c) Computation of Quadratic Forms for Type II and Type III

(b4, Type IIa) $V^d = [v^1, v^2]$, $V^d F_\mu^0 \neq 0$, $V^d F_\omega^0 = 0$. There exists a vector $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}_2$ with $aV^d F_\mu^0 = 0$, then $aV^d [F_\mu^0, F_\omega^0, F_x^0] = 0$; further linearly independent vectors with this property do not exist. Let w be the unique solution of $F_\omega^0 + F_x^0 w = 0$ mit $U^d w = 0$, then

$$\mu'(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ u_1 & u_2 & w \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}^d = aV^d =: \tilde{v}^d. \quad (9)$$

Every tangent has the unique representation $x'(0) = \alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 + \gamma w$ where $\gamma = \omega'(0)$. Inserting of $(0, \gamma, x'(0))$ in (3) yields after multiplication by \tilde{v}^d of (9) the quadratic form

$$a^T Q_5(u_1, u_2, w, \tilde{v}^d) a = 0, \quad a^T = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma],$$

$$Q_5(u_1, u_2, w, \tilde{v}^d) =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, u_1 \rangle & \tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle & \tilde{v}^d [F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, w \rangle + F_{\omega x}^0 u_1] \\ \tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_2, u_1 \rangle & \tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_2, u_2 \rangle & \tilde{v}^d [F_{xx}^0 \langle u_2, w \rangle + F_{\omega x}^0 u_2] \\ \tilde{v}^d [F_{\omega x}^0 u_1 + F_{xx}^0 \langle w, u_1 \rangle] & \tilde{v}^d [F_{\omega x}^0 u_2 + F_{xx}^0 \langle w, u_2 \rangle] & \tilde{v}^d [F_{\omega \omega}^0 + F_{xx}^0 \langle w, w \rangle] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

which must describe a cone in \mathbb{R}^3 .

(b5, Type IIb) $V^d = [v^1, v^2]$, $V^d F_\mu^0 = 0$, $V^d F_\omega^0 \neq 0$. Let w be the unique solution of $F_\mu^0 + F_x^0 w = 0$ with $U^d w = 0$, then

$$\omega'(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & w \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}^d = aV^d =: \tilde{v}^d. \quad (11)$$

Accordingly, in the matrix $Q_5(u_1, u_2, \tilde{v}^d)$ the partial derivatives w.r.t. ω are to be replaced by the partial derivatives w.r.t. μ .

(b6, Typ IIc) $V^d = [v^1, v^2, v^3]$, $V^d F_\mu^0 \neq 0$, $V^d F_\omega^0 \neq 0$. There exists a vector $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $aV^d F_\mu^0 = 0$ and $aV^d F_\omega^0 = 0$, then $aV^d [F_\mu^0, F_\omega^0, F_x^0] = 0$; further linearly independent vectors with this property do not exist:

$$\mu'(0) = \omega'(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}^d = aV^d =: \tilde{v}^d. \quad (12)$$

Every tangent has again the form $x'(0) = \alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 + \gamma u_3$. Inserting in (3) yields after multiplication by \tilde{v}^d of (12) the quadratic form

$$a^T Q_6(u_1, u_2, u_3, \tilde{v}^d) a = 0, \quad a^T = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma], \quad Q_6(u_1, u_2, u_3, \tilde{v}^d) = [\tilde{v}^d F_{xx}^0 \langle u_i, u_k \rangle]_{i,k=1}^3, \quad (13)$$

which must describe a cone again.

(b7, Typ IIIa/b) $V^d F_\mu^0 = 0$ with $V^d = [v^1, v^2]^T$, hence $V^d F_y^0 = V^d [F_\mu^0, F_x^0] = (0, 0)$. Besides v^1 and v^2 there do not exist further vectors v such that $vF_y^0 = 0$. Let w be the unique solution of $F_\mu^0 + F_x^0 w = 0$ such that $U^d w = 0$, then

$$\tilde{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ u_1 & u_2 & w \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}^d = V^d. \quad (14)$$

For type Typ IIIb every tangent has the form $x'(0) = \alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 + \gamma w$ where $\gamma = \mu'(0)$. Inserting of $(\gamma, x'(0))$ in (2) yields after multiplication by \tilde{V}^d of (14) the both quadratic forms

$$a^T Q_5(v^i, w)a = 0, \quad a^T = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma], \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (15)$$

which must satisfy the cone condition of **(a)**.

In Type IIIa we have $w = 0$, therefore $x'(0)$ has the representation $x'(0) = \alpha u_1 + \beta u_2$. Inserting of $(\gamma, x'(0))$ in (2) yields after multiplication by $V^d = [v^1, v^2]$ the both quadratic forms

$$a^T Q_5(v^i, 0)a = 0, \quad a^T = [\alpha, \beta, \gamma], \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (16)$$

(b8, Type IIIc) $V^d F_\mu^0 \neq 0$ where $V^d = [v^1, v^2, v^3]^T$, therefore there exist exactly two linearly independent vectors $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_3$ such that $aV^d F_\mu^0 = bV^d F_\mu^0 = 0$:

$$\mu'(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{V}^d = \begin{bmatrix} aV^d \\ bV^d \end{bmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Every tangent has now the representation $x'(0) = \alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 + \gamma u_3$. Inserting of $(0, x'(0))$ in (2) yields after multiplication by \tilde{V}^d of (17) the both quadratic forms

$$a^T Q_6(\tilde{v}^i)a = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (18)$$

cf. (13), which must satisfy the cone condition. The tangents then lie in the hyperplane $\mu = \mu_0$.

(d) Computation of Branching Points of Type I

We prove at first a result for the accompanying system (5.21), namely

$$\Phi_2(z) := \Phi_2(\mu, x, v) := \begin{bmatrix} F(\mu, x) \\ v F_x(\mu, x) \\ v F_\mu(\mu, x) - 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad z = (\mu, x, v), \quad v \in \mathcal{F}_d, \quad (19)$$

where F_x^0 is a FREDHOM operator with index one by exception.

Lemma 1 *Let $F_x^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a FREDHOM operator with index one and*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 &= 2, & \text{Ker } F_x^0 &= \text{span}\{u_1, u_2\}, \\ \dim \text{Ker}[F_x^0]_d &= 1, & \text{Ker}[F_x^0]_d &= \text{span}\{v^1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and let $\Phi_2(z_0) = 0$ for $z_0 = (\mu_0, x_0, v^1)$. Then $\text{grad } \Phi_2(z_0)$ has a bounded inverse if and only if the matrix

$$Q_4(u_1, u_2, v^1) = [v^1 F_{xx}^0 \langle u_i, u_k \rangle]_{i,k=1}^2 \quad (20)$$

is regular.

Note that $v^1 F_\mu^0 \neq 0$ by the assumption $\Phi(z_0) = 0$.

Proof. The proof is carried out in much the same way as in Lemma 1 of SUPPLEMENT\chap05b.

Let again

$$\begin{aligned} z &= (\sigma, z_1, z^2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}_d, \\ g &= (g_1, g^2, \tau) \in \text{Range } F_x^0 \times \text{Range}[F_x^0]_d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have to show that the linear system $\text{grad } \Phi_2(z^0)z = g$, $z^0 = (\sigma, z_1, z^2)$, has a unique solution z for every g . In detail

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad } \Phi_2(z^0)z &= F_\mu^0 \sigma + F_x^0 z_1 = g_1 \\ v^1 [F_{\mu x}^0 \sigma + F_{xx}^0 z_1] + z^2 F_x^0 &= g^2 \\ v^1 [F_{\mu\mu}^0 \sigma + F_{\mu x}^0 z_1] + z^2 F_\mu^0 &= \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We choose the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= \alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 + w, \quad U^d w = 0, \quad U^d U = I_2, \\ z_2 &= \gamma v^1 + q, \quad \langle q, v_1 \rangle = 0, \quad v^1 v_1 = 1; \end{aligned}$$

then we have to show that $\sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, w, q$ are uniquely determined. Inserting into (21) yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu^0 \sigma + F_x^0 (\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 + w) &= g_1 \\ v^1 [F_{\mu x}^0 \sigma + F_{xx}^0 (\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 + w)] + z^2 F_x^0 &= g^2 \\ v^1 [F_{\mu\mu}^0 \sigma + F_{\mu x}^0 (\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 + w)] + z^2 F_\mu^0 &= \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

(1°) We have $v^1 F_x^0 = 0$ and $v^1 F_\mu^0 \neq 0$ because $F_\mu^0 \notin \text{Range } F_x^0$, and $v^1 g_1 = 0$ because $g_1 \in \text{Range } F_x^0$; therefore the first equation of (22) shows that $\sigma = v^1 g_1 / v^1 F_\mu^0 = 0$.

(2°) The component w is therefore the unique solution of

$$F_x^0 w = g_1, \quad U^d w = 0.$$

(3°) Inserting $\sigma = 0$ and the expression for z^2 in (22,2°) yields

$$(\beta v^1 + q) F_x^0 = g^2 - v^1 F_{xx}^0 (\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 + w) =: b \in \text{Range}[F_x^0]_d. \quad (23)$$

By the Range Theorem $bu_1 = bu_2 = 0$ and $g^2 u_1 = g^2 u_2 = 0$. Application of (23) to u_1 and u_2 yields the system

$$\begin{aligned} v^1 F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, u_1 \rangle \alpha + v^1 F_{xx}^0 \langle u_2, u_1 \rangle \beta &= v^1 F_{xx}^0 \langle w, u_1 \rangle \\ v^1 F_{xx}^0 \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \alpha + v^1 F_{xx}^0 \langle u_2, u_2 \rangle \beta &= v^1 F_{xx}^0 \langle w, u_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which has a unique solution α, β iff the matrix Q_4 is regular.

(4°) Thus z_1 is determined uniquely. Because $v^1 F_x^0 = 0$ then q is the unique solution of (23) such that $\langle q, v_1 \rangle = 0$. Finally, γ is uniquely determined from

$$(\gamma v^1 + q) F_\mu^0 = \tau - v^1 F_{\mu x}^0 z_1.$$

□

By a proposition of [MooreA], branching points are computed as *regular* points of an *augmented* accompanying system in a similar way as in the computation of turning points. We consider the perturbed system

$$\Phi_3(\lambda, (\mu, x)) := \Phi_2(\mu, x) + \lambda r = 0, \quad (24)$$

where λ now plays the role of the former parameter μ and (μ, x) the role of the former x . We have supposed in Lemma 1 that $v^1 F_\mu^0 \neq 0$. Now μ is replaced by λ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Phi_3(0, (\mu^0, x^0)) = r$$

To apply Lemma 1 to (24) we therefore require that the fixed and *specified* r is chosen such that $v^1 r \neq 0$ which is entirely natural for the following system. The accompanying system then reads with $v \in \mathcal{F}_d$:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \Phi_3(z) &:= \Phi_3(\lambda, (\mu, x), v) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} F(\mu, x) + \lambda r \\ v [F_\mu(\mu, x), F_x(\mu, x)] \\ v r - 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F(\mu, x) + \lambda r \\ v F_x(\mu, x) \\ v F_\mu(\mu, x) \\ v r - 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \end{aligned}} \quad (25)$$

Lemma 2 *Let (μ_0, x_0) be a branching point of type Ia/b and let $z_0 = (0, \mu_0, x_0, v^1)$, cf. (5), then $\Phi_3(z_0) = 0$. Further, let w denote the unique solution of $F_\mu^0 + F_x^0 w = 0$ where $v^1 w = 0$ then*

$$\text{grad } \Phi_3(z_0) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}_d \times \mathbb{R}^2$$

is regular if and only if the matrix $Q_3(u_1, w, v^1)$ in (6) is regular.

Proof. Let $F_\mu^0 + F_x^0 w = 0$ then

$$\text{Ker}([F_\mu^0, F_x^0]) = \text{span}\{(0, u_1), (1, w)\} =: \text{span}\{\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2\}. \quad (26)$$

Then Lemma 1 w.r.t. the augmented system says that the matrix $Q_4(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, v^1)$ in (8) must be regular. Inserting the values for \tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2 shows that $Q_4(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, v^1) = Q_3(u_1, w, v^1)$ where Q_3 is the matrix in (6)

Lemma 3 *Let (μ_0, x_0) be a branching point of type Ic and let $z_0 = (0, \mu_0, x_0, \tilde{v}^d)$, cf. (7). Then $\Phi_3(z_0) = 0$ and*

$$\text{grad } \Phi_3(z_0) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}_d \times \mathbb{R}^2$$

is regular if and only if the matrix $Q_4(u_1, u_2, \tilde{v}^d)$ in (8) is regular.

Hint to the proof. In the present case

$$\text{Ker}([F_\mu^0, F_x^0]) = \text{span}\{(0, u_1), (0, u_2)\}.$$

By consequence, the matrix Q_4 in (8) relative to the augmented system has now the form Q_4 of (8) relative to the original system. \square

(d) Computation of Branching Points of Type II

We prove at first an auxiliary result for the system

$$\Phi_4(z) := \Phi_4(\mu, x, v) := \begin{bmatrix} F(\mu, x) \\ v F_x(\mu, x) \\ v F_\mu(\mu, x) - 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad z = (\mu, x, v), \quad v \in \mathcal{F}_d \quad (27)$$

where, by exception, F_x^0 is a FREDHOM operator with *index two*:

Lemma 4 *Let $F_x^0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ be a FREDHOM operator with index two and*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 &= 3, & \text{Ker } F_x^0 &= \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, u_3\} \\ \dim \text{Ker}[F_x^0]_d &= 1, & \text{Ker}[F_x^0]_d &= \text{span}\{v^1\}. \end{aligned}$$

and let $\Phi_4(z^0) = 0$ for $z_0 = (\mu_0, x_0, v^1)$. Then $\text{grad } \Phi_4(z^0)$ has a bounded inverse iff the matrix (13),

$$Q_7(u_1, u_2, u_3, v^1) = \begin{bmatrix} v^1 F_{xx}^0(u_1, u_1) & v^1 F_{xx}^0(u_1, u_2) & v^1 F_{xx}^0(u_1, u_3) \\ v^1 F_{xx}^0(u_2, u_1) & v^1 F_{xx}^0(u_2, u_2) & v^1 F_{xx}^0(u_2, u_3) \\ v^1 F_{xx}^0(u_3, u_1) & v^1 F_{xx}^0(u_3, u_2) & v^1 F_{xx}^0(u_3, u_3) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (28)$$

is regular.

Note that $v^1 F_\mu^0 = 1$ by assumption that $\Phi_4(z_0) = 0$.

Proof. The proof is carried out essentially in the same way as Lemma 1. Let again

$$\begin{aligned} z &= (\sigma, z_1, z^2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}_d, \\ g &= (g_1, g^2, \tau_1) \in \text{Range } F_x^0 \times \text{Range}[F_x^0]_d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have to show that the linear system $\text{System grad } \Phi_4(z^0)z = g$, $z^0 = (\sigma, z_1, z^2)$, has a unique solution z for every right side g . In detail

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu^0 \sigma + F_x^0 z_1 &= g_1 \\ v^1 [F_{x\mu}^0 \sigma + F_{xx}^0 z_1] + z^2 F_x^0 &= g^2 \\ v^1 [F_{\mu\mu}^0 \sigma + F_{\mu x}^0 z_1] + z^2 F_\mu^0 &= \tau_1. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We choose the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= Ua + w, \quad U^d w = 0, \quad U^d U = I_3, \quad U = [u_1, u_2, u_3], \\ z_2 &= \gamma v^1 + q, \quad \langle q, v_1 \rangle = 0, \quad v^1 v_1 = 1; \end{aligned}$$

then it is to show that $\sigma, \gamma, a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as well as w and q are determined uniquely. Inserting into (29) yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu^0 \sigma + F_x^0 (Ua + w) &= g_1 \\ v^1 [F_{x\mu}^0 \sigma + F_{xx}^0 (Ua + w)] + z^2 F_x^0 &= g^2 \\ v^1 [F_{\mu\mu}^0 \sigma + F_{\mu x}^0 (Ua + w)] + z^2 F_\mu^0 &= \tau_1. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

(1°) The first equation supplies $\sigma = 0$ after multiplication by v^1 since $v^1 F_x^0 = 0$ and $v^1 g_1 = 0$.

(2°) Inserting of $\sigma = 0$ into the first equation yields w with $U^d w = 0$ uniquely because $F_x^0 U = 0$.

(3°) Inserting $\sigma = 0$ and z_1 in (30, 2°) yields

$$(\gamma v^1 + q) F_x^0 = g^2 - v^1 F_{xx}^0 (Ua + w) \in \text{Range}[F_x^0]_d. \quad (31)$$

But $v^1 F^0 x = 0$, $F_x^0 u_i = 0$ and by the Range Theorem $g^2 u_i = 0$ Application of (31) to u_i , $i = 1 : 3$, successively shows that $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ exists uniquely if the matrix Q_7 is regular.

(4°) Then q is the unique solution of (31) with $\langle q, v_1 \rangle = 0$.

(5°) γ is uniquely determined in linear dependence of σ by

$$(\gamma v^1 + q) F_\mu^0 = \tau_1 - v^1 F_{\mu x}^0 z_1. \quad \square$$

Type IIa $\dim \text{Ker } F_x^0 = 2$, $\tilde{v}^d F_\mu^0 = 0$, $\tilde{v}^d F_\omega^0 = 0$, cf. (9). We consider the perturbed system

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} & \Phi_4(z) := \Phi_4(\lambda, (\mu, \omega, x), v) \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} F(\mu, \omega, x) + \lambda r \\ v [F_\omega(\mu, \omega, x), F_x(\mu, \omega, x)] \\ v F_\mu(\mu, \omega, x) \\ v r - 1 \end{bmatrix} \simeq \begin{bmatrix} F(\mu, \omega, x) + \lambda r \\ v F_x(\mu, \omega, x) \\ v F_\omega(\mu, \omega, x) \\ v F_\mu(\mu, \omega, x) \\ v r - 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0 \end{aligned}} \quad (32)$$

where λ play the role of the parameters μ in Lemma 4 and $\tilde{x} := (\mu, \omega, x)$ plays the role of the former element x . The vector r must be specified such that $\tilde{v}^d r \neq 0$.

Lemma 5 *Let (μ_0, ω_0, x_0) be a branching point of type IIa and let $z_0 = (0, \mu_0, \omega_0, x_0, \tilde{v}^d)$, cf. (9), then $\Phi_4(z^0) = 0$. Let moreover w be the unique solution of $F_\omega^0 + F_x^0 w = 0$ with $Uw = 0$, then*

$$\text{grad } \Phi_4(z_0) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}_d \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

has a bounded inverse iff the matrix $Q_5(u_1, u_2, w, \tilde{v}^d)$ in (10) is regular and if $\tilde{v}^d r \neq 0$.

Proof. For the mentioned w we have by (9)

$$F_y^0 = [F_\mu^0, F_\omega^0, F_x^0], \quad \text{Ker}(F_y^0) = \text{span}\{(0, 0, u_1), (0, 0, u_2), (0, 1, w)\} =: \text{span}\{\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_3\} \quad (33)$$

Let $\tilde{Q}_7(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{v}^d)$ be the matrix in (28) with partial derivatives w.r.t. $\tilde{x} = (\mu, \omega, x)$ instead of x . Then Lemma 4 w.r.t. the augmented system (32) says that this matrix must be regular. Inserting the values of (33) we find that

$$\tilde{Q}_7(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{v}^d) = Q_5(u_1, u_2, w, \tilde{v}^d). \quad \square$$

For **Type IIb** we have to permute μ and ω and \tilde{v}^d is to be chosen as in (11).

Type IIc $\dim \text{ker } F_x^0 = 3$, $\tilde{v}^d F_\mu^0 = 0$, $\tilde{v}^d F_\omega^0 = 0$, cf. (11). We consider again the perturbed system (32).

Lemma 6 *Let (μ_0, ω_0, x_0) be a branching point of type IIc and let $z_0 = (0, \mu_0, \omega_0, x_0, \tilde{v}^d)$, cf. (12), then $\Phi_4(z^0) = 0$ and*

$$\text{grad } \Phi_4(z_0) : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}_d \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}_d \times \mathbb{R}^3$$

has a bounded inverse iff the matrix $Q_6(u_1, u_2, u_3, \tilde{v}^d)$ in (13) or (28) is regular and if $\tilde{v}^d r \neq 0$ and a system-inherent constant is non-zero.

Proof. By (12) we have

$$F_y^0 = [F_\mu^0, F_\omega^0, F_x^0], \quad \text{Ker}(F_y^0) = \text{span}\{(0, 0, u_1), (0, 0, u_2), (0, 0, u_3)\} =: \text{span}\{\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_3\} \quad (34)$$

Lemma 4 w.r.t. the augmented system (32) says again that the matrix $\tilde{Q}_7(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{v}^d)$ in (28) must be regular. Inserting the values of (34) we find that

$$\tilde{Q}_7(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{u}_3, \tilde{v}^d) = Q_6(u_1, u_2, u_3, \tilde{v}^d). \quad \square$$