

Proof of Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.1

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} J(x, u) &= p(x(0), x(T)) + \int_0^T q(t, x(t), u(t)) dt = \max! \\ x(t) &= x(0) + \int_0^t f(s, x(s), u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, T] \\ 0 &= r(x(0), x(T)) \in \mathbb{R}^{|r|} \end{aligned}$$

HAMILTON function:

$$H(t, x(t), u(t), y(t)) = q(t, x(t), u(t)) + y(t)f(t, x(t), u(t)), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Theorem 1 (Theorem 4.3) Let $\mathcal{X} = C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{U} = C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^m)$, and let $(x^*, u^*) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U}$ be a regular solution of the problem (1). Then there exists a pair $(y^*, z^*) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}^{|r|}$ such that the quadruple (x^*, u^*, y^*, z^*) is solution of the differential-algebraic boundary problem

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= [\nabla_y H]^T(t, x, u, y) && \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \dot{y}(t) &= - \nabla_x H(t, x, u, y) && \in \mathbb{R}_n \\ 0 &= \nabla_u H(t, x, u, y) && \in \mathbb{R}_m \\ 0 &= r(x(0), x(T)) && \in \mathbb{R}^{|r|} \\ y(0) &= - \nabla_1(p + z r)(x(0), x(T)) && \in \mathbb{R}_n \\ y(T-) &= \nabla_2(p + z r)(x(0), x(T)) && \in \mathbb{R}_n \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, one may also write the *costate* $y(t)$ as column vector but the present form is advantageous in later computation and in implementation.

Proof. The LAGRANGE function of the problems with equality restrictions reads by § 3.6 and § 12.5

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} L((x, u), y, z) &= [p + z r](x(0), x(T)) \\ &+ \int_0^T q(x, u) dt + \int_0^T dy(t) \left[x(t) - x(0) - \int_0^t f(x, u) ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^{|r|}$ and $y \in \text{NBV}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}_n)$. The second integral is a RIEMANN-STIELTJES integral which counts jumping points of y . The F-derivative of L w.r.t. (x, u) with increment (ξ, η) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_{(x,u)} L((x, u), y, z)(\xi, \eta) \\ &= \nabla_1[p + z r](x(0), x(T))\xi(0) + \nabla_2[p + z r](x(0), x(T))\xi(T) \\ &+ \int_0^T [\nabla_x q \xi + \nabla_u q \eta] dt \\ &+ \int_0^T dy(t) \left[\xi(t) - \xi(0) - \int_0^t (\nabla_x f \xi + \nabla_u f \eta) ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.4 there exists a pair $(y^*, z^*) \in \text{NBV}(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_{|r|}$ such that in optimum (x^*, u^*)

$$\forall (\xi, \eta) \in X \times U : \nabla_{(x,u)} L((x^*, u^*), y^*, z^*)(\xi, \eta) = 0.$$

1. Step Choose $\eta = 0$ then

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= [\nabla_1[p + z^* r](x^*(0), x^*(T))] \xi(0) \\ &+ [\nabla_2[p + z^* r](x^*(0), x^*(T))] \xi(T) \\ &+ \int_0^T \nabla_x q \xi dt + \int_0^T dy^*(t) \left[\xi(t) - \xi(0) - \int_0^t \nabla_x f \xi ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the term $\int_0^T dy^*(t) \xi(t)$ and $\xi \in C^1$, y^* cannot have a jumping point in the open interval $(0, T)$. Because $y^*(0+) = y^*(0)$ then y^* can at most have a jumping point at $t = T$. Besides, $y^*(T) = 0$ because $y^* \in \text{NBV}(0, T)$. Partial integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T dy^*(t) \left[\xi(t) - \xi(0) - \int_0^t \nabla_x f \xi ds \right] \\ &= [y^*(T) - y^*(T-)] \left[\xi(T) - \xi(0) - \int_0^T \nabla_x f \xi ds \right] \quad (\text{jumping point}) \\ &+ y^*(T-) \left[\xi(T) - \xi(0) - \int_0^T \nabla_x f \xi ds \right] \quad (\text{boundary terms}) \\ &- \int_0^{T-} y^*(t) [\dot{\xi}(t) - \nabla_x f \xi] dt = - \int_0^{T-} y^* \dot{\xi} dt + \int_0^{T-} y^* \nabla_x f \xi dt. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting into (4) yields with $H = q + y^* f$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= [\nabla_1[p + z^* r](x^*(0), x^*(T))] \xi(0) + [\nabla_2[p + z^* r](x^*(0), x^*(T))] \xi(T) \\ &+ \int_0^{T-} \nabla_x H \xi dt - \int_0^{T-} y^* \dot{\xi} dt. \end{aligned}$$

2. Step Choose $\eta = 0$ and $\xi \in C^1$ arbitrary such that $\xi(0) = \xi(T) = 0$, then $y^* \in C^1$ by the augmented fundamental lemma of variational calculus and

$$(6) \quad \dot{y}^* = -\nabla_x H(x^*, u^*, y^*).$$

Partial integration yields

$$\int_0^{T-} y^* \dot{\xi} dt = y^*(T-) \xi(T) - y^*(0) \xi(0) - \int_0^{T-} \dot{y}^* \xi dt.$$

Inserting into (5) yields by using (6)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\nabla_1[p + z^* r](x^*(0), x^*(T))] \xi(0) + [\nabla_2[p + z^* r](x^*(0), x^*(T))] \xi(T) \\ &- y^*(T-) \xi(T) + y^*(0) \xi(0). \end{aligned}$$

3. Step Choose $\eta = 0$ and ξ arbitrary where either $\xi(T) = 0$ or $\xi(0) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} y^*(0) &= -\nabla_1[p + z q](x^*(0), x^*(T)), \\ y^*(T-) &= \nabla_2[p + z q](x^*(0), x^*(T)). \end{aligned}$$

4. Step Choose $\xi = 0$ and $\eta \in U$ arbitrary then by using (3)

$$(7) \quad \int_0^T \nabla_u q \eta dt - \int_0^T dy^*(t) \left[\int_0^t \nabla_u f \eta ds \right] = 0.$$

Partial integration yields as above

$$\int_0^T dy^*(t) \left[\int_0^t \nabla_u f \eta ds \right] = - \int_0^{T^-} y^*(\nabla_u f \eta) dt.$$

Therefore we obtain from (7)

$$\int_0^{T^-} [\nabla_u q + y^* \nabla_u f] \eta dt = \int_0^{T^-} \nabla_u H \eta dt = 0.$$

This relation implies by the fundamentallemma that $\nabla_u H = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= C_{pc,n}^1[0, T] \cap C[0, T] && \text{the function space of states } x, \\ \mathcal{U} &= C_{pc,m}[0, T] && \text{the function space of controls } u. \end{aligned}$$

We seek for a pair $(x, u) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U}$ of state x and control u being a solution of the control problem

$$(8) \quad \boxed{\begin{aligned} J(x, u) &= p(x(0), x(T)) + \int_0^T q(t, x(t), u(t)) dt = \max! \\ x(t) &= x(0) + \int_0^t f(s, x(s), u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, T] \\ 0 &= r(x(0), x(T)) \in \mathbb{R}^{|r|} \\ 0 &\leq g(t, x(t), u(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{|g|}, \quad t \in [0, T] \\ 0 &\leq h(t, x(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{|h|}, \quad t \in [0, T] \end{aligned}}$$

where f, g, h, r, p, q are continuously F-differentiable in some suitable domains.

We introduce the scalar HAMILTON function H again, and *two* LAGRANGE functions \tilde{L} and L are associated to the problem (8):

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} H(t, x, u, y) &= q(t, x, u) + y f(t, x, y), \\ L(t, x, u, y, \dot{v}, \dot{w}) &= H(t, x, u, y) + \dot{v} g(t, x, u) + \dot{w} h(t, x), \\ \tilde{L}((x, u), y, z, v, w) &= [p + z r](x(0), x(T)) \\ &+ \int_0^T q(x, u) dt + \int_0^T [dv g(x, u) + dw h(x)] \\ &+ \int_0^T dy(t) \left[x(t) - x(0) - \int_0^t f(x, u) ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

where $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}_n$, $0 \leq v(t) \in \mathbb{R}_{|g|}$, $0 \leq w(t) \in \mathbb{R}_{|h|}$ are row vectors and all integrals over the operational interval $[0, T]$ are again RIEMANN-STIELTJES integrals by § 12.5. Then we obtain a simple analogue to Theorem ??.

Theorem 2 *Let the following assumptions be fulfilled:*

(1°) *There exists*

$$(x^*, u^*, y^*, v^*, w^*, z^*) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{U} \times C_{pc,n}^1[0, T] \times C_{pc,|g|}[0, T] \times C_{pc,|h|}[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_{|r|}$$

where $v^*(t) \geq 0$, $w^*(t) \geq 0$ and

$$(10) \quad (x^*, u^*) = \arg \max_{(x,u) \in X \times U} \tilde{L}((x, u), y^*, z^*, v^*, w^*).$$

(2°) *The pair (x^*, u^*) satisfies all constraints.*

(3°) $\int_0^T dv^*(t) g(t, x^*, u^*) = 0$ (complementarity condition).

(4°) $\int_0^T dw^*(t) h(t, x^*) = 0$ (complementarity condition).

Then (x^*, u^*) is a solution of (8).

Corollary 1 *Adopt the assumptions of Theorem 2.*

At all points t where the six-tuple $(x^, u^*, y^*, v^*, w^*, z^*)$ continuously exists it is solution of the differential-algebraic boundary value problem*

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x}(t) & = & [\nabla_y H]^T(t, x, u, y) \\ \dot{y}(t) & = & - \nabla_x L(t, x, u, y, \dot{v}, \dot{w}) \\ 0 & = & \nabla_u L(t, x, u, y, \dot{v}, \dot{w}) \\ 0 & = & r(x(0), x(T)) \\ y(0) & = & - \nabla_1(p + z r)(x(0), x(T)) \\ y(T-) & = & \nabla_2(p + z r)(x(0), x(T)) \\ 0 & = & \dot{v}(t) g(t, x, u), \quad t \in [0, T] \\ 0 & = & \dot{w}(t) h(t, x), \quad t \in [0, T] \\ 0 & \leq & \dot{v}(t), \quad 0 \leq \dot{w}(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{array}$$

Proof. (a) Adopt first that

$$(12) \quad \int_0^T dv(t) [\nabla_x g \xi] = \int_0^T \dot{v}(t) [\nabla_x g \xi] dt$$

The LAGRANGE function has now the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}((x, u), y, z, v, w) &= [p + z r](x(0), x(T)) \\ &+ \int_0^T q(x, u) dt + \int_0^T [dv g(x, u) + dw h(x)] \\ &+ \int_0^T dy(t) \left[x(t) - x(0) - \int_0^t f(x, u) ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

The necessary condition follows from Theorem 2 in the optimum:

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_{(x,u)} L((x, u), y, z)(\xi, \eta) \\ &= \nabla_1[p + z r](x(0), x(T)) \xi(0) + \nabla_2[p + z r](x(0), x(T)) \xi(T) \\ &+ \int_0^T [\nabla_x q \xi + \nabla_u q \eta] dt \\ &+ \int_0^T dy(t) \left[\xi(t) - \xi(0) - \int_0^t (\nabla_x f \xi + \nabla_u f \eta) ds \right] \\ &+ \int_0^T dv(t) [\nabla_x g \xi] + \int_0^T dw(t) [\nabla_x h \xi] + \int_0^T dv(t) [\nabla_u g \eta] \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^T dv(t)g(x, u) = 0, \quad \int_0^T dw(t)h(x) = 0$$

Step 1. Choose $\eta = 0$ then in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, cf. SUPPLEMENT\chap04b

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_1[p + zr](x(0), x(T))\xi(0) + \nabla_2[p + zr](x(0), x(T))\xi(T) \\ &+ \int_0^T \nabla_x q \xi dt + \int_0^T dv(t)[\nabla_x g \xi] + \int_0^T dw(t)[\nabla_x h \xi] \\ &+ \int_0^T dy(t) \left[\xi(t) - \xi(0) - \int_0^t \nabla_x f \xi ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

and then, also in the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla_1[p + zr](x(0), x(T))\xi(0) + \nabla_2[p + zr](x(0), x(T))\xi(T) \\ &+ \int_0^T \nabla_x q \xi dt \\ &+ \int_0^{T-} \dot{y} \xi dt + \int_0^{T-} y \nabla_x f \xi dt + y(0)\xi(0) - y(T-)\xi(T) \\ &+ \int_0^T dv(t)[\nabla_x g \xi] + \int_0^T dw(t)[\nabla_x h \xi] \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Choose $\eta = 0$, $\xi(0) = 0$, $\xi(T) = 0$ then

$$0 = \int_0^T \nabla_x q \xi dt + \int_0^{T-} \dot{y} \xi dt + \int_0^{T-} y \nabla_x f \xi dt + \int_0^T dv(t)[\nabla_x g \xi] + \int_0^T dw(t)[\nabla_x h \xi]$$

Using (12) we obtain

$$\dot{y} = -[\nabla_x q - y \nabla_x f - \dot{v} \nabla_x g - \dot{w} \nabla_x h]$$

Step 3. Same as in the Proof of Theorem 4.3. Result

$$\begin{aligned} y(0) &= -\nabla_1[p + zq](x(0), x(T)), \\ y(T-) &= \nabla_2[p + zq](x(0), x(T)). \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Choose $\xi = 0$ and η arbitrary then, with the same temporary assumption as above

$$(13) \quad 0 = \int_0^T \nabla_u q \eta dt + \int \dot{v} \nabla_u g \eta dt - \int_0^T dy(t) \left[\int_0^t \nabla_u f \eta ds \right] = 0$$

and, after partial integration,

$$0 = \int_0^{T-} [\nabla_u q + y^* \nabla_u f + \dot{v} \nabla_u g] \eta dt = \int_0^{T-} L_u \eta dt.$$

(b) If one of the dependent variables has a jump at a point $0 < \tau < T$, the above result holds in the corresponding subintervals