

## To Variational Calculus

**Lemma 1** (LAGRANGE, *Fundamentallemma of Calculus of Variations*)

Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $h \in \mathcal{C}_0^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} (1^\circ) \quad \forall h : \int_0^T f(t)^T h(t) dt = 0 & \implies f \equiv 0, \\ (2^\circ) \quad \forall h : \int_0^T f(t)^T \dot{h}(t) dt = 0 & \implies f = \text{constant}, \\ (3^\circ) \quad \forall h : \int_0^T [f(t)^T h(t) + g(t)^T \dot{h}(t)] dt = 0 & \implies g \in C^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n) \\ & \text{and } f = \dot{g}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (1°) following [Amann]. We suppose that  $f \neq 0$ . Then there exists an  $i = 1:n$  so that  $f_i \neq 0$ . Since  $f_i$  continuous, there exist an  $x_0 \in (0, T)$  and an  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $U_\varepsilon := (x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \varepsilon)$  is still contained entirely in  $[0, T]$  and that  $f_i(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in U_\varepsilon$ . Now we choose a function  $h_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\text{supp}(h_i) \subset U_\varepsilon$  and  $h_i > 0$ . Then

$$h := [0, \dots, 0, h_i, 0, \dots, 0]^T \in \mathcal{C}_0^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\int_0^T f(t)^T h(t) dt = \int_0^T f_i(t) h_i(t) dt = \int_{x_0 - \varepsilon}^{x_0 + \varepsilon} f_i(t) h_i(t) dt \neq 0$$

since  $f_i h_i$  continuous, does not change sign, and does not disappear. This contradiction yields the assertion.

For the existence of a function  $h_i$  with the desired properties see [Amann], Bemerkung (2.12).

(2°) Following [Clegg],[Kosmol], p. 106. Let  $c := T^{-1} \int_0^T f(t) dt \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then

$$\int_0^T (f(t) - c) dt = \int_0^T f(t) dt - \int_0^T c dt = 0, \quad \text{choose } h(t) = \int_0^t (f(\tau) - c) d\tau$$

then  $\dot{h}(t) = f(t) - c$  and  $h(0) = h(T) = 0$ . Now, by assumption

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T (f(t) - c)^T (f(t) - c) dt &= \int_0^T (f(t) - c)^T \dot{h}(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^T f(t)^T \dot{h}(t) dt - c^T \int_0^T \dot{h}(t) dt = 0 - c^T (h(T) - h(0)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $|f(t) - c| = 0$  for all  $t$  hence  $f(t) = c$ .

Slight generalization possible [Clegg].

(3°) [Kosmol], p. 107, Let

$$a(t) := \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and  $h \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $h(a) = h(b) = 0$ . Partial integration yields

$$\int_0^T f(t)^T h(t) dt = a(T)^T h(T) - a(0)^T h(0) - \int_0^T a(t)^T \dot{h}(t) dt = 0 - \int_0^T a(t)^T \dot{h}(t) dt$$

By assumption

$$\int_0^T f(t)^T h(t) dt = - \int_0^T g(t)^T \dot{h}(t) dt$$

therefore we obtain

$$\int_0^T g(t)^T \dot{h}(t) dt = \int_0^T a(t)^T \dot{h}(t) dt \implies \int_0^T (g(t)^T - a(t)^T) \dot{h}(t) dt = 0$$

But  $g(t) - a(t)$  constant by (2°) thus there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $g(t) = c + a(t)$ . By the main theorem of differential and integral calculus,  $a(t)$  is differentiable with derivative  $f(t)$ , therefore  $\dot{g}(t) = f(t)$ .

**Theorem 1** [Amann] Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a normed vector space,  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$  a subspace,  $w \in \mathcal{X}$  arbitrary,  $\mathcal{V} = w + \mathcal{U}$  an affine subspace,  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{V}$  open. Further, let  $J : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x^* \in \mathcal{D}$  and let  $\delta J(x^*, \cdot) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  exist. Then

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{D} : J(x^*) \leq J(x) \implies \forall h \in \mathcal{U} : \delta J(x^*; h) = 0.$$

*Variational Problem:* Let  $0 < T < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$  open,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &:= \{x \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^m), x(0) = a, x(T) = b, (x(t), \dot{x}(t)) \in \mathcal{M} \forall t \in [0, T]\}, \\ L &\in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T] \times \mathcal{M}; \mathbb{R}), J : \mathcal{Z} \ni x \mapsto J(x) := \int_0^T L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then the problem

$$\min\{J(x), x \in \mathcal{Z}\} \tag{1}$$

is called variational problem with fixed boundary conditions. Obviously this problem has not always a solution and in case a solution exists, it must not be unique. In the sequel, we derive a *necessary* condition for the existence of a solution. This condition is known under the name EULER equations. But, at first we write the problem in a more abstract form.

**Theorem 2** (1°)  $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^m)$  is a BANACH space w.r.t. the norm.

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}} := \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |x(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\dot{x}(t)|.$$

(2°)  $\mathcal{D}_M := \{x \in \mathcal{X}, (x(t), \dot{x}(t)) \in \mathcal{M} \forall t \in [0, T]\}$  is open in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

(3°)  $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{C}_0^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^m) := \{x \in \mathcal{X}, x(0) = x(T) = 0\}$  is a closed subspace in  $\mathcal{X}$  w.r.t. the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

(4°) Let  $w \in \mathcal{X}$  arbitrary such that  $w(0) = a$ ,  $w(T) = b$ , then  $\mathcal{V} = w + \mathcal{U}$  is an affine subspace (linear manifold) in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Proof. [Amann] Lemmata 2.4, 2.5, Aufg. 1.2.4, p. 31.

Let now  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_M \cap \mathcal{V}$  then  $\mathcal{D}$  open in  $\mathcal{V}$  and, using the above notations and assumptions, Theorem 1 yields

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{D} : J(x^*) \leq J(x) \implies \forall h \in \mathcal{U} : \delta J(x^*; h) = 0.$$

**Theorem 3** *Adopt the above notations and assumptions, then*

$$\delta J(x; h) = \int_0^T [D_2 L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t))h(t) + D_3 L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t))\dot{h}(t)] dt$$

$\forall x \in \mathcal{D} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{U}$ , and  $\delta J(x; \cdot)$  is the FRECHET-derivative of  $J$  at the point  $x$ .

Beweis [Amann], p. 21, [Heuser] II, § 191.

In Theorem 3,  $D_2 L$  is the gradient of the mapping  $x \mapsto L(t, x, y)$  (in  $m$  variables) for fixed  $(t, y)$  and  $D_3 L$  is the gradient of the mapping  $y \mapsto L(t, x, y)$  for fixed  $(t, x)$ .

**Theorem 4** *Let moreover the mapping  $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto D_3 L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be continuously differentiable then*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta J(x; h) &= \int_0^T [D_2 L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) - \frac{d}{dt} D_3 L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t))] h(t) dt + D_3 L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) h(t) \Big|_0^T \\ &= \int_0^T [D_2 L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t)) - \frac{d}{dt} D_3 L(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t))] h(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Partial integration regarding  $h \in \mathcal{U}$ .

An application of Lemma 1(3°) to Theorem 3 and 4 shows that the assumption of Theorem 4 can be cancelled.

Summary: Under the above assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} \delta J(x^*; v) &= 0 \quad \forall v \in C_0^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^m) \\ \iff [\text{grad}_x L - \frac{d}{dt} \text{grad}_{\dot{x}} L](t, x^*(t), \dot{x}^*(t)) &= 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

*Examples.* (1.) EULER equation in some special cases where  $m = 1$  (abbreviated notation).

$$\int_0^T L(t, \dot{x}) dt = \text{extr!} \implies \frac{d}{dt} L_{\dot{x}} = c \implies \dot{x} = f(t, c),$$

if  $L_{\dot{x}}$  invertible w.r.t.  $\dot{x}$ .

$$\int_0^T L(\dot{x}) dt = \text{Extr.!} \implies L_{\dot{x}}(\dot{x}) = c \implies \dot{x} = \text{konst} \implies x \text{ straight line.}$$

$$\int_0^T L(x, \dot{x}) dt = \text{Extr.!} \implies L_x - L_{\dot{x}\dot{x}} \dot{x} - L_{\dot{x}\dot{x}} \ddot{x} = 0.$$

Multiplication by  $\dot{x}$  yields

$$L_x \dot{x} - L_{\dot{x}\dot{x}} \dot{x} \dot{x} - L_{\dot{x}\dot{x}} \dot{x} \ddot{x} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{d}{dt} (L - \dot{x} L_{\dot{x}}) = 0$$

and after integration the DUBOIS-REYMOND condition

$$L - \dot{x} L_{\dot{x}} = \text{constant} \quad \text{implicit differential equation for } x.$$

(2.) Mass point in central field (dimension  $m = 3$ ). According to NEWTON's law (axiom) for  $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\begin{aligned} m\ddot{x} &= f(x) = -\text{grad } U(x) && U \text{ potential energy,} \\ T &= \frac{m}{2} |\dot{x}|^2 && \text{kinetic energy,} \\ E &= T + U = \frac{m}{2} |\dot{x}|^2 + U(x) && \text{total energy (constant).} \end{aligned}$$

According to Example 1(c)

$$-m\ddot{x} - \text{grad } U(x) = -(m\ddot{x} + \text{grad } U(x)) = 0$$

EULER's equation of the variational problem

$$J(x) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(x, \dot{x}) dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ \frac{m}{2} |\dot{x}|^2 - U(x) \right] dt = \text{extr.}! \quad (2)$$

where  $L = T - U$  is the LAGRANGE function! This result is called HAMILTON's principle of least action (dimension of  $J$  = energy · time) and is generally valid. Introducing  $y = m\dot{x} = L_{\dot{x}}$  for new (additional) variable (mass  $m$  constant) then we obtain the differential system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \text{grad}_y H & := y/m & \quad (\text{definition}), \\ \dot{y} &= -\text{grad}_x H & := -\text{grad } U(x) & \quad \text{NEWTON's law.} \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that  $H = E$  is an invariant of the system:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{konst} = E &= \frac{1}{2} y^T \dot{x} + U(x) = \frac{1}{2m} y^T y + U(x) = H(x, y) \\ &= T + U = 2T - (T - U) = y^T \dot{x} - L. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $H = y^T \dot{x} - L$ , the HAMILTON function  $H$  is the LEGENDRE transformation of the LAGRANGE function  $L$  w.r.t.  $\dot{x}$ .

In general however not  $\dot{x}$  is introduced for new variable but  $\partial L / \partial \dot{x}$  where in generalized coordinate systems commonly  $q$  is written in place of  $x$  for the vector of space variables. Then the LEGENDRE transformation  $H$  of  $L$  is defined by  $H(t, p, q) = p\dot{q} - L(t, q, \dot{q})$  where it is assumed that  $p := \partial L / \partial \dot{q}$  is resolvable w.r.t.  $\dot{q}$  and that the result is inserted in  $H$ .

---

Consider the variational problem:

$$J(y) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} y(x)^n (1 + y'(x)^2)^{1/2} dx = \text{Extr.}! \quad \forall y \in C^1([\alpha, \beta]; \mathbb{R}), \quad y(\alpha) = a, \quad y(\beta) = b. \quad (3)$$

where  $x$  (instead  $t$ ) is the independent variable and  $y$  is the dependent variable. Here the case  $n = 1, 1/2, 0, -1/2, -1$  are of particular interest.

*Case 1.*  $n = 0$ . Shortest connection between two points in the plane. Because  $L_y = 0$ , the EULER equation yields immediately

$$\frac{d}{dx} L_{y'}(\dots) = \frac{d}{dx} [y'(1 + (y')^2)^{-1/2}] = 0 \implies y'' = 0.$$

$y = py + q$  is the unique straight line through the points  $(\alpha, a)$  and  $(\beta, b)$ .

*Case 2.*  $n = 1$ . See § 4.1; cf. also [Bryson-Ho], p. 64,65.

*Case 3.*  $n = -1/2$ . See § 4.1; cf. also [Clegg], p. 49; [Kosmol], §4.2.

*Case 4.*  $n = 1/2$ . Free motion in a homogeneous field, e.g. parabola trajectory.

---

In the following lemma let  $(T^*, x^*) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times C^1[0, T_0]$  be a solution of (??) hence  $g(T^*, x^*(T^*)) = 0$ . The vector space  $C^1([0, T_0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  is equipped with the norm  $\|x\| := \|x\|_{\infty} + \|\dot{x}\|_{\infty}$  being a BANACH space by this way, and we suppose that  $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{U}_{\varepsilon}(x^*) = \{x, \|x - x^*\| < \varepsilon\}$  be a neighborhood of  $x^*$  in that space.

**Lemma 2** *Let*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt}g(t, x^*(t)) \right|_{t=T^*} = g_t(T^*, x^*(T^*)) + \nabla_x g(T^*, x^*(T^*))\dot{x}^*(T^*) \neq 0,$$

then there exists a  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a function  $\varphi \in C^1(\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon; \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$g(\varphi(x), x(\varphi(x))) = 0 \text{ und } \varphi(x^*) = T^*.$$

*Proof.* By the Implicit Function Theorem in BANACH spaces the equation  $G(t, x) := g(t, x(t)) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}$  is resolvable w.r.t.  $t$  in a neighborhood of  $(T^*, x^*(T^*))$  without defect of smoothness if

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t}G(t, x^*) \right|_{t=T^*} \neq 0.$$

□

This function  $\varphi$  is now substituted into the objective function

$$\tilde{J}(\varphi(x), x) = p(\varphi(x), x(\varphi(x))) + \int_0^{\varphi(x)} q(t, x, \dot{x}) dt,$$

and ensuing the first variation is set equal to zero again. Regarding the EULER equations we then obtain for all test functions  $v$  where  $v(0) = 0$  by partial integration and by an application of LEIBNIZ' rule

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \tilde{J}(x^* + \varepsilon v) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \left[ p(\varphi(x^* + \varepsilon v), x^*(\varphi(x^* + \varepsilon v)) + \varepsilon v(\varphi(x^* + \varepsilon v))) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{\varphi(x^* + \varepsilon v)} q(t, x^*(t) + \varepsilon v(t), \dot{x}^*(t) + \varepsilon \dot{v}(t)) dt \right] \\ &= D_1 p(T^*, x^*(T^*)) \partial \varphi(x^*; v) + \nabla_2 p(T^*, x^*(T^*)) (v(T^*) + \dot{x}^*(T^*) \partial \varphi(x^*; v)) \\ &\quad + q(T^*, x^*(T^*), \dot{x}^*(T^*)) \partial \varphi(x^*; v) + \nabla_3 q(T^*, x^*(T^*), \dot{x}^*(T^*)) v(T^*) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{T^* = \varphi(x^*)} [\nabla_2 q(t, x^*, \dot{x}^*) - \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_3 q(t, x^*, \dot{x}^*)] v dt. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose first that

$$(\nabla_2 p + \nabla_3 q)v + (\nabla_2 p \dot{x} + p_t + q) \partial \varphi(x^*; v) = 0 \quad (4)$$

where the arguments  $T^*$  and  $x^*$  are dropped for simplicity, then the Fundamentallemma 1 yields the EULER equations in interval  $[0, T^*]$  again. But the constraint  $g(T, x(T)) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}$  does also hold for sufficiently small  $|\varepsilon|$  in the following variated form

$$B(\varepsilon) := g(\varphi(x^* + \varepsilon v), x^*(\varphi(x^* + \varepsilon v)) + \varepsilon v(\varphi(x^* + \varepsilon v))) = 0,$$

by the above regularity assumption, hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} B(\varepsilon) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial g}{\partial t}(T^*, x^*(T^*)) \partial \varphi(x^*; v) + \nabla_x g(T^*, x^*(T^*)) [v(T^*) + \dot{x}^*(T^*) \partial \varphi(x^*; v)]. \end{aligned}$$

Substitution of the resolution w.r.t.  $\partial\varphi(x^*;v)$  into (4) yields — again in abbreviated form

$$\nabla_x p v + \nabla_{\dot{x}} q v + [\nabla_x p \dot{x} + p_t + q] \frac{\nabla_x g v}{\nabla_x g \dot{x} + g_t} = 0 \quad (5)$$

for arbitrary  $v(T^*)$ . By this way we obtain the necessary *condition of transversality* for the terminal time  $T^*$  at the point  $(t, x(t)) = (T^*, x^*(T^*))$ , namely

$$\boxed{(\nabla_x g \dot{x}^* + g_t) [\nabla_x p + \nabla_{\dot{x}} q] + (\nabla_x p \dot{x}^* + p_t + q) \nabla_x g = 0 \in \mathbb{R}_n}, \quad (6)$$

in addition to the EULER equation. By Lemma 2 it does make sense to suppose that the denominator in (5) is nonzero. Note also that *no additional properties* at all are required for the variation  $\partial\varphi(x;v)$  of  $\varphi$  in the above computation.