

Global dynamics for the critical Hardy-Sobolev parabolic equation below the ground state

Koichi Taniguchi (Tohoku Univ., AIMR)

The 13th International ISAAC Congress
Ghent, 2-6 August

Harmonic Analysis and Partial Differential Equations

Joint work with Noboru Chikami (Nagoya Inst. of Tech.)
and Masahiro Ikeda (RIKEN/Keio Univ.)

We study the Hardy-Sobolev parabolic equation:

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = |x|^{-\gamma} |u|^{p-2} u, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $d \geq 3$, $\gamma \in (0, 2)$ and $p > 2$.

Physical background

- The stationary problem $-\Delta u = |x|^{-\gamma} u^{p-1}$ has been proposed by Hénon as a model to study the *rotating stellar systems*.

Characteristics

- This equation has no classical solution and is **not invariant under the translation w.r.t. x** .

Hardy-Hénon parabolic equation

We consider the energy-critical Hardy-Sobolev parabolic equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = |x|^{-\gamma} |u|^{2^*(\gamma)-2} u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \end{cases} \quad (\text{HS})$$

where $d \geq 3$, $\gamma \in (0, 2)$ and $2^*(\gamma) := \frac{2(d-\gamma)}{d-2}$ is the critical Hardy-Sobolev exponent.

Initial data

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^1} = \|f\|_{\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Energy The energy functional $E : \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$E(f) := \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 - \frac{1}{2^*(\gamma)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-\gamma} |f(x)|^{2^*(\gamma)} dx.$$

Energy identity

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(u(t)) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\partial_t u(t, x)|^2 dx \leq 0.$$

Scale invariance

The equation (HS), \dot{H}^1 -norm and the energy are invariant under

$$u_\lambda(t, x) := \lambda^{\frac{d-2}{2}} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Aim (Global dynamics below the ground state)

Our aim is to give a necessary and sufficient condition on initial data **below the ground state W** (i.e. $E(u_0) < E(W)$), under which the behavior of solutions to (HS) is completely dichotomized:

- (i) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \implies u$ is global & $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} = 0.$
- (ii) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} > \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \implies u$ blows up in finite or infinite time.

Definition of solution

Let $T > 0$ and $u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

A function $u = u(t, x)$ is called a (mild) solution to (HS) with $u(0) = u_0$ if

- $u \in C([0, T']; \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \mathcal{K}^q(T')$ for any $T' \in (0, T)$;
- u satisfies the integral equation

$$u(t, x) = e^{t\Delta} u_0(x) + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} \left\{ |\cdot|^\gamma |u(\tau, \cdot)|^{2^*(\gamma)-2} u(\tau, \cdot) \right\} (x) d\tau,$$

where $\{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the heat semigroup.

Auxiliary space

$$\mathcal{K}^q(T) := \left\{ u = u(t, x) : \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}^q(T')} < \infty \text{ for any } T' \in (0, T) \right\},$$

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}^q(T)} := \sup_{t \in (0, T)} t^{\frac{d}{2} \left(\frac{1}{q_c} - \frac{1}{q} \right)} \|u(t)\|_{L^q}, \quad q > q_c := \frac{2d}{d-2}.$$

Local well-posedness (Chikami-Ikeda-T., arXiv:2009.07108.)

Given $u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a time $T = T(u_0) > 0$ such that the Cauchy problem (HS) has a unique mild solution u on $[0, T]$. Moreover, denoting by $T_m = T_m(u_0)$ the maximal existence time of u , we have:

- $T_m < \infty \implies \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}^q(T_m)} = \infty$;
- $T_m = \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} = 0 \iff \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}^q(T_m)} < \infty$.

The proof is based on the standard fixed point argument and the following:

Linear estimates Let $d \geq 1$, $\gamma \in [0, d)$ and $0 \leq \frac{1}{q_2} < \frac{\gamma}{d} + \frac{1}{p_1} < 1$. Then

$$\|e^{t\Delta}(|\cdot|^{-\gamma} f)\|_{L^{q_2}} \leq Ct^{-\frac{d}{2}(\frac{1}{q_1} - \frac{1}{q_2}) - \frac{\gamma}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{q_1}}, \quad t > 0$$

(see Ben Slimene-Tayachi-Weissler (2017)).

Hardy-Sobolev inequality

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{-\gamma} |f(x)|^{2^*(\gamma)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*(\gamma)}} \leq C_{\text{HS}} \|f\|_{\dot{H}^1}, \quad f \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $C_{\text{HS}} > 0$ is the best constant.

Ground state A non-trivial minimal energy solution of the stationary problem $-\Delta W = |x|^{-\gamma} W^{2^*(\gamma)-1}$ is called the *ground state*.

$$W(x) = \frac{C_{d,\gamma}}{(1 + |x|^{2-\gamma})^{\frac{d-2}{2-\gamma}}}$$

(see Aubin 1976, Talenti 1976, Lieb 1983).

Remark

- The cases $\gamma = 0, 2$ correspond to the Sobolev and Hardy inequalities, respectively.
- The equality holds in the Hardy-Sobolev inequality $\iff f = \lambda^{\frac{d-2}{2}} W(\lambda x)$ ($\lambda > 0$).
- $E(W) = \frac{2-\gamma}{2(d-\gamma)} C_{\text{HS}}^{-\frac{2(d-\gamma)}{2-\gamma}}$.

Theorem (Chikami-Ikeda-T., arXiv:2009.07108)

Let $d \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2)$. Assume that $u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $E(u_0) < E(W)$. Then, the solution u to (HS) satisfies the following:

- (i) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \implies u$ is dissipative.
- (ii) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} > \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \implies u$ blows up in finite time or at infinite time.
(If $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is additionally imposed, u must blow up in finite time).

Behavior of solutions

- u is **dissipative** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} T_m = \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} = 0$
($\iff \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}^q(T_m)} < \infty$).
- u **blows up in finite time** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} T_m < \infty$ ($\implies \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}^q(T_m)} = \infty$).
- u **blows up at infinite time** $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} T_m = \infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} = \infty$.

Known results (energy critical case)

- Kenig-Merle (2006, 2008): $\gamma = 0$, Schrödinger and wave equations.
- Ishiwata (2008): $\gamma = 0$ (p -Laplacian).
- Roxanas (2017): Harmonic map heat flow.
(Gustafson-Roxanas (2018): $d = 4$ and $\gamma = 0$).
- Cho-Lee (2020): Similar study for Schrödinger equation.

$$i\partial_t u + \Delta u = -|x|^{-\gamma}|u|^{2^*(\gamma)-2}u, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

($d = 3, 0 \leq \gamma < \frac{3}{2}$, **radial symmetry**).

Our result

- **No assumption on radial symmetry** in our result. The problem becomes more difficult without this assumption.

Theorem

Assume that $E(u_0) < E(W)$. Then:

- (i) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \implies u$ is dissipative.
- (ii) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} > \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \implies u$ blows up in finite time or at infinite time.

Strategy of proof of (i)

The proof is by contradiction and is based on **concentration compactness & Rigidity** by Kenig-Merle (2006).

Strategy of proof of (ii)

The proof is based on a method to reduce to an argument for an ordinary differential inequality (**Levine's concavity method**).

Here, let us give only an idea of proof of (i), and omit the proof of (ii).

Theorem (i)

$$E(u_0) < E(W) \text{ and } \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \implies \|u\|_{\mathcal{K}^q(T_m)} < \infty.$$

Strategy of proof of (i)

The proof is by contradiction and is based on **concentration compactness & Rigidity** by Kenig-Merle (2006).

- Suppose (i) does not hold
(i.e. $\exists u_0$ s.t. $E(u_0) < E(W)$, $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1}$ and $\|u\|_{\mathcal{K}^q(T_m)} = \infty$).
- There exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_{0,n}\}_n$.
- We have a single profile ψ by the profile decomposition of $\{u_{0,n}\}_n$.
- The solution u^c to (HS) with $u^c(0) = \psi$ is a blow up solution with the minimal energy.
- The solution u^c must be 0 by the energy identity.
- Contradiction!

§4. Outline of proof of Theorem

How to obtain a single profile ($J = 1$)

- (Profile decomposition) There exist $\{\psi^j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\{\lambda_n^j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset (0, \infty)$, $\{x_n^j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$u_{0,n}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^J \underbrace{\frac{1}{(\lambda_n^j)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} \psi^j \left(\frac{x - x_n^j}{\lambda_n^j} \right)}_{=: \psi_n^j(x)} + w_n^J(x).$$

Here, $J \geq 1$, $w_n^J \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{J,n \rightarrow \infty} \|e^{t\Delta} w_n^J\|_{\mathcal{K}^q(\infty)} = 0$.

- $\{\lambda_n^j\}$ and $\{x_n^j\}$ satisfy one of the following:

(a) $x_n^j \equiv 0$ or (b) $|x_n^j| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{|x_n^j|}{\lambda_n^j} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $j \geq 1$.

- In the case (a), we can prove $J = 1$ by the same argument as $\gamma = 0$.
- In the case (b), all solutions to (HS) with initial data ψ_n^j ($n \gg 1$) are dissipative by the following lemma; hence, the profiles that evolve into blow up solutions do NOT appear.

§4. Outline of proof of Theorem

The following lemma plays an important role.

Lemma

Let $d \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2)$, and let u_n be a solution to (HS) with initial data

$$u_n(0) = \frac{1}{(\lambda_n)^{\frac{d-2}{2}}} \psi \left(\frac{x - x_n}{\lambda_n} \right), \quad \psi \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Then, if $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{|x_n|}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), the solutions u_n are global and dissipative for $n \gg 1$.

Remark The above lemma does not hold in the case $\gamma = 0$.

- The problem (HS) with $\gamma = 0$ is invariant under the translation w.r.t x .
- $\|u_n\|_{\dot{H}^1} = \|u\|_{\dot{H}^1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where u is a solution with $u(0) = \psi$.

Idea of proof We expect the solutions u_n behave like the linear solutions if $n \gg 1$:

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = |x - x_n|^{-\gamma} |u|^{2^*(\gamma)-2} u \sim 0 \quad \text{if } n \gg 1.$$

We first consider the absorbing case:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = -|x|^{-\gamma}|u|^{2^*(\gamma)-2}u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \end{cases} \quad (\text{HS-A})$$

where $d \geq 3$, $\gamma \in (0, 2)$ and $2^*(\gamma) := \frac{2(d-\gamma)}{d-2}$.

Corollary 1 (Chikami-Ikeda-T., arXiv:2009.07108)

Let $d \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2)$. Then, the solution to (HS-A) with initial data in $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dissipative.

The proof is almost the same as in Theorem (i) with small modifications.

§5. Two corollaries

Let Ω is a domain and $0 \in \Omega$. We next consider the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = |x|^{-\gamma} |u|^{2^*(\gamma)-2} u, & t > 0, x \in \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) (= \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\Omega)}}), \end{cases} \quad (\text{HS-D})$$

where $d \geq 3$, $\gamma \in (0, 2)$ and $2^*(\gamma) := \frac{2(d-\gamma)}{d-2}$.

Corollary 2 (Chikami-Ikeda-T., arXiv:2009.07108)

Let $d \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2)$. Assume that $u_0 \in \dot{H}_0^1(\Omega)$ with $E_\Omega(u_0) < E(W)$. Then, the solution u to (HS-D) satisfies the following:

- (i) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\Omega)} \leq \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \implies u$ is dissipative.
- (ii) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1(\Omega)} > \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \implies u$ blows up in finite time.

Remark $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^1(\Omega)}$ and $E_\Omega(\cdot)$ replace the integral range from \mathbb{R}^d to Ω .

1 (Hardy-Sobolev parabolic equation)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u = |x|^{-\gamma} |u|^{2^*(\gamma)-2} u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{cases} \quad (\text{HS})$$

2 (HS) is LWP in $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

3 (Theorem) $E(u_0) < E(W)$.

(i) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} \leq \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \Rightarrow u$ is dissipative.

(ii) $\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1} > \|W\|_{\dot{H}^1} \Rightarrow u$ blows up in finite time or at infinite time.

4 (Strategy of proof of Theorem)

(i) Concentration compactness & Rigidity
(How to deal with $\{x_n^j\}$ in the profile decomposition).

(ii) Levine's concavity method.

5 The absorbing case & the Dirichlet problem.

Thank you for your attention