

# Global well-posedness for the non-linear Maxwell-Schrödinger system

Raffaele Scandone (GSSI L'Aquila)

13th ISAAC congress, *Harmonic Analysis and PDEs* Session  
4 August 2021

Based on joint work with P. Antonelli and P. Marcati

## Maxwell-Schrödinger system

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_A\psi + \phi\psi \\ -\Delta\phi - \partial_t\operatorname{div}A = \rho \\ \square A + \nabla(\partial_t\phi + \operatorname{div}A) = J \end{cases} \quad (MS)$$

in the unknown  $(\psi, \phi, A) : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ , where

- $\Delta_A := \nabla_A^2 = (\nabla - iA)^2$  is the *magnetic Laplacian*.
- $(\phi, A)$  is the electromagnetic potential.
- $\rho := |\psi|^2$ ,  $J := \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\psi}\nabla_A\psi)$  are the *charge* and *current* densities.

Conserved quantities: *charge*  $\mathcal{Q} := \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2$ , and *energy*

$$\mathcal{E} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_A\psi|^2 + |\nabla\phi|^2 + |\partial_t A|^2 + |\operatorname{rot} A|^2 dx.$$

Gauge invariance:  $(\psi, \phi, A) \mapsto (e^{i\lambda}\psi, \phi - \partial_t\lambda, A + \nabla\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

In the *Coulomb gauge*, i.e.  $\operatorname{div} A = 0$ , (MS) takes the form

$$(MS) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_A \psi + \phi \psi \\ \square A = \mathbb{P}J, \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi := (-\Delta)^{-1}|\psi|^2$  (Hartree potential), and  $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{I} - \nabla \operatorname{div}(-\Delta)^{-1}$  is the *Helmholtz-Leray projection* ( $\operatorname{div} \mathbb{P} = 0$ ).

$$\mathcal{E} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_A \psi|^2 + |\partial_t A|^2 + |\nabla A|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 dx.$$

Using  $(\rho, J)$  as unknown (Madelung transform), we formally get (issues: justify the derivation, possible presence of vacuum regions):

$$(QMHD) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div} J = 0 \\ \partial_t J + \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{J \otimes J}{\rho} \right) = \rho E + J \wedge B + \frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla \left( \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right), \end{cases}$$

$(E, B)$  satisfies Maxwell equations,  $\frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla \left( \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right)$  is the Bohm potential.

## Nonlinear Maxwell-Schrödinger system (Coulomb gauge)

$$(\gamma\text{-MS}) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t \psi = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_A \psi + \phi \psi + |\psi|^{\gamma-1} \psi \\ \square A = \mathbb{P}J \end{cases}$$

Conserved quantities: charge  $\mathcal{Q} := \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2$ , and energy

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_A \psi|^2 + |\partial_t A|^2 + |\nabla A|^2 + |\nabla \phi|^2 + \frac{2}{\gamma+1} |\psi|^{\gamma+1} dx$$

Using Madelung transform, formally we get the ( $\gamma$ -QMHD) system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div} J = 0 \\ \partial_t J + \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{J \otimes J}{\rho} \right) + \nabla P(\rho) = \rho E + J \wedge B + \frac{1}{2} \rho \nabla \left( \frac{\Delta \sqrt{\rho}}{\sqrt{\rho}} \right), \end{cases}$$

$P(\rho) = \frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1} \rho^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}}$  is an isentropic pressure term.

Magnetic Sobolev norms:  $\|f\|_{H_A^s} := \|\mathcal{D}_A^s f\|_{L^2}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_A := (1 - \Delta_A)^{1/2}$ .

Assume  $A \in \dot{H}^1$ . Then  $H_A^s \approx H^s$  for  $s \in [-2, 2]$ .

Energy space:  $(\psi, A, \partial_t A) \in M^{1,1} := H^1 \times H^1 \times L^2$ .

Consider more generally  $M^{s,\sigma} := H^s \times H^\sigma \times H^{\sigma-1}$ ,  $s \in [1, 2]$ ,  $\sigma \geq 1$ .

## Goals

- Local and global well-posedness in  $M^{s,\sigma}$  for ( $\gamma$ -MS);
- Existence and stability of solutions to ( $\gamma$ -QMHD).

## Main obstacles

- Presence of a *time-dependent* magnetic Laplacian:
  - even the well-posedness of the *linear* problem is hard!
  - lack of Strichartz estimates (even for time *independent* potential, one needs smallness or spectral assumptions at zero energy).

**Hard to control the pure-power term.**

- Derivative term in the current density  $J$ .

## A priori estimates - Part 1

- Koch-Tzvetkov type estimates for Schrödinger equation

Let  $\psi$  be a solution to  $i\partial_t\psi = -\Delta\psi + F$ . Then

$$\|\psi\|_{L_T^2 B_{6,2}^{s-\alpha}} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} + T^{1/2} \|F\|_{L_T^2 H^{s-2\alpha}}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

-IDEA: localization of  $\psi, F$  in  $[0, T] \times \widehat{\mathbb{R}^3}$  + Standard Strichartz.

-When  $\alpha \geq 1/2$ , it allows to handle the *derivative* term  $A \cdot \nabla$  appearing in the expansion of  $\Delta_A$ .

- Koch-Tzvetkov type estimates for magnetic Schrödinger.

Suppose that  $A \in L_T^q W^{1-2/q, r}, \forall (q, r)$  s.t.  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}, q \in [2, \infty]$ .

Let  $s \in [1, 2]$ , and  $\psi$  a solution to  $i\partial_t\psi = -\Delta_A\psi + F$ . Then

$$\|\psi\|_{L_T^2 B_{6,2}^{s-\alpha}} \lesssim_T C_A \left( \|\psi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} + \|F\|_{L_T^2 H^{s-2\alpha}} \right), \quad \alpha \geq 1/2.$$

## A priori estimates - Part 2

- Strichartz estimates for  $(\square + 1)A = F$ .

$$\max_{k=0,1} \|\partial_t^k A\|_{L_T^q W^{s-k-2/q,r}} \lesssim \|(A_0, A_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} + \|F\|_{L_T^{q'_0} W^{s+2/q_0-1,r'_0}}$$

Admissible pair:  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $q \in (2, \infty]$ . The endpoint case  $(q, r) = (2, \infty)$  fails in general. It holds for *radial* data, or as soon as we have some extra *angular regularity*.

- Product estimates. Let  $s \geq 0$ , and  $\frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{q_i} = \frac{1}{p}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$\|\mathbb{P}(\bar{\psi}_1 \nabla \psi_2)\|_{W^{s,p}} \lesssim \|\psi_1\|_{W^{s,p_1}} \|\nabla \psi_2\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|\nabla \psi_1\|_{L^{q_2}} \|\psi_2\|_{W^{s,p_2}}$$

-IDEA: exploits  $\mathbb{P}\nabla = 0$ . Allows to handle the derivative term in  $\mathbb{P}J$ .  
-This idea is **not enough** when there are *pure-curl* currents, like the spin-current in the Maxwell-Pauli system.

## A priori estimates for ( $\gamma$ -MS)

Given  $\sigma > 1$  Strichartz for Klein Gordon + product estimate gives

$$\|A\|_{L_T^2 L^\infty} \lesssim_T \langle \|(\psi, A, \partial_t A)\|_{L_T^\infty M^{1,1}}^m \rangle \langle \|(A_0, A_1)\|_{H^\sigma \times H^{\sigma-1}}^m \rangle.$$

(It's enough  $\sigma = 1$  with "endpoint initial data"). For  $\gamma \in (1, 4)$

$$\| |\psi|^{\gamma-1} \psi \|_{L_T^2 H^{s-1}} \lesssim \| \psi \|_{L_T^\infty H^1}^{\gamma-1} \| \psi \|_{L_T^2 W^{1/2-\varepsilon(\gamma), 6} \cap L_T^\infty H^1}$$

Hence, by Koch-Tzvetkov estimates, previous bound, and *bootstrap*, we obtain that for  $s \in [1, 2]$ ,  $\sigma > 1$

$$\| \psi \|_{L_T^2 B_{6,2}^{s-1/2}} \lesssim_T \langle \|(\psi, A, \partial_t A)\|_{L_T^\infty M^{1,1}}^n \rangle \langle \|(A_0, A_1)\|_{H^\sigma \times H^{\sigma-1}}^n \rangle \| \psi \|_{L_T^\infty H^s}.$$

**Consequences:** Let  $(\psi, A)$  a *finite energy*, weak solution to ( $\gamma$ -MS) with  $(u_0, A_0, A_1) \in M^{1,\sigma}$ ,  $\sigma > 1$ . Then

- $\| \psi \|_{L_T^2 B_{6,2}^{1/2}} + \| A \|_{L_T^2 L^\infty} \lesssim_T 1$ .
- The Lorentz force  $F := \rho E + J \wedge B$  is well-defined and belongs to  $L_T^2 L^1$  ( $M^{1,1}$  alone is not sufficient).



## Local well-posedness for ( $\gamma$ -MS)

- Let  $s \in [\frac{11}{8}, 2]$ ,  $\sigma \in (1, 3)$ ,  $\gamma \in (s, \gamma^*)$ , for  $\gamma^* := \gamma^*(s) \in (3, \infty]$  (when  $s = 2$ ,  $\gamma \in (1, \infty)$ ). Then ( $\gamma$ -MS) is LWP in  $M^{s, \sigma}$ .
- Holds also when  $\sigma = 1$  with "endpoint initial data".
- Relatively easy at high regularity ( $s > \frac{3}{2}$ ).
- Exploits a priori estimates at intermediate regularity ( $s \in (\frac{11}{8}, 2)$ ).
- Open problem at low regularity, in particular in the energy space  $M^{1,1}$  (existence of **weak** solutions in  $M^{1,1}$ , for  $\gamma \in (1, 5)$ , can be proved through a regularization/compactness argument).
- For the **linear** Maxwell-Schrödinger system, well-posedness in the energy space has been proved in [Bejenaru-Tataru, 2009].  
Extending their analysis to the non-linear case is non-trivial.

## Global well-posedness (Part I)

(Generalized) Brezis-Gallouet inequality:

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1 + \|f\|_{B_{p,r}^{3/p}} \ln_+^{\frac{r-1}{r}} \|f\|_{W^{3/q+\varepsilon,q}}$$

A standard energy methods yields

$$\|\psi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} \lesssim_T 1 + \int_0^T \|\psi(t)\|_{L^\infty}^{\gamma-1} \|\psi\|_{L_t^\infty H^s}$$

Assume  $s > \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\gamma \in (s, 3)$ . Brezis-Gallouet for  $B_{6,2}^{1/2}$  yields

$$\|\psi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} \lesssim_T 1 + \int_0^T \|\psi(t)\|_{B_{6,2}^{1/2}}^{\gamma-1} \|\psi\|_{L_t^\infty H^s} \ln_+^{\frac{\gamma-1}{2}} \|\psi\|_{L_t^\infty H^s}.$$

Uniform bound on  $L_T^2 B^{1/2,6}$  + Grönwall imply GWP with expexp-bounds.

- The method can be adapted to cover  $s \in (1, \frac{3}{2}]$ , as  $B_{6,2}^{s-1/2} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$ .

## Modified higher order energy

Let  $\psi$  be a solution to  $(\gamma\text{-MS})$ ,  $\gamma > 2$ . Define

$$\mathcal{E}_2(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\partial_t \psi|^2 - (\gamma - 1)|\psi|^{\gamma-1} |\nabla |\psi||^2 - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma} |\psi|^{2\gamma} dx$$

Same functional used by [\[Planchon-Tzvetkov-Visciglia, 2016\]](#) to prove polynomial growth of  $H^2$ -norm for sub-cubic NLS on compact manifolds.

- $\mathcal{E}_2(t)$  is *equivalent* to  $\|\psi(t, \cdot)\|_{H^2}^2$

$$|\mathcal{E}_2(t) - \|\psi\|_{H_A^2}^2| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^n \langle \|\psi\|_{H^2} \rangle^{c(\gamma)}, \quad c(\gamma) < 2.$$

- Gain of regularity when computing the derivative of  $\mathcal{E}_2$ :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_2(t) = c(\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\psi|^{\gamma-2} \partial_t |\psi| |\nabla_A \psi|^2 dx + \text{"lower order terms"}$$

## Global well-posedness (Part II)

Using the a-priori estimates we find  $\varepsilon(\gamma) > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_2(t) dt \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L_T^2 B_{6,2}^{1/2}} \|\psi\|_{L_T^\infty H^2}^{2-\varepsilon(\gamma)}, \quad T \in (0, 1)$$

Using  $\mathcal{E}_2(t) \approx \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^2}^2$  and uniform bound on  $L_T^2 B^{1/2,6}$  we get

$$\|u(T, \cdot)\|_{H^2}^2 - \|u(0, \cdot)\|_{H^2}^2 \lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty H^2}^{2-\varepsilon(\gamma)}, \quad T \in (0, 1)$$

which yields

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{H^2} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon(\gamma)}}, \quad T > 0.$$

- GWP in  $M^{2,\sigma}$ , for  $\gamma \in (2, 3)$ , with **polynomial** bounds.
- When  $\gamma = 3$ , one can get GWP (with exponential bounds) by means of an iteration argument.

## Refined Strichartz estimates

Let  $\chi_\alpha$  a bump function, with  $\chi_\alpha \equiv 1$  on the unit cube of center  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ .

- Refined Strichartz for  $(\square + 1)A = F$ .

$$\max_{k=0,1} \|\chi_\alpha \partial_t^k A\|_{\ell_\alpha^2 L_T^q W^{s-k-2/q,r}} \lesssim \|(A_0, A_1)\|_{H^s \times H^{s-1}} + \|F\|_{L_T^{q'_0} W^{s+2/q_0-1,r'_0}}.$$

Exploits the finite speed of propagation for wave equation.

- Refined Koch-Tzvetkov for  $i\partial_t \psi = -\Delta_A \psi + F$ .

Suppose that  $\chi_\alpha A \in \ell_\alpha^2 L_T^q W^{1-2/q,r}$ , for all admissible pairs  $(q, r)$ .  
Let  $s \in [0, 2)$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , and  $m \in (\frac{\theta-1}{2}, \frac{2\theta-1}{2})$ . Then

$$\|\chi_\alpha \mathcal{D}_A^{s-\theta} \psi\|_{\ell_\alpha^2 L_T^2 W^{m,6}} \lesssim_T C_A \left( \|\psi\|_{L_T^\infty H^s} + \|F\|_{L_T^2 H^{s-2\theta+2m}} \right).$$

Gain in term of summability w.r.t. spatial localization.

## Local smoothing estimates

- Fix  $\sigma \in (1, \frac{7}{6})$ ,  $\gamma \in (1, 4)$ . Let  $(\psi, A)$  be a solution to  $(\gamma\text{-MS})$ , with initial data  $(\psi_0, A_0, A_1) \in M^{1,\sigma}$ . Then, for every  $\delta \in (1, \sigma - 1)$ , we have the local-smoothing estimate

$$\|\chi_\alpha \psi\|_{\ell_\alpha^\infty L_T^2 H^{1+\delta}} \lesssim_T \langle \|(\psi, A)\|_{L_T^\infty(H^1 \times H^1)}^n \rangle \langle \|(A_0, A_1)\|_{H^\sigma \times H^{\sigma-1}}^n \rangle.$$

- IDEA OF THE PROOF:

- Let  $h$  increas., bdd, such  $h'(t) = 1$  for  $|t| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $h'(t) = 0$  for  $|t| \geq 1$ .

- Given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  and spatial direction  $j$ , set  $h_{\alpha,j}(x) := h(x_j - \alpha_j)$

- define the smoothing operator  $L_{\alpha,j} := \mathcal{D}_A^{\delta-1} h_{\alpha,j}(\partial_j - iA_j) \mathcal{D}_A^{\delta-1}$ .

- Use energy method for the equation satisfied by  $L_{\alpha,j} \mathcal{D}_A \psi$ , together with commutator bounds and the refined Koch-Tzvetkov estimate.

- Local smoothing allows to improve a bit the range of  $(s, \sigma)$  in the LWP for  $(\gamma\text{-MS})$ .

## Existence and stability of solutions to ( $\gamma$ -QMHD)

- We are interested in *weak* solutions, obtained as Madelung transform ( $\rho = |\psi|^2$ ,  $J = \text{Im}(\overline{\psi}\nabla_A\psi)$ ) from  $M^{1,\sigma}$ -solutions to ( $\gamma$ -MS).
- "Natural" hydrodyn. variables:  $\sqrt{\rho}$  and  $\Lambda := \text{Im}(\overline{\varphi}\nabla_A\psi)$ , where  $\psi = \varphi|\psi|$ . The map  $\psi \mapsto (\sqrt{\rho}, \Lambda)$  is continuous from  $H^1$  to  $H^1 \times L^2$ .
- We do **not** have GWP in  $M^{1,\sigma}$  for ( $\gamma$ -MS). Hence we cannot use a standard approximation/stability approach.
- We start from a *weak*  $M^{1,\sigma}$  solution to ( $\gamma$ -MS),  $\sigma > 1$ , and we directly manipulate the integral (Duhamel) formulations. A priori estimate allows to *justify* all the passages, when  $\gamma \in (1, 4)$ .
- Weak stability of  $(\sqrt{\rho}, \Lambda)$  obtained using the local smoothing.
- QUESTION: Can we cover  $\gamma \in [4, 5)$ ? Can we cover the case  $\sigma = 1$ ? (Same problem arises also in classical MHD model).

**Thank you for your attention!**